Stenographic Transcript Before the

Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

UNITED STATES SENATE

INTERVIEW OF

Site Protective Intelligence Agent SENIOR SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. SECRET SERVICE

Thursday, November 14, 2024

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1029 VERMONT AVE, NW 10TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
3	AND THE PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS
4	
5	
6	INTERVIEW OF
7	Witness
8	SENIOR SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. SECRET SERVICE
9	
10	
11	
12	Room SD-342
13	Dirksen Senate Office Building
14	Washington, D.C.
15	Thursday, November 14, 2024
16	2:07 p.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1





1	APPEARANCES (continued):
2	
3	On Behalf of PSI Ranking Member Johnson:
4	PSI Minority , Staff Director, Permanent Subcommittee
5	on Investigations
6	PSI Minority , Chief Counsel, Permanent
7	Subcommittee on Investigations [Virtual]
8	PSI Minority , Deputy Staff Director, Permanent
9	Subcommittee on Investigations
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1 EXHIBITS:

2			
3	1)	Manpower Request	5
4	2)	Secret Service Site Map	7
5	3)	Overview of Site Diagram from Butler ESU	27
6	4)	Pennsylvania State Police Operations Manual	31
7	5)	Text message from Witness to C/S Response	38
8	6)	Text message from Witness to PSP Counterpart	38
9	7)	Image of three people on golfcart at Butler rally	75
10	8)	Transcript of Trooper PSP Counterpart July 23, 2024,	
11		interview with Pennsylvania State Police and FBI	75
12	9)	Text message from C/S Response to Witness 6:09 p.m.	128
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



PROCEEDINGS

HSGAC Majority: Good afternoon. This is the transcribed
interview of Witness , being conducted by the
Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental
Affairs and the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on
Investigations.

7 This interview was requested by Committee Chairman 8 Gary Peters and Ranking Member Rand Paul, as well as 9 Subcommittee Chair Richard Blumenthal and Ranking Member 10 Ron Johnson, as part of the Committee's investigation of 11 the July 13, 2024, assassination attempt on President 12 Trump, and the U.S. Secret Service planning and security in 13 advance of and during the Butler, Pennsylvania, July 13, 14 2024, rally.

On July 25, 2024, Chairmen Peters and Blumenthal, and Ranking Members Paul and Johnson requested voluntary transcribed interviews with U.S. Secret Service personnel, and again, on September 11, 2024, renewed those requests, including for Secret Service Agent Witness

We will introduce ourselves for the record so you can understand the offices who will be asking questions today, and then we'll ask for introductions for counsel, as well. And with that, I will pause and actually ask if you would start and introduce yourself with your name and your current title.



1

1 My name is Witness Witness 2 I'm a Senior Special Agent with the United . 3 States Secret Service in the LES Office. 4 HSGAC Majority : And I'll ask if your counsels will now 5 introduce themselves. 6 Witness Counsel : Yes. Witness Counsel , and I represent 7 Special Agent Witness 8 HSGAC Majority: This interview is being conducted, as I 9 mentioned, by four offices, and we'll introduce ourselves 10 so you can understand the staff reach of the offices who will be asking questions. 11 12 My name is HSGAC Majority . I'm the Chief Counsel and Investigative Counsel for Chairman Peters. I'm joined by 13 14 my colleague, HSGAC Majority , Senior Investigative 15 Counsel, and HSGAC Majority, Senior Investigator. 16 And I'll turn it over to Senator Blumenthal's staff. PSI Majority , Counsel for Majority 17 PSI Majority 18 Staff, Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations. HSGAC Minority _____ with the Office of 19 HSGAC Minority 20 Senator Rand Paul. HSGAC Minority will be in shortly. 21 PSI Minority : Good afternoon, PSI Minority . My name 22 I'm Staff Director for Ranking Member is PSI Minority . I have PSI Minority here, who is our Deputy 23 Johnson. 24 Staff Director, and virtually we have PSI Minority from 25 our officer, who is our Chief Counsel. Thank you for being



1 here.

HSGAC Majority: And apologies. We are also joined by
HSGAC Majority for Chairman Peters' office, who is the
Deputy Chief Counsel for the majority.

5 The reporter is going to create a verbatim record of 6 what we discuss here today, and with that in mind, as I'm 7 sure you are familiar, please respond to the questions we 8 ask verbally because the reporter will not be able to 9 properly record a nonverbal response. Do you understand?

10

: I do.

The interview is being conducted in an 11 HSGAC Majority 12 unclassified setting, and so if the questions call for any 13 information that you know to implicate classified 14 information, please state that for the record, as well as 15 the reason you understand the question to implicate 16 classified -- a response that will require providing 17 classified information. Once you have clarified, to the 18 extent possible, please respond with as much unclassified 19 information as you are able to do so, to respond to the 20 question, and if need be, we are able to adjourn and can 21 address any information in a classified setting should that 22 need arise. Do you understand?

23 Witness :

Witness

: I do.

HSGAC Majority: It's the Committee's practice to honor
valid common law privilege and claims as an accommodation



1 to a witness when those claims are made in good faith and 2 accompanied by a sufficient explanation, so that the 3 Committees can evaluate the claim. When deciding whether 4 to honor these privileges, the Committee will weigh its 5 need for the information against any legitimate basis for б withholding it. The interview today is occurring without 7 prejudice to any future discussions the Committee may 8 request, and we reserve the right to do so in the future 9 should we need to.

10 If you need to take a break during today's interview, 11 we will be happy to accommodate you, but our plan is to 12 generally ask questions for an hour, break on the hour, and 13 provide an opportunity for a break. Should you need any in 14 advance please just let us know.

15 If you have any questions about what we are asking, or 16 if the question we have asked is confusing, please just ask 17 us to clarify and we'll be happy to do so.

18 You are required to answer questions before Congress19 truthfully. Do you understand?

I do.

20 Witness :

HSGAC Majority: This also applies to questions posed by congressional staff in an interview, and specifically 18 USC Section 1001 makes it a crime to make any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations in the course of a congressional



1 investigation, and this statute applies to your statements 2 in today's interview. Do you understand?

3 Witness : I do.

HSGAC Majority: Is there any reason that you would be
unable to provide us today with truthful answers to our
questions?

7 Witness

8 HSGAC Majority: We would also ask that you not speak about 9 what we discuss in this interview with anyone outside of 10 the room, in order to preserve the integrity of our 11 investigation. And we also ask that you not remove or make 12 any copies or photographs of the exhibits.

13 Do you have any questions?

14 Witness : None at this time.

:

No.

15 Agency Counsel , Deputy Chief Counsel, Agency Counsel 16 Secret Service. We just want to go on record that the 17 Secret Service and DHS made available to the Committee, 18 consistent with the Chairman, information and records that 19 would not ordinarily be released publicly. This 20 information and records fall under the Privacy Act, 21 personnel and other personal privacy information, for 22 official use only, and law enforcement sensitive records, 23 and related statutes.

24 While the Secret Service and the Department have made 25 this information and records available to the Committee, we



continue to assert that such information and any
 discussion is not intended for public disclosure.
 Additionally, we are not waiving any protections under
 these statutes. And just for purposes of the constructive
 dialogue, we are making this assertion at the outset of
 this interview.

And finally, the transcript and any other attachments are protected, we feel, from dissemination to the same extent as the documents. And also the Secret Service and the Department were assured that there would be no video recordings or audio recordings of today's interview, of course with the exception for purposes for the court reporter.

14 We appreciate it. Thank you, scac Majority

HSGAC Majority: And per our prior interviews I will reiterate the Committee is bound by Senate and Committee rules, and we will be bound by those rules here. We intend, as we did with our interim report, to have a public report at some point in the future, and we will rely on nonpublic information we learn, including in today's interview, as part of any future report.

And as is the Committee's practice, and as was the practice in the first interim review, in advance of making any nonpublic information public it is our practice and our intent here to provide any individuals who provided that



information with the opportunity to review such
 information for factual accuracy in advance of any effort
 to make that information public.

With respect to any recordings, it is our understanding there will only be a recording made of the interview today for the purposes of transcribing the interview itself, and I just the other offices, and it is their understanding, seeing their affirmation, all of the offices have agreed. And with that, if there are no other questions, we will begin.

11 Agency Counsel : We appreciate it.

HSGAC Majority : We will begin the first hour
[inaudible]. I'm with Chair Peters' office. As HSGAC Majority
mentioned, we will begin the first hour by us asking
questions, and then I'll turn it over to my colleague,
HSGAC Minority [sic].

I'd like to start by asking a few background questions. How long have you been with the Secret Service, and in what positions?

Witness : Twenty-one years, as a special agent.
HSGAC Majority : Can you describe what roles you
fulfilled during those 21 years, whether it be advance
roles, other types of protection details?
Witness : I was hired in 2003, the Fresno
Resident Office, as a criminal investigator Special Agent.



I was in the Fresno office for approximately 10 years,
 doing criminal investigations and protection work. I was
 then transferred to the Carter Protective Division, where I
 worked as a shift agent, shift leader, and when I left the
 Carter Division in 2019, I was an acting Assistant Special
 Agent in Charge. Primarily I was Mrs. Carter's detail
 leader.

8 I then transferred to the Phoenix Field Office in 9 2019, and I became a Senior Special Agent. Then I resumed 10 criminal investigations. In 2020, I took over the 11 protective intelligence investigations for the Phoenix 12 Field Office, as what we would call a backup, which is a 13 non-supervisory GS-13 position.

In 2022, I was assigned to the Joint Terrorism Task Force at the FBI in the Domestic Terrorism Squad. I left the Phoenix Field Office in August of 2024, and transferred **LES** office, where I'm currently stationed. HSGAC Majority : Why were you assigned to a rally in Pennsylvania when you're based out of Phoenix, or when you

20 were based out of Phoenix at the time?

21 Witness : So for the 2024 campaign, 22 approximately a year in advance all field agents are given 23 a solicitation to list a number of preferences for campaign 24 assignments. My number one preference was as a jump team 25 leader. A jump team leader for the campaign is a post-



1 stander who is assigned a team of post-standers. In this 2 instance, HSI special agents were used to supplement Secret 3 Service for post-standing assignments. So my role for the 4 campaign would be for a three-week rotation. Myself and a 5 team of other agents would travel from site to site and 6 stand post for whatever event we were assigned to. And 7 that was how I became assigned to the rally in Butler on 8 the 13th.

HSGAC Majority : And you mentioned you transferred to the 9 10 **LES** office in August 2024. Why did you **LES** 11 LES after Phoenix? 12 My husband was hired to coach at Witness : 13 LES , so I volunteered for a transfer. 14 HSGAC Majority : What was your assignment for the July 13th Butler rally, and when did you learn of that 15

16 assignment?

17 : On approximately July 10th I received Witness 18 an email notification that I had been activated in my role 19 as a jump team leader. I believe ta that time I was told -20 - and I would have to go back and look at the records to be 21 certain -- I believe at that time I was told I would be 22 traveling to Pittsburgh for President Trump's rally. I 23 also received logistics for travel, a list of names of HSI 24 agents that would be assigned to me for that particular 25 assignment. And then I traveled on the 12th, I believe, to



1 Butler, where I met my team.

Witness

HSGAC Majority : Our understanding is that in addition to serving as jump team leader you also served as the site protective intelligence agent for the July 13th rally. Is that correct?

б

: Yes.

7 HSGAC Majority : First, I'd like to understand the roles 8 and responsibilities of the respective positions. What 9 were your roles and responsibilities as a protective 10 intelligence agent?

11 Witness : So as site protective intelligence 12 agent is one of the standard posts that are assigned at a 13 protected venue. There are a certain number of posts that 14 are common among all sites. This is one of them. A site 15 protective intelligence agent would be a roving post, not a 16 fixed post, and they would be responsible for responding to 17 any unusual or threatening individuals that would be 18 encountered in the site. For example, if someone were to 19 approach the main magnetometer screening area and make an 20 unusual or a threatening statement, in theory that person 21 would be detained and a site PI team would be called to do 22 an interview.

HSGAC Majority : And was there only one site protective intelligence agent for the Butler rally?

25 Witness : There was.



HSGAC Majority : And our understanding is that there
were three jump teams for the Butler rally. Is that
correct?

4 Witness : Yes.

5 HSGAC Majority : Actually, let me first introduce as an 6 exhibit, this is the Manpower Request. I'll mark it as 7 Exhibit 1.

8 [Exhibit No. 1 was 9 marked for identification.] 10 HSGAC Majority : And if you turn to page 2, the top page 11 of the Manpower Request -- are you familiar with this 12 document?

13 Witness : Not this document, no.

HSGAC Majority : Oh. Take a moment and review it. I'm just going to ask, on the second page, about the poststanders in the top section. Is this a document you're familiar with? Not this specific one but Manpower Requests.

18 Requests.

19 Witness : In general, yes.

HSGAC Majority : And at the top of page 2, under the section that says Post-Standers, it lists total USSS, other federal agencies, wondering if you can help us understand the distribution here. So our understanding is there were three poststanders, or excuse me, three jump teams, each with one



post-stander from Secret Service, so that's three, and then five HSI agents on two of the jump teams, and six HSI agents on one of the other jump teams. Where are the other three Secret Service positions?

5 The other three post-standers were Witness : б from the Secret Service post-standing pool of investigative 7 field agents. So for example, had this been a presidential 8 rally or a non-campaign event, post-standers would come 9 from all over the United States, from Secret Service 10 offices, from their INV roles as criminal investigators. 11 So three of these post-standers were from the field, and 12 also were Secret Service agents.

HSGAC Majority : And are jump team leaders and jump team agents always post-standers?

15 Witness : Yes.

16 HSGAC Majority: Who determined how many jump teams were 17 needed for the July 13th rally?

18 I don't know. The jump teams would be Witness : 19 -- the post-standers would be requested. A number would be 20 submitted, this is how many we're requesting. And then 21 someone else would make a determination on where those 22 bodies would come from, if they would be jump teams or if 23 there were bodies within district, where it says out of 24 district, non-district, or in-district. If there were 25 people available from the Pittsburgh area, they would be



selected first to stand post, due to proximity. So it
 really could come from whoever was available.

3 HSGAC Majority : And aside from your particular role as 4 jump team leader for Jump Team 3 as well as the site 5 protective intelligence agent, which was a roving position, 6 were all of the other jump team positions post-standers or 7 were they roving?

8 They were all post-standers. : Witness 9 I'm showing you what I'll mark as HSGAC Majority 10 Exhibit 2, which is the Secret Service Site Map Diagram. 11 [Exhibit No. 2 was 12 marked for identification.] 13 HSGAC Majority : Is this the document you saw in advance 14 of the July 13th rally?

Witness Yes. This would've been included in the site post briefing.

HSGAC Majority : Does this document indicate where the post-standers, where the jump teams were positions?
Witness : No. It doesn't have post-stander

20 positions. The positions for PD, that's local law 21 enforcement. It doesn't have the individual Secret Service 22 and HSI post-standers.

HSGAC Majority : Do you know where those three jump teams were stationed throughout, for the rally?

25 Witness : To a degree.



1 HSGAC Majority : Are you able to just mark to give us -2 3 Witness : There were some that I was not 4 familiar with, the exterior vehicle checkpoints. I never 5 encountered those agents, or I didn't post them up. I can tell you approximately where people were that I 6 7 encountered, but I --

8 HSGAC Majority : Sure. If you could just walk us through 9 approximately.

10 Witness : So there would've been one agent at 11 the arrival/departure area --

12 HSGAC Majority : Okay.

13 : -- which is another standard post at Witness 14 every site. That would've been in the area of where the 15 motorcade had staged. There would've been an agent at the 16 Hold Room, which is another standard post. That would've 17 probably been at this other orange X, just beyond the 18 There were **ES** agents, what we call "dais motorcade. 19 agents." They would have been staged right and left. The 20 dais is another general post that you would see at any 21 venue.

22 There were, I believe, 📧 agents at the press riser, 23 which was in the crowd area. There was an agent in a 24 vehicle post, by a pedestrian gate.

25 And so for each jump team, these agents HSGAC Majority



1 are located throughout, not all in a singular area. 2 : Witness No. HSGAC Majority : Or in a concentrated area. 3 4 Witness : All three jump teams were at this 5 venue with the exception of one jump team leader who was at б the airport. Everybody else was here at this site. 7 HSGAC Majority : And were any jump teams located or 8 positioned near the AGR building? 9 : Not that I'm aware of, no. Witness 10 HSGAC Majority : Is it common to serve as both the 11 protective intelligence agent and a jump team leader? 12 : For the campaign -- the Protective Witness 13 Intelligence site PI assignment is a post-standing 14 assignment, so it would be a post-stander, and it's common 15 at every site. For the campaign, those assignments 16 Protective Intelligence site PI, is typically given to a 17 Secret Service agent rather than an HSI agent, because of the nature of our threat investigations. 18 That's not an 19 investigation that they conduct. They wouldn't have the 20 experience for conducting those interviews, so that 21 typically goes to a Secret Service agent, which is a jump 22 team leader.

HSGAC Majority: What I'm trying to get at is, is it a resource issue why you fulfilled both roles, or is it a common practice?



1 No. I would be standing post : Witness 2 regardless, and being a jump team leader. So I could've 3 been in the Security Room. I could've been at a fixed 4 post. I could've been the CS Response. For this 5 particular event I happened to be site PI. But it was б common for the jump team leader to do any manner of those 7 posts.

8 HSGAC Majority : And did your responsibilities as a site 9 PI, were they at all hindered by also serving as a jump 10 team lead?

11 Witness I wouldn't say hindered, no. But I 12 was operating in both capacities at the same time.

HSGAC Majority : And I think you answered this but just 13 14 to make sure, were there any other site PIs there that day? 15 : No. Not that I'm aware of. Witness 16 HSGAC Majority : And so, you know, for the 15,000-plus 17 people that were there, and the vast area that needed to be 18 covered, you were the only one tasked with responding to a 19 report of a suspicious individual. Is that correct?

20 Witness : Myself and then there was a CS 21 Response Agent, a Counter Sniper Response Agent. He would 22 --

HSGAC Majority: What's the difference?
Witness: Yeah, he would have a similar role.
However, he would be addressing individuals specifically



called out by the Counter Sniper Team, whereas I would
respond to anything reported suspicious, be it by local law
enforcement, post-stander, attendee, Security Room,
uniformed division officer. The counter sniper response
agent would be primarily coordinating with the Counter
Sniper Team to respond to things that they saw.

7 HSGAC Majority : Did you have any involvement in the 8 planning for the rally?

9 Witness : No.

HSGAC Majority : What documents did you review in advance of and in preparation for the rally?

Witness : The documents that I received via email from the advance team, logistics and site post instructions, site post log, and then there would've been the diagram.

16 HSGAC Majority: Did you review the post-stander map from 17 the Pennsylvania State Police Department?

18 Witness : No. I didn't receive that.

19 HSGAC Majority : Did you review any of the local

- 20 operational plans from Butler ESU?
- 21Witness: No. I didn't receive any of those.22HSGAC Majority: Did you go to the Butler Farm Show
- 23 grounds prior to July 13th?
- 24 Witness : I did not.
- 25 HSGAC Majority : Who was in charge of advance planning



1 for the Butler rally?

2 Witness : The site agent from the Trump detail. 3 HSGAC Majority : And I apologize if I asked this and I 4 forgot your answer, but who was responsible for determining 5 where the Secret Service post-standers would be positioned? 6 Is that the site agent?

7 Witness : That would've been the site agent,
8 yes.

9 HSGAC Majority : How does the security perimeter
 10 generally affect your responsibilities and duties as a site
 11 protective intelligence agent?

12 : So my primarily responsibility and Witness duties would be within the site itself. That's not to say 13 14 that I could not respond to something outside the site. I 15 have done that in the past, and that does happen. However, 16 it is typically, for example, an exterior police post, 17 let's say, would encounter someone outside the site that 18 would be suspicious or unusual to the degree that they felt 19 the Secret Service needed to respond. Then they would call 20 for a site PI or someone within the Secret Service to 21 respond to that. That's not something that I would 22 initiate myself outside the site. I would have to be 23 called by someone outside of the site to respond to 24 something. My primary responsibility is within the site, 25 the crowd, the attendees, and the immediate stage and area.



HSGAC Majority : Did you have any concerns about the
perimeter on July 13th?

3 Witness : I did not.

HSGAC Majority : With an area as large as the Butler Farm Show grounds, with an event that garnered so many individuals, in your view is it, you know, realistic to cover that wide, that vast amount of area? Is that reasonable? Is it effective? Do you think there should be more site PIs?

Witness I felt confident that I could respond to anything that needed my attention. It would have been beneficial to have more, but I didn't feel like I could not do my job effectively with what I had.

HSGAC Majority : And given the security perimeter -- and we'll get to this in more detail -- but in this particular instance, on July 13th, you were called to respond to a suspicious individual outside of the perimeter. Is that correct?

19 Witness : I was.

HSGAC Majority : And did the fencing and any other obstacles that might have been present, did that impede at all in your ability to respond to find the suspicious individual?

24 Witness : It did. The immediate perimeter was 25 encased. We were surrounded by a chain-link fence. When



we attempted to go to the area where the individual was reported to be, we were stopped at the chain-link fence and there was a locked gate. Had I been able to go further at that time I would have. There was about a 20-yard grassy area and then a second chain-link fence, and the individual was reported to be on the other side of that second fence, in an industrial area.

8 I went as far as I could go physically, and I visually inspected the area, and I didn't see the person. 9 The 10 reporting parties didn't have a visual on them at that 11 time. I didn't feel comfortable, given that the program 12 had begun and that President Trump was en route, I didn't 13 feel comfortable leaving the venue to go look for somebody. 14 I was relying on other means to locate and detain this 15 person before responding.

HSGAC Majority : And we'll go through in detail the search for the suspicious person. But did you ever leave the security perimeter?

19 Witness : I did not leave the venue itself, no. 20 HSGAC Majority : And when you say "the venue," you're 21 talking about the security perimeter where attendees had to 22 go through the magnetometers, correct, and the fenced-in 23 area.

24 Witness : When I went to look for this person 25 was behind the stage, behind the barns, through the parking



1 lot, all the way to the chain-link fence, as far as I 2 could go in the golf cart that I had. To go any further to 3 look for this person I would've had to get in a vehicle and 4 leave the venue and drive on a public road outside the Farm 5 Show property to look for this person. So I went as far as 6 I could, within the fencing of the Farm Show. 7 HSGAC Majority : Going to the morning of July 13th, did 8 you attend the 10 a.m. briefing that day? 9 Witness : I did. 10 HSGAC Majority : How did you learn of your state PI 11 counterpart? 12 I had received an email prior to the : Witness 13 13th from the Protective Intelligence advance, providing me 14 a name and contact information for the State Trooper that 15 would be assigned to assist me. 16 HSGAC Majority : And who was that State Trooper? 17 Witness : PSP Counterpart 18 HSGAC Majority : And when did you meet with Trooper PSP Counterpart 19 20 The morning of the 13th, after the 10 Witness : 21 a.m. briefing. 22 Did Trooper PSP Counterpart have a radio for HSGAC Majority 23 state and/or local communications? 24 : He did. Witness 25 For which ones? For state? For local? HSGAC Majority



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) Witness
 He had his issued radio for
 communications with Pennsylvania State Police, who had a
 presence in the Security Room.

HSGAC Majority : And one other thing that happened the
morning of the 13th. I'm happy to pull the document if you
don't recall. But I'm curious if you recall getting an
auto message of one of the jump team members being out of
the country. Do you recall that?

9 Witness : Yes. The original list of names that 10 I was given included an individual who had been replaced. 11 His replacement hadn't been included in that first email 12 distribution list. I got into contact with him prior to 13 the event, and used his contact information, and we 14 resolved the issue.

HSGAC Majority : So you were not down a jump team member.
Witness : No. He had been replaced. I just
wasn't given the name of his replacement, and his
replacement had been left off the email distribution list.
HSGAC Majority : Understood.

Witness : He was there, though.

HSGAC Majority : Okay. Turning to the search for the suspicious individual, when did you first learn about the suspicious individual with a rangefinder? Or when did you first learn about the suspicious individual?

25 Witness : Myself and Trooper PSP Counterpart at the same



20

1 time. We were together. We received information that a 2 suspicious person, a person had been observed outside the 3 venue, along the fence line, with a rangefinder. 4 HSGAC Majority : Where were you when you learned this 5 information? б : We were in the stage left area. Witness 7 HSGAC Majority : So I'm showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 3. 8 9 [Exhibit No. 3 was 10 marked for identification.] 11 And this is an overview of the site HSGAC Majority : 12 diagram from the Butler ESU. I have copies. One moment. 13 [Pause.] 14 HSGAC Majority : Here we go. Have you seen this document 15 before? 16 I have not. : Witness 17 HSGAC Majority : So looking at this document, is this an 18 accurate depiction of an aerial depiction of the Butler 19 Farm Show grounds and the event venue on July 13th? 20 Witness It is. 21 HSGAC Majority : Could you mark with pen where you and 22 Trooper PSP Counterpart were when you first learned of the 23 suspicious individual? 24 Witness : Okay. 25 If you can just put an X. HSGAC Majority



Witness :

1

: Okay.

HSGAC Majority : So it looks like you are directly in
front of the barn that is furthest away from the AGR
building.

5 Witness : The stage was here, and we were in the 6 stage left area.

HSGAC Majority : Stage left. And who did you learn this
8 information from?

9 Trooper PSP Counterpart and I received the Witness : 10 information at the same time. I can't recall if it was via 11 radio or cellphone. There were also uniformed Pennsylvania 12 State Patrol officers in the area. We learned at that time 13 a local tactical team, Pennsylvania State Patrol, reported 14 somebody outside the fence line for the event with a 15 rangefinder. Myself, Trooper PSP Counterpart and one of the 16 uniformed troopers then decided to respond to that tactical 17 team's position.

HSGAC Majority : So a Pennsylvania State Police officer
 informed you and Trooper PSP Counterpart

Witness : There were a number of us standing around in the same area talking, working on crowd control in that immediate area. We were having some issues with crowds spilling outside of the bike rack area. So we were lined up along that area to contain the crowd.

25 It was passed to me through Trooper psp counterpart, and it



1 could've been through the radio, as well. I don't recall 2 exactly how I heard. I have an earpiece in one ear where 3 I'm getting radio traffic, and I'm communicating face-to-4 face and hearing things through my other ear at the same 5 time. We both received the information at the same time 6 and decided to respond.

7 HSGAC Majority : And approximately what time did you
8 first learn about the suspicious individual?

9 Witness : At approximately 5:40.

10 HSGAC Majority : 5:40?

11 Witness : It could've been a little earlier. I 12 would say between 5:30 and 5:40, in that area.

HSGAC Majority : And what exactly did you learn about the suspicious individual?

Witness : That was it. There was a suspicious individual outside the venue, along the fence line, with a rangefinder.

HSGAC Majority: Did that raise, the fact that the suspicious individual had a rangefinder, did that raise the level of suspicion or threat, in your view?

21 Witness : It's what I would consider unusual or 22 suspicious. There were a number of pedestrians or 23 onlookers along that fence line, I would say approximately 24 10 to 12. They were not visible from the stage left are. 25 Until we responded to that area where the tactical team



reported seeing this person, I had no idea there were any people along that fence line. When we went over there, there were a number, as I said, a number of public lined up along the fence line.

5 HSGAC Majority : And in terms of the location of the 6 suspicious individual, was it communicated to you where 7 approximately he was seen?

8 Witness : Initially it was communicated along 9 the fence line, outside the venue. Until we responded to 10 where that tactical team was positioned, who was reporting 11 the information, I did not know where that area was.

HSGAC Majority : Why not? Was it because you didn't have a map, or was it because --

14 Witness : It was described as the fence line 15 outside the venue.

16 HSGAC Majority : Not --

17 Witness : It could've been anywhere.

18 Witness : Not with sufficient specificity.

HSGAC Majority : Yes. It could've been anywhere. We
first needed to get to where the reporting party was to
then determine where this fence line was where this person
was seen.

HSGAC Majority : And during this time did you receive a photo of the suspicious individual?

25 Witness : Not at this time, no.



HSGAC Majority : And when you first learned about the suspicious individual, our understanding is that, or what did you do with this information when you first learned about it?

5 Witness : As far as with the information, or 6 what did I do?

7 HSGAC Majority: What did you do when you learned that 8 there was a suspicious individual with a rangefinder 9 outside the fence line?

10 Witness : Trooper psp counterpart and myself and a 11 uniformed Pennsylvania State Trooper, we got on the golf 12 cart that we were using and we drove over to the area where 13 the tactical team was positioned, that reported the 14 information, and looked for the individual.

HSGAC Majority : And was that a Post-Stander 5?
Witness : It wasn't a Secret Service fixed post.
It was a Pennsylvania State Patrol post.

HSGAC Majority : So I'll show you what's been marked as
Exhibit 4, which is the Pennsylvania State Police
Operations Manual.

21[Exhibit No. 4 was22marked for identification.]23HSGAC Majority : Have you seen this document before?24Witness : Not on that day, no.

25 HSGAC Majority : Not on that day. But since then?



1 I would've in previous interviews. Witness : HSGAC Majority : Would that document --2 3 Witness : I --4 HSGAC Majority : Would that document have been helpful to 5 have in advance, specifically referring to the map of where 6 the post-standers were, on page 4? 7 It would have been helpful to know : Witness 8 where the local law enforcement posts were, yes. 9 HSGAC Majority : And was it Posts 4 and 5 on the 10 Pennsylvania State Police Operational Plan Page 4 where you 11 went with Trooper PSP Counterpart 12 There was a local tactical team Witness : Yes. there, and there was also a uniformed trooper with a marked 13 14 unit parked just outside of the chain-link fence and chain-15 link gate that was locked. 16 HSGAC Majority : And what did you learn, if anything, 17 when you were at this post? 18 Witness : Nothing actionable. They repeated the 19 information, there was a suspicious individual with a 20 rangefinder. There was limited physical description. I 21 believe he was described as a white male with long hair. 22 **HSGAC Majority** : Who relayed this information? 23 : The tactical team and the uniformed Witness 24 trooper at Post 4. The State Police. 25 HSGAC Majority



1 : Yes. Witness 2 HSGAC Majority : Not local police. 3 Witness : No. The local tactical team that was 4 staged in that area. So Posts 4 and 5, we spoke with the 5 officers there. б HSGAC Majority : And that's the State Police posts. 7 Witness : Yes. 8 HSGAC Majority : And so you learned this information at 9 approximately 5:30 or 5:40 --10 Witness : In that area. HSGAC Majority : -- in that range. But our understanding 11 12 is you did not call Special Agent Intel Advance Lead until 6:05. Why wait that amount of time to inform him? 13 14 : At that time we had a limited physical Witness 15 description. The individual was not in the area. No one 16 knew where this person had gone or where they were. It was vague, at best. At that time, while we were looking for 17 18 them, I noticed a couple of uniformed police cars with 19 markings in that industrial area. I asked Trooper PSP Counterpart 20 to reach out to those officers, find out who was over

21 there, see if they had seen this person, look for this 22 person.

I also noticed the counter sniper teams on the roof. I called the Counter Sniper advance, asked if any of his counter sniper teams had seen this person. They did not



1 know where this person was. I instructed him if they saw
2 him to call me and that I would respond and interview this
3 person. There was no more information to pass at that time
4 other than what was already known by the Security Room and
5 had already been passed. I had no additional intel.

6 HSGAC Majority : How did you know -- did you hear at all 7 this information being relayed on the Secret Service radio? 8 Witness Trooper PSP Counterpart had communication with : 9 the Pennsylvania State Patrol representative in the 10 Security Room. I don't remember specifically communicating with the Security Room. I know that from his end he was 11 12 getting information from the Security Room. The 13 understanding was they were aware of the situation. I had 14 no further intelligence than what was passed to me.

HSGAC Majority : Given how big the Butler Farm Show grounds were, did it ever occur to you to call this information in to the Security Room so that others could be made aware of the suspicious individual and keep an eye out for him?

Witness : Again, at the time I was under the understanding that the Security Room had the information, being that it was being relayed to my partner and to me. None of the other posts in the area, that I was aware of, had a visual to the fence line. There were interior posts, but there were risers that would've blocked line of sight



1 from the stage posts. Same for the people on the press
2 riser. There weren't any other posts that would've had a
3 visual of this area. It was that far removed.

HSGAC Majority : Were you at all privy to the local radio
communications that day?

6 Witness : I was not. It was being relayed to me 7 through my partner, my counterpart.

8 HSGAC Majority : So at 5:42 p.m., a Butler ESU commander radios out, and this is on their radio channel, PD Ops 4, 9 10 and he says, I quote, "Just an FYI. We had a younger white 11 male, long hair, lurking around the AGR building. He was 12 viewed with a rangefinder, sighting the stage. He was up against the building. I believe he was looking up at us 13 14 and he walked around the side of the building. We lost 15 sight of him. There was a bike with a large backpack 16 sitting beside it. It's parked in the rear of the 17 building. That wasn't there earlier."

18 Would this information have been helpful for you to 19 hear?

Witness I t would have. I did not hear it. I did not know Butler ESU was out there that day. The only local law enforcement agency I was aware of was the Pennsylvania State Police.

HSGAC Majority: You were not aware that Butler ESU was could you say that one more time?


Witness
 I was not aware Butler ESU was out
 there supporting the visit.

3 HSGAC Majority : What was your understanding of how the 4 AGR building was covered?

5 Witness : I had no understanding of where the 6 AGR building was, what it was, or how it was covered. That 7 was not briefed to me.

8 HSGAC Majority : When you learned that the suspicious 9 individual with the rangefinder was near the AGR building, 10 did you go to the AGR building?

HSGAC Majority : It was never reported to me that this person was near the AGR building. I was never briefed on the AGR building or where it was located. All I was briefed on was the site itself, and the only intelligence I received was a suspicious person along a fence line with a rangefinder. The AGR building was never mentioned specifically.

18 [Pause.]

19 : And so I'd like to go through just where Witness 20 we left off. Initially you learned of the suspicious 21 individual with a rangefinder when you were stage left. 22 You then traveled on the golf cart with Trooper PSP Counterpart to 23 Pennsylvania State Police post-standers 4 and 5. You then 24 learned, at that time, a little bit more information, a 25 description of the individual. At that time did you



1 receive a photo or any other information?

2 Witness : Not at that time.

3 HSGAC Majority : Okay. so what did you do from there? 4 Witness : Once I concluded my phone call with 5 the Counter Sniper Advance agent, and Trooper PSP Counterpart 6 finished his phone call asking for local support in looking 7 for this person, we got back on the golf cart and we were 8 in the process of returning back to the stage left area to 9 resume crowd control. When we got to the parking lot 10 behind the barn, Trooper PSP Counterpart advised that his vehicle 11 was parked in that lot and volunteered to get in his truck 12 and drive the fence line outside the venue, looking for 13 this person. I agreed that that was a good use of our 14 manpower. The uniformed trooper and I then continued back 15 to the stage left area, and Trooper PSP Counterpart broke away, got 16 in his vehicle, and was driving the exterior fence line, 17 looking for the individual that had been reported. 18 HSGAC Majority : And what did you then do? 19 : I went back to the stage left area to Witness 20 resume crowd control. 21 HSGAC Majority : Did you inform either the Command Post 22 or the Security Room at any point that you then stopped 23 looking for the suspicious individual?

Witness : I did not, my team, meaning that
Trooper PSP Counterpart was still looking. There was no one visible



at that time. I wouldn't say that we stopped looking.
There was nothing further to be done in that area for me,
unless this person had been located and detained for
questioning. I was not in a position to leave the venue to
go look for this person.

6 HSGAC Majority : And can you estimate around what time
7 this was when you returned back to, you mentioned stage
8 left, to do crowd control?

9 Witness I 'd say approximately 5:45, a few 10 minutes after. We were in that area with the Posts 4 and 5 11 for I would say 10 to 15 minutes.

HSGAC Majority : I think it might be helpful, let me show you some text messages which might be helpful in terms of putting times --

15 Witness : Okay.

HSGAC Majority : -- on these things, because I know it's been a while. So I'm showing you two sets of text messages. One is Exhibit 5 and then the other will be Exhibit 6. And the redactions you will see on these messages were not applied by us but Secret Service.
Exhibits No. 5 and 6 were marked for identification.]

HSGAC Majority: So if we could first look at the text message that has, I believe it's Exhibit 5.

25 Witness : Mm-hmm.





F TP One

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376)

HSGAC Majority : And talk about that communication. 1 2 : When I responded back to the stage Witness 3 left area I encountered him. We shared information. We 4 basically had the same information, a vague physical 5 description of a person outside the venue, along a fence 6 line, with a rangefinder. He then advised he had received 7 a photo of this person, and he shared it with me via text 8 message, and then I passed that to Trooper PSP Counterpart and to 9 Special Agent Intel Advance Lead

HSGAC Majority : And so this was at 5:52. At 5:52, Special Agent C/S Response said he texted you a photo of the suspicious individual. Why wait so long to share it with Special Agent Intel Advance Lead

14 Witness : I don't believe it was that long. I 15 don't know how long the picture would have taken to go out 16 once it was being sent. Once I received it I sent it. 17 There was no intentional delay.

18 HSGAC Majority : But it was 15 minutes from the time you 19 received the photo from Special Agent C/S Response And just for the record, I don't know that we've received this text 20 21 message where Special Agent C/S Response sent a text to 22 Special Agent Witness I could be wrong, but I think 23 that's probably from Special Agent C/S Response TI. 24 : I don't recall it being 15 minutes. Witness 25 That seems long to me. I believe it was a shorter time



1 frame.

HSGAC Majority : And in this text message, Intel Advance Lead responds, "Command Post PSP Trooper said he may be walking toward the Sheetz." Why didn't you go toward the Sheetz at that time?"

6 : At that time I didn't know what the Witness I'm not from Pennsylvania. I was unaware that 7 Sheetz was. 8 was a gas station. I learned that after the fact. I 9 didn't know where it was, well outside the venue I later 10 found out. The assumption among those of us that had been 11 looking was that this person was probably leaving the area. 12 HSGAC Majority : And turning to Exhibit 6, you then shared that information, "Command Post PSP Trooper said he 13 14 may be walking toward the Sheetz." Is this with your 15 Pennsylvania State Police counterpart, Trooper PSP Counterpart 16 Witness : No. What happened here was Special 17 Agent Intel Advance Lead and I were texting each other at the exact 18 That's actually information he's passing to me. same time. 19 My text is in the green, and that was from him to me. They 20 came in --

21 HSGAC Majority : At the same time.

22 Witness : -- at the same time, I think within 23 seconds, so his appeared first.

HSGAC Majority : Understood. But going to Exhibit 6 -Witness : Okay.







Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) which I observed to be near an industrial area. I did
 not know that was the AGR building or what any of those
 buildings were.

HSGAC Majority : And at that time, when you decided to go back to crowd control, knowing that you're the only protective intelligence agent who is tasked with looking for suspicious individuals, and that there is a suspicious individual somewhere on-site, that local police officers lost sight of for over 20 minutes, why did you not inform anyone that you stopped your search?

11 Witness : So the person was not inside the site. 12 They were outside the site, in a public area where people 13 were gathered. I never stopped searching. I had no 14 additional intelligence. I had no additional information 15 on where to look or how to encounter this person. The 16 stage left area was a large section of the crowd that was 17 being contained with bike rack. The people in this crowd 18 were taking apart the bike rack and spilling into a walkway 19 with direct access to the motorcade. Had that crowd broken 20 through that bike rack completely, they could have 21 overwhelmed the motorcade. They were also within throwing 22 distance of the stage.

Based on the information I had, which was a suspicious individual with a rangefinder looking at the stage outside the venue, I had no more information. The person was not



detained. They were not visible in the area. Other
people had the information and had a better vantage point
than I did.

4 HSGAC Majority : And when you say other people, who? 5 The counter snipers on top of the : Witness 6 buildings had a better vantage point of that area than I 7 I was on foot, at a fence line, approximately 25 did. 8 yards away from where the person was spotted, and they were 9 no longer in the area. They were no longer visible. Had 10 they been detained, had they been stopped, I would have left and interviewed them. I was not in a position to 11 12 leave the venue and to conduct a search for this person at 13 that time.

14 HSGAC Majority : Did you inform Special Agent ntel Advance Lead
15 that you stopped your search?

16 : Again, I wasn't stopping a search. I Witness 17 needed more information. I needed a location. This person 18 had to be detained for me to be able to respond to them. 19 My job is not to leave the venue and search for people. My 20 job is to interview people with a suspicious interest, with 21 an unusual interest, or someone that's making a threat. 22 Yes, this person's behavior was suspicious. I'm not in a 23 position to leave the venue and to go look for this person. 24 My responsibility is a post-stander within the protected 25 site.



HSGAC Majority : So what did you do to make sure that the Secret Service Security Room and the Secret Service personnel who were tasked with making decisions about keeping the President on and/or off stage, made sure that this individual was actively being looked for and others were aware that there was a suspicious individual?

7 : The Security Room, it was my Witness understanding at that time the Security Room was aware of 8 9 this person, being that they had passed information to 10 people who had shared that information with me. I was 11 among the people who had responded to look. I was not 12 initiating any of this. I was responding to information I 13 was being given, along with other people. I was not in a 14 position to provide any additional intelligence. I had 15 nothing else to contribute to the search. I was waiting 16 for more information. Had anything else come, I would have 17 responded in kind.

HSGAC Majority : And is there any protocol for protective intelligence agents when you're in this type of position, where, based on what you're saying, you are unable to leave the security perimeter but know that there is a potentially dangerous individual that needs to be confronted? What are you supposed to do?

24 Witness : At this point it was never

25 communicated that this person was dangerous. Yes, it was



1 unusual that someone would be using a rangefinder to look 2 at the stage. The information that Butler ESU put on the 3 radio, that wasn't known until after the fact. That was 4 never passed to me. I never received it. There were a 5 number of people on that fence line doing any manner of б things that could be considered unusual. I observed a 7 woman on horseback with a flag, riding up and down the 8 fence line.

9 Yes, this was unusual. Yes, it was concerning. But 10 again, had this person been detained or stopped, had 11 someone who had seen this person asked them to stand by and 12 then called for specifically Secret Service to interview 13 them, I would have gone and interviewed them.

14 HSGAC Majority : But your role was to confront and 15 interview individuals. Correct?

16 Witness : Within the site. Outside of the site, 17 I am not roving outside the security perimeter looking for 18 people. I had 15,000 people inside. Any manner of threat 19 can develop inside these events. That's my primary 20 responsibility.

HSGAC Majority : Would you characterize your search for the suspicious individual with the rangefinder as urgent? Witness : At that time, based on the information knew, it was not urgent. I was concerned. There was never any mention of a weapon or any direct threat. The



1 only concerning information was the rangefinder at that 2 time.

3 HSGAC Majority : And to your knowledge, who else was
4 involved in searching for the suspicious individual?
5 Witness : Pennsylvania State Police.
6 HSGAC Majority : And in your view, was Secret Service's
7 search for the suspicious individual sufficiently
8 coordinated?

9 There were no Secret Service personnel Witness : 10 outside the venue looking for this person that I was aware 11 of. Myself and Special Agent C/S Response would have been 12 tasked to respond to somebody had they been located or 13 detained. Being that the person was in an unknown 14 location, not visible, there was nothing to respond to. 15 HSGAC Majority : Did you hear the Secret Service radio 16 alerts about the locals addressing a 3 o'clock issue 200 17 yards out?

18 Witness : I did not.

19 HSGAC Majority : Why not?

Witness : They were passed on a different channel. The post-standers were operating on one channel, and the detail operates on a different channel. So that was communicated on a radio that I was not privy to. HSGAC Majority : And before shots were fired, were you ever made aware that there was someone on the roof?



1 : Prior to the shots being fired, Witness there was information passed, I believe it was verbally, 2 3 among those of us in that area, that someone was spotted on 4 the roof of the AGR building. This was the first time I 5 heard the AGR building addressed by name. Prior to that 6 statement, I had no idea what the AGR building was or where 7 it was located, and at that time I did not know what it was 8 or where it was located.

9 HSGAC Majority : Did you consider putting that 10 information out on the radio, that there was someone on the 11 roof of the AGR building?

12 : That information was being Witness 13 communicated through my counterpart, Trooper PSP Counterpart and 14 the Pennsylvania State Police. It had been passed to them 15 through their coms. It was my understanding that that was 16 originating in the Security Room, through the Pennsylvania 17 State rep in the Security Room. So my understanding was 18 they were aware.

HSGAC Majority : But there's someone on the roof of a building that's 200 yards from where the President is speaking. It did not occur to you to put that out on the radio, since you had not heard it out on the radio? Witness : Again, I didn't know where the building was or what the building was. So I have no idea what the AGR building is or where it's located. I had no



1 more information. I was asking State Police at that time 2 what is the AGR building and where is the AGR building. I 3 had no other information. It was my understanding this was 4 being communicated through the local police rep in the 5 Security Room. I did not put any additional information on б the radio because I didn't have any additional information. 7 While we were trying to determine this location and what 8 this building was, that's when the shots rang out.

9 HSGAC Majority : Understood. I'll turn it over to my
 10 colleague.

Witness : Thank you.
HSGAC Majority : Special Agent Witness my name is
HSGAC Majority . I'm on Senator Peters' team with the

14 Committee.

Just following up on my colleague's questions about the perimeter and your role, have you ever been instructed that you're not allowed to leave the security perimeter other than you as a PI agent?

19 Witness : No.

HSGAC Majority: Is there any policy, that you are aware of, prohibiting you as a PI agent from leaving the security perimeter at an event?

23 Witness : No. There is not.

HSGAC Majority: So what gives you the understanding that you should not be leaving the security perimeter?



1 There was not an understanding that : Witness 2 I should not be leaving. The issue was there was nothing 3 specific I am responding to. There was nobody to be 4 interviewed. There was nobody to contact. If I had 5 stepped up to that fence line when I had first gotten the 6 information and seen that person, I would have gone to that 7 person and tried to make contact with them. They were not 8 visible, and I had no other information other than a vague 9 physical description.

10 HSGAC Majority : But forgive my confusion. Wasn't that 11 your job? In other words, wasn't it on you to go find that 12 person?

13 : Not necessarily, no. My primary Witness 14 responsibility is the site itself and the crowd in the 15 site. If the person had been visible, if I had seen them 16 or if they had been detained and they were calling for someone to come interview them, this is something the 17 18 Secret Service needs to respond to, this is something they 19 need to question, I would have responded. There was 20 nothing to respond to at that time other than a description 21 of a person that was no longer in the area.

HSGAC Majority: What gave you the impression that they were no longer in the area?

Witness : They were not visible, to anyone.
HSGAC Majority : So you didn't know where they were.



1 Witness : No.

HSGAC Majority : So you didn't know positively that they
were no longer in the area.

Witness I knew they were no longer anywhere visible that I could respond to. I did not feel at the time I was in a position to leave the venue, go to an industrial area that I was unfamiliar with, and to conduct a search for this person.

9 HSGAC Majority : So just to clarify, not knowing where 10 someone was gave you confidence that they were not in a 11 certain area?

12 Witness Not knowing where they were gave me 13 confidence in I needed to wait for more information before 14 I left the venue to contact them.

15 HSGAC Majority : Understood.

16 Witness : If there's no one to see or interview, 17 I did not feel comfortable leaving the venue to wander this 18 area looking for someone.

HSGAC Majority : Have you ever left the security
perimeter at a previous event?

21 Witness : I have.

22 HSGAC Majority : For what reasons?

Witness : There were a number of times, and one specific time there were a group of protesters, a crowd, gathered outside of a venue, and we had intelligence from



local police one of the protesters was armed legally, under their clothing. And I walked across the street from the venue to get a better visual on the post-stander, a better physical description. They were visible from the venue. I walked across the street, got a good visible description and a look at the person, should something happen.

8 HSGAC Majority : But in this case, as you've said, you 9 felt that it wouldn't have been appropriate for you to 10 leave the security perimeter to look for this suspicious 11 person.

12 No. Being that I would have had to Witness : 13 get into a vehicle, drive completely off property, onto a 14 public road, into an area I was unfamiliar with, and look 15 for a person I had a vague physical description of, the 16 crowd itself was a bigger threat at that time, I felt. I 17 needed more information. I needed a picture. I needed a 18 physical person to talk to.

HSGAC Majority : Well, when my colleague was first asking you about the search for the suspicious person, you said that initially you encountered the fence and it was locked, and that if it hadn't been locked you would've gone out further to look for the suspicious person.

24 Witness : Yes.

25 HSGAC Majority : So explain the difference now why you



initially said you would've gone further outside the security perimeter if the gate hadn't been locked, but now you're saying that you were prohibited, or at least it was your understanding that you shouldn't have left the perimeter.

6 : If the gate hadn't been locked I Witness 7 would've crossed that 20 yards of grass right up to the 8 fence line, face-to-face with those people, and I would've 9 engaged them, "Hey, did you see somebody over here? What 10 did they do? Where did they go?" I would've continued 11 along. Being that I had to go and get in a vehicle and 12 then drive outside a fence line to the other fence line to 13 conduct those interviews while the program was beginning, 14 when the protectee was en route, staying inside the site 15 felt like the bigger priority to me at the time.

HSGAC Majority : Understood. I appreciate it. I'm going to turn it to my PSI majority colleague for some brief follow-up.

PSI Majority : Hi there. Just a couple of questions, Special Agent Witness In radio communications referring to the AGR building, do you recall was it primarily local, like Pennsylvania State Police making these references, or were there Secret Service personnel also using the term "AGR building"?

25 Witness : The

The first time I heard the term "AGR



building" was when someone was reported to be on the roof of the building. I had never heard that term, the name of the building, prior to that. The Pennsylvania State Police in the immediate area that I was communicating with did not know where the building was located. We were trying to determine that as we got the information.

7 PSI Majority : And are you aware, or do you recall if 8 any briefing materials that you received ahead of this 9 event labeled or identified the AGR building?

10 Witness : The first time I heard the term "AGR" 11 was the report that someone was on the roof.

12 PSI Majority : Okay. Thank you very much.

13 Witness : You're welcome.

HSGAC Majority : Just one follow-up question, or a couple of follow-up questions. Our understanding from an interview of Trooper PSP counterpart is that he did not have a Department radio on him at the time. Was that something that you were made aware of?

Witness I do not recall. I believed he did have a radio. I knew he had communication with his agency. I assumed it was via radio.

HSGAC Majority : You did not know that he may have not had a radio on him.

24 Witness : No.

25 HSGAC Majority : And did you experience difficulties with



1

- cellphone service that day?
- 2 Witness : I did not.

HSGAC Majority : And I believe we are nearing the hour,
so we will take a five-minute break and then resume with
our colleagues from Ranking Member Paul's office.

6 Witness : Okay.

7 [Recess.]

8 HSGAC Minority : Thank you. I'm HSGAC Minority of 9 Senator Rand Paul's office. I've just got some questions 10 that we've come in with and then multiple follow-ups, just 11 on what we've heard, from what you've already testified on. 12 First of all, can you go back and just kind of clarify 13 when you first got a notification of that suspicious 14 individual, later known as Thomas Crooks. Give me a kind 15 of a timeline when you first heard that, that there was a 16 suspicious person in that area.

17 Witness : The specific times obviously are a 18 little foggy being that it's been four months. Between 19 5:20, 5:45, in that area, it was communicated to me there 20 was a suspicious person seen outside the venue, on a fence 21 line, with a rangefinder. That was all the information I 22 had. I responded to the area of where the reporting party 23 was, to follow up with them, and try and establish a visual of where this person was, who this person was. 24

25

HSGAC Minority

Scheduling@TP.One

www.TP.One

Where was that area that you responded

1 to? I think you've told us it was in the area of post, I
2 think she showed you --

- 3 Witness : 4 and 5.
- 4 HSGAC Minority : --4 and 5.

5 Witness : To the stage right area there were 6 bleachers, tall bleachers. It was behind those bleachers, 7 right up along the interior fence line where it cordoned 8 off the property of where we were in the venue.

9 HSGAC Minority : Did you get to speak with the person
10 who made the report?

11 : I spoke with the local tactical team Witness 12 that was stationed there, and I also spoke to the uniformed 13 State Trooper that was posted right outside, on Post 4. Ι 14 spoke to both of them, and they said the same thing, a 15 person on the fence line with a rangefinder. There was a 16 vaque description, and I believe it was white male, long 17 hair, younger. And they were no longer visible. They were 18 no longer in a position where we could make contact with 19 them.

HSGAC Minority : Who sent you there? Who dispatched you there?
Witness : I wasn't dispatched by anyone. I was
given information that this was being reported, through --

25 given information that this was being reported, through

From who?

24HSGAC Minority

Witness : My counterpart, PSP Counterpart , through



25

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) the uniformed trooper, "Hey, we've got a report of a suspicious person outside the venue with a rangefinder." And I said, "Okay. Let's go check it out." Where are we going, who is reporting this. The tactical team in this area. So we responded to that area and spoke to the tactical team.

7 HSGAC Minority : And can we clarify what your role was.
8 You had, it looked like, two roles. But primarily what was
9 your primary role that day, as a PI or as a post-stander,
10 jump team leader? What was your primary role?

11 : So the site PI, Protective Witness 12 Intelligence Team, is a post-stander. It's not a fixed 13 post. So it's a roving post throughout the venue. My 14 primary role was to engage and counter anybody with an 15 unusual interest in the protectee, anybody making a threat. 16 For example, if somebody in the crowd were to make a 17 concerning statement and another attendee were to report 18 that to a post-stander, they would call for a site PI team. 19 I would respond, who made the concerning statement. I 20 would go talk to that person, "Hey, what did you say? 21 What's going on? What is the intention behind this 22 statement?" Anything within the venue and outside of the 23 venue, if there is a person or issue to respond to. 24 For example, on a previous site, in Phoenix, Arizona,

25 for the First Lady, we had someone attempt to gain access



to a site by producing a fake credential. I was the site
PI team for that venue. The person was spotted outside the
venue with a group of protesters. I cross the street to
the corner where they were standing, made contact with
them, identified them, questioned them regarding their
intention, what they did, and I later generated a report
regarding that contact.

8 If this person had been visible outside the venue and 9 I had been able to make contact with them and do a field 10 interview, I would have. They were not in a position where 11 I could see them to do that, locate them to do that.

HSGAC Minority : Have you ever been sent to a suspicious
person at any event and you had to go look for them?

Witness No. Any time that I would be sent to respond to somebody it would be somebody that was in a known location or that was being detained for interview.

HSGAC Minority : So you've never found someone suspicious on your own that was not detained. You've only interviewed people under the PI role after they've been detained.

Witness No. I have contacted and interviewed people in venues that I deemed to be suspicious or unusual. For example, after this incident I was the site protective intelligence agent at a JD Vance site, and an individual wandered up to different areas within the stage location.



1 He appeared to be checking out security perimeters,

trying to determine a way to gain access, get closer to the stage. I followed this individual. I questioned the individual, "Why are you here? Where do you live? What is your intention to be here today?" He was just curious. That's something I determined on my own, within the venue, to be suspicious.

8 So that's something you can develop through observing 9 the crowd. At this venue it was a very large crowd. It 10 would be difficult to navigate the crowd should somebody 11 make a threat or an overt action towards the stage. So I 12 was very concerned about the crowd itself and how I would 13 navigate that crowd should something happen with someone 14 inside of it.

15 HSGAC Minority : So when you talk about suspicious 16 people, and that is one of the roles that you had, to 17 either, if you observed them yourself to go to them and 18 question them, or if you were sent under the device of 19 someone else then you would interview them, we've heard 20 from other people that the person was suspicious but they 21 weren't threatening. What do you look for, for a 22 suspicious person? What are you looking for? Because 23 we've talked about the rangefinder. With the information 24 you were given for Mr. Crooks, does that rise to the level 25 of suspicion?



1 : The rangefinder is unusual. That's Witness 2 not something you would see very often. Is it threatening 3 in itself, alone? No. I think it's important to 4 understand here, at no time was there ever a mention of any 5 weapon, in any intelligence that I received. The only 6 unusual bit of information that was ever passed was the 7 rangefinder itself.

8 HSGAC Minority : So how many suspicious person calls did 9 you respond to, that you were sent to that day?

10 This was the only suspicious call that Witness : 11 I responded to. The first call for a site PI response was 12 at the main mag checkpoint earlier in the day. There was 13 an attendee and a volunteer who were waiting to be screened 14 that got into a verbal altercation. Trooper PSP counterpar and I 15 responded. We spoke to both parties involved, determined 16 that it was nothing that we needed to be concerned with, 17 with regard to a threat to the protectee, and we allowed 18 the uniformed State Police to handle it.

There was another report of a mother in a crowd who had a child. She believed someone in the crowd had inappropriately touched her child and was calling for a response. We responded to assist with that, determined that was also something uniformed State Police needed to address on a local level.

25

There were several missing children, several medical



callouts. As far as an unusual activity or suspicious,
 this was the first that I responded to.

HSGAC Minority : And if I understand you correctly, that
is your priority, as your assignment --

5 Witness : It is.

6 HSGAC Minority : -- that day. Correct. Because we've 7 heard reports from other people within the Secret Service, 8 and maybe even the Acting Director, that you all responded 9 to multiple calls of suspicious persons. And I just wanted 10 to clarify that you had not. That was not things you had 11 responded to. Correct?

12 Witness I personally did not respond. This 13 was the first suspicious person that I responded to.

HSGAC Minority : So did you have direct contact with people within the HSI that were there on the scene? Were they on a channel that you could communicate with them? Witness : The HSI post-standers were on the post-stander radio channel, and I was able to communicate with them through the radio.

20 HSGAC Minority : Did you broadcast anything during the 21 day on the radio?

Witness I don't recall. I don't recall anything specific. I know there were several calls for relief at posts. That could've been communicated through the radio. I believe the response up to the main mag



checkpoint for the verbal altercation with the attendees
 was communicated via the radio. I don't recall initiating
 any traffic with intelligence I had to pass.

HSGAC Minority : And you mentioned that you didn't
specifically know anything about the AGR building or where
it was at. But there was talking of a suspicious person,
and as you just said, was taken to the general area of
where that report was at. Correct?

9 Witness : Yes. What was passed to me was it was 10 a person on the fence line, outside the venue.

HSGAC Minority : The general area of where that building ended up being.

13 Witness : There were a number of general public 14 gathered along that fence line, and they were reported to 15 be with those people, along that fence line. That's what 16 was reported to me.

HSGAC Minority : So at some point during the day you had worked and met up with, on a couple of occasions, with Special Agent C/S Response who was working as the counter sniper response agent. And at one point, as we were told, and I think you even mentioned it too, that you all were both actively looking for this suspicious person. Am I correct?

24 Witness : Yes. We had both received a report of 25 the person. We had similar descriptions and intelligence



1 to share. And we had both responded and looked for this 2 person, yes.

3 HSGAC Minority : And Sergeant C/S Response told us that at 4 some point he was told to go back to the dais stage area 5 and stop looking. Do you know who would've told him that? 6 Witness : I don't know. I know he was in the 7 dais area with me, helping with crowd control.

8 HSGAC Minority : As you were standing, or meeting with 9 the person who had reported that out to the fence line 10 area, you never heard him told to go back to the crowd 11 control position?

Witness When I was with the tactical team in the stage right fence line area, Special Agent C/S Response was not in that area with me. I didn't encounter him until I returned over to the stage left side.

HSGAC Minority : And you testified earlier that you never went outside the, I guess for better, to quote/unquote, "inner perimeter" at any time during that day. Am I correct? While the rally was going on, because I know you had to come and traverse in and out.

21 Witness : The farthest I got was that fence line 22 and locked gate.

HSGAC Minority : Let's go back to when you first got the cellphone picture of Mr. Crooks. What time was that? Because you first heard about him at around 5:20 to 5:45,





F TP One

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) 1 a few questions a while ago about your decision to stay 2 within the inner perimeter or leaving, and it is my 3 understanding that you are the one that makes that 4 decision. Am I correct? You specifically, no one. 5 There's not a policy that says you have to stay here. You didn't have a supervisor to coordinate your movements. 6 But 7 you, individually, are able to make that decision.

8 Correct?

9

Witness : Yes.

HSGAC Minority : And you made a decision based upon what you called vague description of the individual, and you felt like that it was not enough information to leave the inner perimeter because of a, I think you used the term 'crowd control issue" that was taking place close to the bike rack.

16 Had there been somebody detained to Witness : 17 interview, I would've left to do that. That would've been 18 my primary function is to contact and interview this 19 individual. Absent them in custody or in sight, where I 20 could contact them, that's my primary function as protective intelligence is to gather intelligence from that 21 22 If there's not a person to gather intelligence person. 23 from, my function is not to go look for people.

HSGAC Minority : Were you aware of any U.S. Secret
Service assets that were leaving or left the inner



1 perimeter to search for Mr. Crooks?

2 Witness : I was not aware, no.

3 HSGAC Minority : Did you think that -- let me rephrase 4 that. Knowing that your agency is the lead over all 5 security, did you make a conscious decision not to ask 6 someone from your agency or to make sure? I know we talk 7 about, I think you mentioned assumptions that the radio 8 room or the Security Room was broadcasting things out. But 9 since your role is to follow up on suspicious persons, I'm 10 trying to understand why you, specifically, did not ask for 11 someone within the Secret Service to go outside the fence 12 to search for that individual in partnership with the local 13 and/or state agencies.

14 : That's not something I would ask for. Witness 15 That's not something in my role that I would be tasked with 16 My job, as a site PI person, assigned to stand asking. 17 post at the site, is the site itself. The only time I 18 would leave the site would be to contact somebody that has 19 been stopped, had been detained, that I had stopped and 20 detained because I determined this was someone who needed 21 to be interviewed. Absent a physical body to gather 22 intelligence from, there was no reason for me to leave. 23 HSGAC Minority : So if they would 've found him you

24 would've went.

25 Witness : Y

Yes.



1 HSGAC Minority : So which I guess leads me to the next 2 thing. Did you hear anything over the radio that day, on 3 whatever channel you were monitoring, that you said the 4 other HSI and post-standers were listening to, did you hear 5 anyone, including the Security Room, give a dispatch of б this BOLO, Be on the Lookout, or ATL of this individual? 7 No. Nothing specific regarding this Witness : 8 individual over the radio.

9 HSGAC Minority : Are you allowed to get on the radio and 10 relay that information? Since your job is to interview the 11 individual, help me understand why I wouldn't use the 12 resources out there to help locate the individual so I 13 could then henceforth interview the individual, is my 14 question.

15 I understand your question. What I'm Witness : 16 trying to think of is how to explain this. The posts 17 inside the venue that I had contact with, none of them, 18 absent myself or Special Agent C/S Response were in a 19 position to see this area or to respond to this area. 20 Myself and Agent C/S Response we were roving posts. Ιf 21 anyone were to leave the venue or to contact this person, 22 had they been available for contact and interview, it 23 would've been myself or Agent C/S Response We were in 24 contact with each other. I was receiving information that 25 was coming from the Security Room via the State Police. My



understanding was that the Security Room was up to date on the information. I had nothing additional to pass, to put this description out to the post-standers. Yes, that would've been an option, but they would not have been in a position to assist in locating this person. They were at fixed posts with fixed responsibilities.

HSGAC Minority : I must be missing something, because if
I'm at Point A, and you said that you couldn't see in the
area they are, but I've got other people that are spread
out.

11 Witness : They were not in a position to see it. 12 The two gentlemen on the stage could not see the AGR 13 building from where they were standing.

14 HSGAC Minority : So how many people were on that

- 15 channel?
- 16 Witness : All of the post-standers assigned to 17 the --
- 18 HSGAC Minority : How many?

Witness : Let's see. How many did we have out
there. Approximately LES

HSGAC Minority : Then again, I think that question I have is eyes are better than one person. If I can't see it but yet I need to locate this person to interview, I'm having a hard time understanding why if you didn't personally hear it on the radio why we would assume that



1 the other people heard it on the radio, why you, in your 2 role, would not ask the other individuals, "This is the 3 information we have. If you locate this individual please 4 let me know so I can come and interview them." Because as 5 you've testified, you did not know where he was at, so б that's the benefit of a radio is to broadcast that out for 7 other people, other standers. So maybe you can help me 8 clarify that, on why that would not be helpful.

9 Witness Again, the other posts inside the 10 venue did not have a vantage point to see where this person 11 had been reported.

HSGAC Minority : But you testified earlier you did not
know where he was at, at this point now.

14 Witness I knew he was outside the venue. I 15 knew that he was not inside the venue.

16 HSGAC Minority : How did we know that?

17 Witness : Because he was seen outside the venue, 18 in that area. To get into the venue he would've had to hop 19 fences. He would've had to traverse the parking lot, the 20 backstage area, where there were police posts. He would've 21 had to go through the magnetometers to get into the venue. 22 And again, I had a vague physical description of a white male with long hair. There were 15,000 people there. I 23 24 didn't have a photo at this time. I had no intelligence other than a white male with long hair, outside the venue, 25



1 with a rangefinder. I could have broadcast that, yes. I did not feel anybody else was in a position to respond or 2 3 to assist in locating this person at that time, other than myself and Agent C/S Response 4 5 HSGAC Minority : Did your counterpart, as I think you 6 said, got in his own work vehicle and left and went outside 7 the fence. Am I correct? 8 Witness : Yes. 9 HSGAC Minority : Did you overhear or know of anyone that told him if he leaves outside the fence that he cannot get 10 11 back in? 12 I did not hear that, no. : Witness 13 If he was told that if you leave you HSGAC Minority 14 can't get back in because that's where the motorcade is 15 going to be at, you never overheard that conversation at 16 all? 17 Witness : No. 18 HSGAC Minority : Would you understand why a uniformed 19 trooper would be told that? 20 : My counterpart was no in uniform. He Witness 21 was in a business suit. I don't know who told him what. 22 When he got in his vehicle he broke away from me, so I 23 don't know who he spoke to after that. 24 HSGAC Minority : And you made a decision not to go with 25 him.



1 Witness : I did.

2 HSGAC Minority : And go back to the stage, based upon 3 what you said was a crowd control concern?

4 Witness : Yes.

5 HSGAC Minority : Which goes back to your primary issues 6 was the suspicious person. Could you have got in the 7 vehicle and rode with him?

8 : I could have. At that time, based on Witness 9 the information we had, I felt we were better served to 10 split up. If he saw the person, if he encountered them, he 11 could detain them and I could respond to his area. The 12 crowd that we had breaking through the bike rack, who 13 would've had direct access to the motorcade at that time, I 14 felt was the bigger threat. If that crowd had broken 15 through the bike rack they could've overwhelmed the road 16 and approached the motorcade area.

HSGAC Minority : So when you went back to protect the bike rack area, did the presence of your one person prevent them from overtaking that route?

Witness I was there. We had uniformed Pennsylvania State Patrol there. We'd even called over the EOD, the explosive -- it was basically an all-hands-on-deck area. We needed people along this bike rack line to control the crowd and keep them back from the bike rack. My intention was if this person was located and that it was


1 passed to me, I would immediately respond and conduct an 2 interview. At the time that I split from Trooper PSP Counterpart I 3 did not feel there was any more I could do to locate and 4 assist with this person. He volunteered to go look. I 5 thought that was the best use of our resources. He would б continue looking. I would go back over to this area and 7 keep an eye on the crowd. Should he make contact, we would 8 reconvene and I would conduct an interview.

9 HSGAC Minority : When you were notified at 5:20, 10 somewhere 5:20, 5:25, 5:30, of the suspicious person, I 11 think you've told us that you received multiple, I guess, 12 recurring accounts of the individual and updates, other 13 people asking. Is it normal to, once you have a, 14 quote/unquote, "suspicious person" for, I don't know, the 15 reports just keep coming in a little quicker, a little more 16 often, with a little more detail? And I guess my question 17 to you is, was there a growing sense of urgency in all the 18 things that you heard. They're focusing so much on one 19 individual out of 15,000 people. Did an alarm bell not go 20 off and say we may need to ratchet up our search pretty 21 quick for this quy?

Witness : When I got the initial report that there was someone outside the venue with a rangefinder, I was concerned. I responded immediately. There was no more information for me at that time. In observing the area I



1 saw two marked units, police cars. One of them was
2 Butler County. At that time I asked Trooper PSP Counterpart "Do we
3 have uniformed officers in this area?" I didn't know. I
4 asked him. He said, "I don't know. Let me check." He
5 gets on the phone and he's making contacts.

6 So at that time my action is let's get some people 7 over here looking for this guy. I asked my counterpart to 8 reach out to local police. Let's get people mobilized to 9 look and find and contact this guy. I also thought how 10 else can we look to see where this person is. That's when 11 I reached out to the counter sniper advance agent, "Hey, 12 have your guys seen anybody?"

This is the first I'm hearing of this. I don't know this person has been in the area for all this time prior. All I know is, as far as I know this is the first we've heard of him. I don't know that he's been seen before this. I'm doing everything I can to get as many eyes on this area as I can.

Once I have exhausted those options, and I now know people are looking for this person, I'm going to go back to my original area of concern, which I was working on prior to this coming up. Trooper <u>PSP Counterpar</u> offered to join the search. I thought that was a great idea. Again, I was already concerned with the crowd control prior to this. I've got as many assets as I could possibly drum up,



looking for this person. Someone find this person and
 detain them so I can interview them. Everybody's working
 on this.

4 So I go back to the problem I was working prior. That 5 was all I knew at the time. There was no other б intelligence other than what I've told you -- someone on 7 the fence line, with a rangefinder, limited physical 8 description. I later get a photo, which I passed. And then 9 I get into that someone is on a roof of a building that 10 I've never heard of, in an area I don't know, trying to 11 mobilize to go figure out where this building is. And then 12 the shooting starts.

So I did as much as I could with what I had at the time.

HSGAC Minority : And the radio, you never, on your channel, heard anything about a person on a roof. That never came across.

18 Witness I heard that someone was on the roof. 19 I can't tell you if I heard it through my radio, which was 20 in one ear, or if I heard it verbally through the other 21 area. But the information was passed to me that someone 22 was on the roof.

HSGAC Minority : And that was when you all were standing next to the stage, stage left --

25 Witness : Yes.



1 HSGAC Minority : -- with that conglomerate of 2 individuals that you were talking about? 3 Witness : There were a number of us in that 4 area, watching the crowd. It was passed to me either via 5 radio or verbally. I can't tell you which one. And we 6 were in the process of determining where this building was, 7 what this building was, and how can we get there when the 8 shooting started. HSGAC Minority : I think that's all I have at this 9 10 point, and I'll pass it to my colleagues. 11 PSI Minority , my name is PSI Minority 12 I work for Ranking Member Ron Johnson. PSI Minority . Thank 13 you for your time today. I'm going to go ahead and hand 14 you, or my colleague will hand you Exhibit 7, and to save 15 on time, Exhibit 8. [Exhibits No. 7 and 8 were 16 17 marked for identification.] 18 Exhibit No. 7 is an image of three PSI Minority : 19 individuals on a golf cart during the July 13, 2024, rally 20 in Butler. The image is a snapshot of a video posted on 21 YouTube that was recorded by a rallygoer at Butler on July 22 13th. And I know that it is not a clear image, but if you 23 are able to, could you please first answer whether or not 24 that is you in this photo? 25 It appears to be. Witness :



1 PSI Minority : There appears to be three 2 individuals. Two are seated inside of the golf cart, and 3 one individual is standing, I guess, on the back of the 4 golf cart. And it looks like the individual that is 5 driving, is that you? 6 : It would have been. I was driving the Witness 7 golf cart that day. 8 : Could you identify the other two PSI Minority people? 9 10 Witness : The person in the back, that would've 11 been Trooper PSP Counterpart my counterpart, and then the person to 12 the right appears to be in uniform, so that would've been 13 the uniformed trooper that we grabbed to come assist us 14 with the search. 15 **PSI** Minority : Do you know his name? 16 I don't. Witness : 17 PSI Minority : Is it Corporal PSP CPL 18 Witness : That sounds right. It was told to me 19 in another interview. I didn't recall on my own his name, 20 no. 21 **PSI** Minority : Okay. Earlier today you mentioned that 22 Trooper PSP Counterpart was in business attire. 23 Witness Yes. I would say business attire but : 24 I remember now I was in a buttoned-down shirt. Our dress 25 for the day was neat casual. So typically it would be a



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) 1 business suit, but he didn't have a coat on.

PSI Minority : Thank you for the clarification.
Actually, before I turn to the next exhibit, just generally
speaking about golf cart use that day, besides the golf
cart that you were using, did you see other golf carts in
the area?

7 : It was passed to me through the site Witness assist agent that she had secured three golf carts for our 8 9 So I was using a golf cart, I was also sharing that use. 10 golf cart with Agent C/S Response and then the site assist 11 agent had a golf cart. I believe one of the Trump staff 12 personnel had a golf cart. So we were sharing the golf 13 carts throughout the day.

PSI Minority : Did you ever see a golf cart go outside of the immediate secured perimeter, specifically near the AGR area?





1

Witness

Witness

: Okay.

PSI Minority : This is Trooper PSP Counterpart July
23, 2024, interview transcript with Pennsylvania State
Police and FBI. It's a 14-page transcript, and I'm not
going to make you go through every single page. But I want
to begin, if you wouldn't mind flipping to page 3 of the
transcript.

8

: Okay.

PSI Minority 9 On page 3 of the transcript, at the : 10 bottom, Trooper PSP counterpart states, "I had my cellphone and I 11 was with Witness She had the radio for the Command Post." 12 Then the questioner asks, or states, "Okay. So the Secret 13 Service agent you're with would've been able to be in touch 14 with Command Post, " and on the next page Trooper PSP Counterpart 15 responds, "Correct."

16 You mentioned that you had a radio that day. Is that 17 correct?

18 Witness : Yes.

19 PSI Minority : Was that radio connected to the Command 20 Post?

21 Witness : We were operating on the same channel. 22 The Security Room agent for Secret Service has the ability 23 to communicate with the post-standers on their dedicated 24 channel, as well as the detail on their dedicated channel, 25 and special teams.



HSGAC Majority : PSI Minority I apologize for interrupting,
but can you clarify, when you mentioned Command Post, are
you speaking about the Butler ESU Command Post or the
Security Room?

5 PSI Minority : Thank you for the clarification. I am 6 quoting directly from this transcript, which describes 7 Command Post as written. So I'm not sure what Trooper 8 PSP Counterpart may be implying here.

9 HSGAC Majority : But I just want to make sure it's clear
10 for the record. The next two lines, the question is, "Was
11 she able to be in touch with the County Command Post or
12 just the Secret Service Command Post?" PSP Counterpart "I believe
13 Secret Service Command Post."

14 PSI Minority : Okay.

HSGAC Majority : I just want to clarify because it's
always confusing.

PSI Minority : I appreciate that, HSGAC Majority Thank you.
So this is the Secret Service Command Post that you
had your radio --

20 Witness : Yeah. We refer to it as the Security 21 Room, but there can be confusion between the two.

PSI Minority : Okay. Okay. And did Trooper PSP Counterpart ever ask you specifically who you would be in contact with on the radio? How did he know what Command Post you were in contact with?



1 We were in and out of the Security Witness : 2 Room, so I believe -- and I'm speaking on my belief here --3 that he knew I was in contact with the Secret Service 4 Security Room. I'm assuming that's what he knew. 5 : And you might have addressed this PSI Minority 6 earlier, but did you contact anyone on the radio? 7 Throughout the day, in general? Witness : 8 PSI Minority Yes. 9 There was radio traffic passed. As I Witness : 10 said before, there would be a call for us to respond to an 11 area. We would put on the radio, "Yes, we're responding, 12 en route." I was in and out of the Security Room so I 13 would've communicated from the Security Room via radio if I 14 was in response to a call for response. 15 **PSI Minority** : I want to turn to page 5 of the 16 transcript. 17 Witness : Okay. 18 PSI Minority : On page 5, Trooper PSP Counterpart states, 19 "Corporate PSP CPL Trooper PSP Trooper and then the Secret 20 Service agent" -- that's the quote in there -- that those people were with Trooper PSP counterpart when he was made aware of a 21 22 suspicious person, later identified as Mr. Crooks. Can you 23 tell us again how you were made aware of Mr. Crooks? 24 : I received word, along with Trooper Witness 25 PSP Counterpart that there was someone reported to be along the

FP One

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376)

80

1 fence line, outside of the venue, with a rangefinder. I
2 cannot tell you specifically who told me that or how it was
3 communicated. It was communicated to both of us. And we
4 decided, as a team, this is something we need to respond
5 to.

PSI Minority : You mentioned you can't recall who or 6 7 how, but is it your recollection that you received this 8 information at exactly the same moment as Trooper PSP Counterpart 9 I do believe that I received the Witness : 10 information from my local counterpart, with the 11 understanding this is being passed through the Pennsylvania 12 State Police to the Secret Service.

PSI Minority : And we've been through this several times, but your best approximation is sometime between 5:30 and 5:40. Is that correct?

16 Witness : Yes.

PSI Minority : Also on page 5, a little further down, Trooper PSP Counterpart describes the actions he took when learning about the suspicious person, later identified as Crooks. He stated, quote, "There were two undercover vice members that were outside of the event. They were staged at the Sheetz. I contacted them immediately by phone and told them to head over to the AGR building."

Were you aware of vice unit members at the Butler rally on July 13th?



1 : I am aware now. I don't recall at Witness 2 the time that I was aware there were undercover units 3 outside the venue. I've since learned that, but at the 4 time I don't recall knowing that. 5 PSI Minority : Did you see Trooper PSP Counterpart contacting 6 anyone shortly after learning about the suspect? 7 I asked him to reach out and find out : Witness if we had any assets with local police in that area, to go 8 9 look for this person. 10 PSI Minority : Did you see him do that? 11 : I saw him on the phone, yes. I Witness 12 assumed he was calling for that assistance. I didn't 13 monitor his conversation. We didn't debrief afterwards. 14 PSI Minority : And he never mentioned to you the 15 substance of that conversation? 16 : I don't recall what his response was. Witness 17 I am assuming if he had people responding to look that he 18 would've communicated that, and I would've said, "Okay, 19 great." I don't recall the specific conversation whether 20 or not he told me that. 21 **PSI Minority** : At what point did you learn, after the 22 fact, that there were these vice units? 23 Witness : It could've been in one of my first 24 And again, at the time that he was one the interviews. 25 phone, I was also on the phone with the counter sniper



1 advance agent, asking the same thing. We were both 2 contacting our separate agencies, asking for resources to 3 locate this person. So I don't know that we -- we both 4 asked for resources to look. I don't know that we 5 debriefed and compared notes afterwards. This is my fifth 6 interview. At some point in one of the previous interviews 7 it was communicated to me that these teams were out there. 8 I don't recall knowing that at the time.

PSI Minority : Were you ever made aware of whether the 9 10 people that he contacted, whether any additional support 11 went to the area where this suspicious person was? 12 At the time, I was under the : Witness 13 impression we had Secret Service and local people looking 14 in the area. Nothing more specific than that. And by 15 Secret Service people I mean the counter snipers were 16 aware, from their elevated position, that they could see 17 the area, not that we had dispatched anyone to the area.

18 PSI Minority : Trooper PSP counterpart in this transcript, 19 says that he told these vice unit members to head toward 20 the AGR building. Had you and Trooper PSP counterpart discussed any 21 specific location where additional personnel would need to 22 be deployed?

Witness
Witness
Nothing specific, no.
PSI Minority
And again, and I know that you
mentioned this a few times during our discussion today, you





11 I had her and Corporal PSP CPL drove me to my undercover 12 truck, which was parked in the Farm Show grounds."

You mentioned earlier that you did not know at that time that he was sending undercovers over. Do you know why Trooper PSP Counterpart had the impression that you did?

Witness I don't recall. He could've told me that. I don't remember the conversation. As I said, I was on the phone at the same time, having another conversation. He could've told me and I could've forgot. I don't recall the specific conversation.





conversations. So it was anywhere between 5:45 and 6,
 somewhere in there. It took a few minutes to drive from
 where we were located over to the parking lot, and then
 offload him and then drive back over to the other side of
 the stage.

6 **PSI Minority** : What did Trooper PSP counterpart convey to you 7 that he would do once he got to his car?

8 Witness : He was going to drive the fence line 9 and look for the person.

PSI Minority
Did he offer you to join him?
Witness
I don't remember him offering, no.
PSI Minority
Would it have been appropriate for you
to join him?

14 I think I started earlier that at Witness : No. 15 that time I felt it was a better use of our resources for 16 him to do that and for me to go back and work the problem 17 that I was concerned about initially with the crowd 18 control. Also, the fact he was getting ready to take the 19 stage, at that time, based on what I knew, the biggest is 20 that that's in closest proximity, which was the crowd. I needed to be in a position where if something came up from 21 22 the crowd, be it a threat or a physical attack, that I 23 could respond. I would not have been able to respond from 24 a vehicle on a fence line.

25

PSI Minority

: At the point that you dropped off





www.TP.One

86

800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376)



F TP One

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) 1 what did he convey to you?

2 : The did not know where he was. I Witness 3 believe at that time -- and this is my recollection -- I 4 believe at the time they were aware that someone had been 5 in the area with a rangefinder, but they had lost sight or б they didn't know where he was. He may have told me that 7 there was a photo. I don't know for sure. I don't recall 8 But we communicated, if they saw this person for sure. again, if they had a location they would call me and I 9 10 would respond to that location to make contact and 11 interview the person.

PSI Minority : So you did not learn any more information than what you had already known, that there was a suspicious person outside the venue.

Witness
Witness
Yeah. At that time I had no
additional intelligence.

PSI Minority
Along the fence line, outside the venue
along the fence line.

19Witness: That was all I knew at that time.20PSI Minority: Did Mr. C/S Team Leadconfirm that

21 information?

Witness : I recall that he was aware that a person had been sighted in the area with a rangefinder. I believe he communicated that he was no longer visible to them, that they had lost him or they didn't know where he



1 was. And my communication was if you see him, call me 2 and I'll respond.

3 : So was it your understanding that they **PSI** Minority 4 had, themselves, seen him, as well?

5 : I believe so, and this was a telephone Witness б conversation I had four months ago. I believe that was 7 communicated. I can't tell you verbatim what was said. 8 PSI Minority : I want to go to Exhibit 5, that was 9 handed out earlier. It's the text messages between you and

- 10 Intel Advance Lead
- 11 Witness : Okay.

12 It begins with, let's see, the first : PSI Minority 13 one, Bates 7851, 7852, and so on. I just wanted to follow 14 up on a comment you made earlier about cell service. You 15 mentioned earlier, I believe, that you didn't have any 16 problems with cell service. Is that correct?

17 Witness : No. After the shooting I had a couple 18 of text messages that I had tried to share that photo with 19 a couple of individuals and it didn't go through. Ι 20 believe there was a lot of cell usage at that time on the 21 towers. But during the event I didn't have any issues with 22 my phone.

23 **PSI Minority** : Okay. so Bates number 7853 and 7854, 24 just for the record, show an image of Crooks being sent, and if you take into account the time difference it would 25



1 probably be around 8:14 p.m. and 8:15 p.m. on July 13th.

2 Witness : Yes.

3 PSI Minority And both these messages are not : 4 delivered.

5 Which is interesting, because these : Witness б messages were sent to the Security Room agent and the 7 special agent in charge of the FBI. I was in a face-to-8 face conversation with the two of them after the event. 9 The Security Room agent and I were briefing the SAIC for 10 the FBI, and I shared the photo with both of them. They 11 received the photo. But on my phone it showed that it 12 hadn't gone through. I may have tapped on it and resent. 13 But they did receive the photo from me. 14 **PSI Minority** : And what were the names of those 15 individuals?

16 I don't know the name of the Witness : Pittsburgh FBI SAIC. SAIC was the Security Room agent. 17 Ι 18 don't recall his last name at this moment.

19

20

21

: SAIC ?

Witness : That sounds right, yes.

In Exhibit 5, the top left corner

PSI Minority : 22 image, with Bates number 7851, it appears that Intel Advance Lead 23 wrote to you, "Command Post PSP trooper said he may be 24 walking towards Sheetz." Did you ask anyone where the 25 Sheetz is located?



PSI Minority

1 That message came in concurrently Witness : 2 with the other message. I don't recall at that time asking 3 anybody where or what Sheetz was. I know I passed that 4 information to Trooper PSP Counterpart At this point, with the 5 photo, there was more concern, so it was more just getting 6 information out as quick as possible and not necessarily 7 trying for myself to define where this was.

8 PSI Minority
9 know where the suspicious person was going?

10 Witness : It would. Being that I was not in a 11 position, I was now in the stage left area, I wasn't 12 anywhere near anything. I mean, this is something that I'm 13 putting out to people that are in a different location than 14 me, looking, specifically Trooper PSP Counterpart I quess it 15 could've been important, but as far as I knew it wasn't 16 part of the venue. It wasn't in my immediate area. It's 17 not like he's in the stage right area, where I could've 18 responded.

PSI Minority
But you didn't know where the Sheetz
was located.

21 Witness I didn't know what the Sheetz was. I 22 didn't know it was a gas station. I found that out after 23 the fact.

PSI Minority
I want to go to Exhibit 6, that was
handed out earlier. You mentioned before that these are



1 text messages between you and Trooper PSP Counterpart. Is that 2 correct?

Witness : Yes.
PSI Minority : On Bates 7885, you wrote, "I wish I had
more time." I'm sorry. Oh, "I wish we had more time." Do
you see that on there?

7 Witness : Yes.

8 PSI Minority : What did you mean by that?

9 I was apprised of the fact that from Witness : 10 the time we got the photo and we actually now knew who we 11 were looking for -- and again, prior to this very vague 12 physical description -- now we have a photo, so that 13 ratchets things up a notch. From the time we got the photo 14 to the information someone was on the roof of the AGR 15 building to the time of the first shot was fewer than 10 16 minutes. There was not enough time for us to respond to 17 this area. We were actively trying to find out where the 18 AGR building is.

I have since learned that this person was spotted earlier in the day, and I wasn't even notified. If I had had a chance to talk to this person prior to then, if we'd had this information earlier in the day, I could have made contact. I could have interviewed this person and perhaps disrupted this. And by the time we got the information to the shooting there was not enough time for us to find and



1 locate and interview this person.

PSI Minority
What was the earliest time that you
now know that Mr. Crooks was identified?

Witness : I believe it was said earlier in our
discussion today that it was around 4:20, 4:26, that he was
first observed by Butler County. Is that accurate? I
think that was what was said today.

8 PSI Minority : I don't recall anyone saying that.
9 Witness : Ma'am, you said the Butler County ESU
10 reported, very first reported lurking, Crooks was lurking.
11 It was like 4:20 was the time you said, or 4:26.

12 HSGAC Majority : That PD Ops 4 radioed out at 5:42 p.m. 13 : 5:42 p.m. Okay. So then I believe it Witness 14 was news reports then it would have been earlier in the day 15 when he was first seen. I quess what I learned was a local 16 police officer was being relieved and was going home, and 17 was on his way to his car, and he first saw who we later 18 learned was Crooks, in the area, acting strangely.

19 PSI Minority : So based on what you heard through 20 public reporting is what made you think that law 21 enforcement was actually aware of him much earlier than 22 when you were made aware.





1 I know to be true. At the time of this text message I 2 was referring to the fact that by the time we had a photo 3 and got reports of someone on the roof, to the shots, it 4 was fewer than 10 minutes. And we would have done more if 5 we'd had more time. 6 And this text message was sent on July PSI Minority 7 14th? 8 : Um --Witness 9 I'm just basing that on Bates 7884. **PSI** Minority : 10 Witness : Yes, it appears to be. Well, I don't 11 have a date on 7885. 12 **PSI Minority** : 7884? 13 Witness 7884 is a different message. : 14 **PSI** Minority Okay. : 15 Witness : I don't see that text in that message. 16 Are they --It appears the way that this was 17 PSI Minority : produced --18 19 Witness : Okay. I see now, from the time on the -- yeah, that would've been the following night, following 20 21 the conclusion of my interview with the FBI. 22 **PSI Minority** : So it's your understanding that the 23 following day there were already public reports --24 That's my understanding now. : No. Witness At 25 that time I was only referring to that time window. I had



1 not seen any news reports at that time.

2 PSI Minority : Okay.

3 Witness : I was referring to the 10 minutes that 4 we had from the photo to the shots.

5 PSI Minority : Okay. Then let's go to the next text 6 message, which it appears to be Trooper PSP Counterpart 's response 7 to you --

8 Witness : Okay.

9 PSI Minority : -- which is, quote, "Yes, I've played 10 that whole thing back so many times. Why did we not know 11 that this guy was rangefinding the stage until 30 minutes 12 after the fact? My guys were on him when he shot, and they 13 would've got him if we knew that. I truly believe and now 14 that."

So Trooper SP Counterpart is saying that you did not know about Crooks rangefinding until 30 minutes after the fact. So based on what you said is that you learned about him rangefinding sometime between 5:30 and 5:40. It appears that Trooper SP Counterpart is saying that people knew about that 30 minutes prior to that, which would've been approximately 5:00, 5:10.







TP One

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) PSI Minority : And final question. You mentioned earlier about exterior vehicle checkpoints. Is that correct?

- 4 Witness : Yes.
- 5 **PSI Minority** : What are those?

6 Witness : It's my understanding -- and again, I 7 was not present when these posts were up, so to speak, when 8 they were posted -- it was my understanding there were four 9 exterior posts with agents paired up with a vehicle, in a 10 raid vest, in a vehicle checkpoint exterior area.

11 PSI Minority : What time did you --

12 Witness : A vehicle checkpoint is to stop 13 vehicle traffic from coming through a road or whatever 14 access point has been identified.

15 PSI Minority : Understood. What time did you leave 16 the venue following the shooting?

17 Witness : It was around midnight.

PSI Minority
Did you receive any reports about a
vehicle containing explosives?

Witness : At some point I did. It was passed to me. I can't tell you exactly through -- I know I was in contact with the Tactical Security Division advance agent in and throughout the night after the shooting, and he communicated that there were some devices. At one point it was communicated to everybody that there was going to be a



1 controlled detonation of some device, but I didn't have 2 specific information. 3 **PSI** Minority : And what time? 4 Witness : I don't recall. 5 Did you receive this information : PSI Minority б verbally or via email, text? 7 : I believe it was verbally. Witness 8 PSI Minority And who told you this? : 9 It could've been anyone in the Witness : 10 Security Room or the TSD advance agent. I'm not sure. 11 There were a number of people around at this point. 12 **PSI Minority** : Okay. I think we're at the end of our 13 hour, so we'll go ahead and take a five-minute break. 14 Okav. Witness : 15 [Recess.] HSGAC Majority : We're back on the record at 4:38. 16 So 17 thank you again for taking the time today. In the 18 remaining time we'll split our time in half, so Senator 19 Peters' office and Senator Blumenthal's office will ask 20 questions first, the next 30 to 40 minutes, and turn it 21 over to our colleagues, Ranking Member Paul and Ranking 22 Member Johnson.

I'd like to follow up on a few things my colleagues asked. You mentioned that your primary function as protective intelligence agent is to interview individuals



to figure out what they're going to do and potentially divert a threat. If that is the case, then whose job, whose role within Secret Service, is it to actually look for the suspicious individuals, once they are identified, to search for them?

6 The best way I can answer this is the : Witness 7 counter sniper advance agent and the counter sniper team, 8 they have a response agent. In my past experience in working as a counter sniper response agent if they see 9 10 something that is concerning to them they would call that 11 agent, reach out for them direct to respond and check that 12 out and report back. That would be one function of that 13 agent's job, to respond to anything that they call out, for 14 further investigation.

15 The protective intelligence agent doesn't have that 16 responsibility to that specific degree, in that there's no 17 one other than local law enforcement, outside or within the 18 venue, other post-standers, attendees, that would see 19 something and call for a response. So there's not an 20 overwatch dispatching us so much as people saying, "Hey, there's something here with me. Come respond." Does that 21 22 answer your question?

HSGAC Majority: So it seems like there's still a gap, given that we know Special Agent C/S Response the counter sniper response agent, was actively looking for the



suspicious individual. You mentioned you did everything
 you could within the security perimeter but also felt that
 you had to get back to crowd control. And your state
 counterpart was also actively looking for this individual.

5 So during this whole time, whose responsibility is it 6 to assess the evolving situation and determine whether 7 there is a threat, whether it is safe to put President 8 Trump on stage? Who is responsible for that? Where is the 9 gap? Where is the breakdown?

10 : I understand your question, and I Witness 11 think given what we knew at the time, that there was never 12 any intelligence about a weapon of any kind, the only 13 concerning piece of intelligence was the rangefinder, at 14 that time. As a physical body to interview, it would not 15 have been my responsibility. Had I contacted this person, 16 had this person been available for interview, had I 17 developed further intelligence that suggested the presence 18 of a weapon or an immediate threat, that's something I 19 would have passed to the PI advance agent, to the Security 20 Room, and I would have relied on them to pass it to the 21 detail or to anyone else that needed to know.

Absent that information, there's not really a specific person that would make that determination, absent of a physical person to assess. Does that make sense?

25

HSGAC Majority : Yes.



Witness

1

: Okay.

HSGAC Majority : And you did not relay to the Security
Room or advance protective intelligence agent that you had
essentially stopped your search and went back to crowd
control. Correct?

б : No, I didn't pass that to anyone. Witness 7 HSGAC Majority : And so to your point that there really isn't anyone that's tasked with this responsibility of 8 9 tracking and ensuring that threats of suspicious 10 individuals are sufficiently followed up on, should there be a dedicated role for a protective intelligence agent 11 12 that is not dual hatted as a jump team lead?

Witness : That's a difficult question to respond to. I can tell you that every city, every site, every location you go to is different and unique in what assets are available from a state and local level, in addition to what assets are available from Secret Service. It depends on the size of the venue, you know, a number of things.

The presence of that undercover vice team that was there that day, that Trooper psp counterest contacted, you know, that would have been an asset. And again, I don't know, in these minutes when we're all -- we're discussing after the fact, I don't know where Crooks was at that time. He could've been in the building. He could've been on the building. It's all speculation on my part. But had that



team been able to encounter him, located him and detain or question him, that would've certainly raised all of the information straight up the chain, I believe, in speaking after the fact.

5 I think we've established I didn't know that asset was 6 deployed that day and that they were actively looking. I'm 7 glad they were. And I believe Trooper PSP Counterpart -- you know, 8 of course I'm going to delegate, reach out to local people, 9 get someone looking. I'm not going to follow up on that 10 and verbatim question, who did you speak to, who's looking. 11 We're all making phone calls in this moment, trying to get 12 someone to find this person. That's certain an asset, 13 having that team looking, those eyes on.

For the protective intelligence agent to do that, I think it takes away from their primary responsibility, is to gather intelligence from people at the site, determine what level of threat. Yes, I did look, but again, it wasn't my function to leave the venue to go search this person out.

HSGAC Majority : Let me ask you this. Would having a countersurveillance unit have been beneficial in this particular situation?

Witness : Yes, I believe it would've been
beneficial.

25 HSGAC Majority : Why?



1 For that same function. And this : Witness 2 is my understanding. I'm not trained in 3 countersurveillance. I don't operate those units. My 4 understanding of countersurveillance it would've been 5 similar to that vice team that was described. They 6 would've been in plain clothes. They would've been moving 7 outside the venue. They would've been in a better position 8 to look for this person. They don't typically operate 9 within the venue. They typically operate outside of the 10 venue, is my understanding. 11 HSGAC Majority : And what training do you receive as a

12 protective intelligence agent?

13 : So the training that I received was Witness 14 part of the criminal investigative training, the Secret 15 Service training at the John J. Rowley Training Center. We 16 have a protective intelligence section of training which 17 covers the investigations, threat investigations as a whole. That was my initial training. 18

HSGAC Majority : When was that? 20 When I went through training in 2003. Witness : HSGAC Majority : And do you receive any continuing 21 22 training or, you know, any other training since then on 23 protective intelligence? 24 As far as the role of a site PI person : Witness

25 as a post-stander or as --



19

1

HSGAC Majority : I mean, that's 20-plus years.

2 Technology has evolved.

Witness : But I was also running, at that time, in Phoenix, I was running all of our protective intelligence investigations. I was also on the JTTF. I have extensive experience with protective intelligence.

7 HSGAC Majority : So my question more generally is do you 8 think there is sufficient training as it relates to 9 protective intelligence and sufficient ongoing training for 10 agents like yourself that commit to service with Secret 11 Service?

12 Witness : With regard to the functions that I 13 was fulfilling that day and what I was doing, I think there 14 was adequate training for that. I don't think any 15 additional training could have been provided to avoid this 16 situation with regard to what I was tasked with that day. 17 Does that make sense?

HSGAC Majority : Yes. And in addition to the gap in communication, which I know we've discussed, and Trooper of PSP Counterpar did not have a local radio and there were issues with Secret Service communications, as well, it sounds like, in this particular instance -- correct me if I'm wrong -- there is a gap in a role really here. Is that also accurate?

25

I can say that, in retrospect, looking



Witness

:

1 at that day, I would have liked to have known all of the 2 local assets that were available that day. I would like to 3 have known that there was a team inside that building. Had 4 I known that and had I known Trooper PSP Counterpart or someone in 5 the Security Room had communication with them, I would've б requested that that team look for this person. Perhaps 7 intelligence could've been shared sooner. I didn't know 8 that at the time, and I wasn't in charge of setting that 9 I could only respond to what was told to me. up.

HSGAC Majority : I just have another question about that day, because it sounds like there were a number of things going on, and you mentioned the crowd control that you felt like you really needed to be present for. Did you respond to any medical emergencies, as well? Our understanding is it was a very hot day and there were a number of medical emergencies.

Witness : I personally responded to several.
HSGAC Majority : When you say several, over 10? Under
10? Over 15?
Witness : Between 5 and 10.

HSGAC Majority : Between 5 and 10. And is that part of your role either as a jump team lead and/or protective intelligence agent?

24 Witness : It is not.

HSGAC Majority : And did responding to those medical



25

1 emergencies interfere with your primary duties as a

2 protective intelligence agent?

3 Witness : I do not believe they did. I would 4 not have diverted from my duties had I been called to 5 respond to a protective intelligence call. I would not 6 have stopped responding in order to render aid to somebody 7 in the crowd. At the time that I rendered aid I was not 8 tasked with anything else in that moment, and I observed 9 someone in a medical emergency situation and was in a 10 position to assist.

HSGAC Majority : I'd like to ask a couple of questions on intelligence, both before the event as well as at the event. Do you usually receive intelligence in advance of an event, as a site protective intelligence agent and/or a jump team lead?

Witness : Typically, as a site PI agent, there is some sort of intelligence briefing provided by the PI advance agent.

HSGAC Majority : And did you receive that in advance of the July 13th rally?





Witness : I did not.
HSGAC Majority : For the July 13th rally, did you receive
any intelligence in advance relating to President Trump or
the event itself?

5 Witness : I did not.

HSGAC Majority : For the July 13th rally, did you receive
any intelligence in advance related to Iran?

8 Witness : I did not.

9 HSGAC Majority: Were you aware of any intelligence or
10 threat information that led to Secret Service counter
11 snipers being sent to the July 13th rally?

12 Witness : I was not aware, no.

13 I just want to follow up on a few prior HSGAC Majority 14 When you answered earlier about staffing questions. 15 questions you mentioned that certain roles, you believe, 16 the Secret Service is better positioned to fill among the 17 protective intelligence role. When you returned to the 18 stage left and thereby left the local law enforcement 19 officials to continue to search for the individual, is 20 there a Secret Service role, you believe, that should have 21 been fulfilled, or some asset, some training that Secret 22 Service possesses that local law enforcement do not, that 23 should have been in place to continue that search? 24 At that time, the primary : No. Witness



25

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

objective was to locate and detain this individual.

That
1 could be effected by anyone in law enforcement.

HSGAC Majority: With respect to the search that continued when you returned to stage left, did it ever get communicated to you that this individual was seen running?

5 Witness : No.

6 HSGAC Majority And when you were at stage left, returned 7 to stage left, up until the time that you heard shots 8 fired, did you reach out to any of your communications to 9 get an update, an assessment, on whereabouts location, 10 detention of the individual?

11 : When I returned to the stage left area Witness 12 I had a verbal conversation with Special Agent C/S Response 13 I received the text message photo. I passed the text 14 message photo. That was when the information about the 15 moving towards the Sheetz was communicated. The next piece 16 of information that I received was that someone was on the 17 roof of the AGR building. When I received that information 18 I was unaware it was the same person. It wasn't 19 communicated the same person with the rangefinder was on 20 the roof. When I received the information about the person 21 on the roof I processed that as a new problem. I did not 22 follow up on the search for the individual. I was now trying to locate and address the individual on the roof. 23 24 So prior to the information you received HSGAC Majority : 25 about the individual on the roof, when you're still aware



of the fact that the individual you were trying to identify had not been identified, does that piece of information, the duration of time in which you are looking for somebody, not able to find that person, factor into the assessment you make about the threat level?

б : The fact that we couldn't find them, Witness 7 does that factor in? I believe, and I recall at the time, 8 with the information the person is moving towards Sheetz, I 9 recall now that I believed Sheetz was a street. I didn't 10 know it was a gas station. I thought it was a street. At 11 the time, I was operating under the assumption that that 12 person was leaving the venue, or was leaving the area, 13 rather. The fact that we couldn't find them I actually 14 thought was a mitigating factor. I think the hope at that 15 point is that this person has moved on. And then with 16 someone on the roof, okay, now we've got a new issue to 17 address. That's not to say that I was more concerned or 18 The level of concerned maintains. less concerned. And 19 again, we're talking minutes in processing time here.

HSGAC Majority: So correct me then if I'm wrong, but based on what you said, the duration in time in which somebody that you're trying to identify and look for, the duration of that time when you have not found somebody, does factor into your assessment of the threat level, and in this case that duration, coupled with the information you received



1 about where you believed he was heading, away from the 2 event, the way in which that assessment went was threat 3 level down, not up.

4 : Not threat level down. Threat level Witness 5 remains the same. We continue what we're doing. We б continue looking. And also, at the same time, I know 7 there's some concern about my presence in the stage left 8 area doing crowd control. The way site PI operates, in my 9 understanding, training, and experience, you need to be in 10 relatively close proximity to the protectee. For example, 11 if a threat emerges from the crowd you need to be able to 12 immediately respond to that. A threat from outside the 13 venue, from an elevated position, is not something I'm able 14 to respond to on foot, in the venue, as an intelligence 15 response agent.

So at that time the threat level doesn't go down. It still remains the same. But there are additional areas of concern, mainly the crowd, access to the motorcade, and now someone on the roof.

HSGAC Majority: And just to fully understand --Witness: I just want to say that she never testified that there was a, quote, "threat level," end quote. She never said that, and I don't believe that she believed that there was.

25 HSGAC Majority So to further --



1 Let's just use the right phrasing. : Witness 2 So to further probe this line of HSGAC Majority 3 questioning then, during that time the duration does go 4 into your assessment of the individual you are searching 5 Is that correct? I will set aside the question of for. 6 the level, and whether that level rises to the position of threat versus suspicion. But that is one of the factors, 7 8 the duration of time.

9 If they're not seen in the area Witness : 10 continuing the behavior, they're still a concern because 11 they could return. They could be anywhere in the area. 12 So, of course, you're still looking for them, and you 13 wouldn't stop until after the visit, I suppose, when 14 everything is done. I'm not really understanding what 15 you're trying to get at here. It's still a concern the 16 entire time. That doesn't ever change. The fact that 17 they're not seen, to me it doesn't affect the level of 18 concern at all, in one way or the other. I mean, you're 19 still concerned, but the fact that they're not in the 20 immediate area, is certainly better because they're not visibly attempting to access the site of the protectee. 21 22 That's what I'm trying to get at --HSGAC Majority :

23 Witness : Yes.

24 -- assessing whether the situation you're HSGAC Majority 25 addressing is better or worse. Your answer is it was



1 better, based on the information you had.

2 Witness : Yes.

HSGAC Majority: The number of years of experience you've
had, for the events you have worked during that experience,
have you ever been at an event where there was an
individual who had been identified as a threat and not
suspicious?

8 Witness

: Yes.

9 HSGAC Majority : And can you describe that?

10 Witness : I was a protective intelligence 11 advance agent for the visit of the Vice President to 12 Phoenix, Arizona. Months prior there was a protester along 13 the motorcade route who threw an unknown liquid substance 14 at the motorcade. She struck several Phoenix police 15 officers on motorcycles. She then fled the area. I was in 16 the motorcade so I continued with the motorcade to the next 17 site and assisted with hazmat and decon. The site 18 protective intelligence advance agent, or site agent, post-19 stander, the PI person at that site, with local police, 20 after we left, was able to locate and contact that person. 21 That person was arrested and then taken to a local 22 precinct, and I later interviewed them.

HSGAC Majority: My follow-up question was whether that
person was detained. You said she was.

25 Witness : She was detained, arrested, and



1 interviewed by Secret Service and Phoenix PD.

HSGAC Majority: And in your experience have you ever been a part of an event where an individual was determined to be a threat but was not detained, to your knowledge?

5 Witness : Not to my knowledge, no.

6 HSGAC Majority: Prior to July 13th, have you ever been at 7 an event, working at an event, where shots were fired?

8 Witness : No.

9 HSGAC Majority: Prior to July 13th, have you ever been at 10 an event where the threat level didn't rise to the occasion 11 where shots were actually fired but there was a dangerous 12 weapon identified?

13 : At a previous Trump rally, again, this Witness 14 is in Mesa, Arizona, we had a person go through a 15 magnetometer screening with a weapon in her purse. She had 16 a firearm in her purse that she claimed to have forgotten 17 about. She had forgotten it was there. She was denied 18 entry and asked to leave the venue, and she left the venue 19 with the weapon in her purse. And legally owned and 20 stored. And she cooperated and left the venue. She was 21 identified. We ran checks.

There are certain states where open carry is allowed. I was working shifts with President Carter in downtown Plains, Georgia, and we had an individual walking around the event we were attending with a weapon in plain sight,



1 holstered on his belt.

2 So yes, I've encountered dangerous weapons. I forget 3 what the question was.





1 importance of communicating via radio? 2 Witness : Did I? No. 3 HSGAC Majority : You didn't, either in a briefing or 4 informally, talk about the importance of communicating via 5 radio? б : Not that I recall, no. Witness HSGAC Majority : Okay. You said when shots were fired 7 you were at stage left. Is that right/ 8 9 Witness : Yes. 10 HSGAC Majority : Did you observe local law enforcement 11 fire their weapon? 12 : I did not. Witness HSGAC Majority : Have you since learned that local law 13 14 enforcement did fire their weapon, at Crooks, that is? 15 Witness : I read in media and like general 16 reports that Secret Service did. I don't remember hearing 17 or reading specifically local law enforcement did, no. HSGAC Majority : Okay. That's all I had. I'll turn it 18 19 over to my colleague from PSI majority. 20 Nothing from me. Thank you. Witness : 21 I just have a few more follow-up HSGAC Majority 22 questions. You've been with Secret Service for over 20 23 years at this point. Can you describe your general 24 schedule, hours, and travel, and if that has changed at all in the past, since you've joined, for better or for worse? 25



1 : So when I joined the Secret Service Witness George W. Bush was in office. Social media did not exist. 2 3 So most of the protective intelligence and the threat 4 investigations we conducted were letter writing in nature, 5 not a lot of online or posted threats. So from that б aspect, with the evolution of the internet and social 7 media, the amount of threats that we investigate, the 8 number of threats towards our protectees, in my experience, 9 has increased significantly. The number of protectees 10 since that time have increased significantly, which affects 11 our travel, which affects the number of people that we use 12 to secure venues.

13 So I would say there's been a steady increase in the 14 amount of travel, in the size, prior to the election of 15 President Trump. I do not recall any time prior to that 16 doing outdoor rallies of this nature with this amount of 17 frequency. It is my personal opinion, my experience, that he's the first protectee to hold rallies of this nature on 18 19 a regular basis. And it's been my experience they are very 20 difficult to secure, due to the sheer size and number of 21 attendees.

With the presidential campaigns evolving as they have since 2003, even this campaign compared to the last has been a much more stringent pace. This previous rotation I was on I traveled for four weeks straight. Prior to that I



1 was at the U.N. for 11 days. Prior to that I was 2 traveling for three weeks. Prior to that was the Butler 3 incident. There's very little down time during campaign 4 year.

5 In a non-campaign year, as I said the number of 6 protectees have increased, so the protective travel 7 increases. The protective intelligence cases increases. 8 To give you an example, after the July 13th rally I was 9 home with my family for approximately a week. During that 10 time, because I was a protective intelligence person for 11 Phoenix, I believe we got half a dozen threat cases in 12 those seven days, just in our district alone, directed at 13 former President Trump.

HSGAC Majority: What do you mean by received half a dozen threat cases? You mean --

16 Witness Notifications from our headquarters, 17 Protective Intelligence Division, that persons in our 18 district had threated to kill President Trump, and that we 19 needed to conduct a preliminary investigation, six in seven 20 days.

HSGAC Majority : And that is also part of your job
responsibilities.

Witness
23 Witness
24 addition to traveling for the campaign.

25 So that changed. It's constantly evolving, the pace.



As I said, the number of protectees, the evolution of
 the internet, it's certain made things more challenging
 than they were 21 years ago.

HSGAC Majority : To your knowledge, have any Secret
Service personnel involved in the July 13th event been
removed from duty, reassigned, placed on leave, or
terminated?

8 Witness : To my knowledge, some agents were, and 9 I don't know the terminology, but were working from home, 10 that were removed from travel and campaign duties.

HSGAC Majority : Have you received any disciplinary actions, either telework duties, administrative leave, anything else, from Secret Service after the event?

14 Witness : I have not.

HSGAC Majority : What happened at the July 13th rally was a, quote/unquote, "near miss" or what Secret Service refers to as a, quote, "unusual protective event and incident."

18 Are you familiar with that terminology?

19 Witness : I've heard it before.

20HSGAC Majority: Are you aware of any other such events21in the past?

Witness
Witness
That I personally was involved in?
No.

HSGAC Majority : Any that you were not -Witness : Historically, I'm aware. The



1 assassination attempt on President Reagan.

2 HSGAC Majority : But during your tenure?

Witness : During my tenure, I believe there was the shoe-throwing event with George Bush. Someone removed a shoe and threw it at him. So, for example, the protester throwing the unknown substance at the VP, that would be also an unusual incident or protective -- the terminology they used, forgive me. I'm getting tired.

9 HSGAC Majority : That's okay. Were there any, to your 10 knowledge, Secret Service protocols or policies on July 11 13th that were either not followed or deviated from?

12 Witness : Not that I'm aware of.

13 HSGAC Majority : I know it's been a few months, and 14 you've had time to reflect on what has happened. And the 15 former and acting Director of the Secret Service have both 16 testified before Congress that operational failures did 17 occur that day and there has been our investigation and our 18 report, and I know a House report as well as the 19 independent review panel report. Based on your experience, 20 you know, from the past, since 2003, with the Service, and 21 the expertise that you've garnered since then, what is your 22 assessment of what failures occurred on July 13th?

Witness I think, as I've spoken to earlier, for me personally, with my role that day, I wish I had more knowledge of the number of local and state assets that were



there. I wish I had known all of the teams that were in place and what their roles were. I understand why I wasn't briefed on that at the time.

4 Knowing now what we know now, I think it's more 5 important, and I think it's worth noting, going forward after that day, I have found myself in a position, as a 6 7 Security Room agent. I have been assigned that role as a 8 post-stander. And I have taken it upon myself to speak to 9 any local assets that are in that room with me and ask who 10 is here. I want all of the assets, everyone that is here, 11 do you have communication with all of them. I think that 12 was something that could have been better that day, knowing 13 the number of assets we had and being able to communicate 14 with all of them. If we had gotten information when Crooks 15 was first spotted, I feel like we could have disrupted the 16 event, either through an interview or removal or detention 17 of him. Again, hindsight is 20/20, but I think that 18 certainly would have helped.

HSGAC Majority : And based on your experience, both that day but also your over 20 years of service, there have been a number of reforms proposed and also Secret Service has announced reforms itself. In your review, are those reforms that Secret Service has announced so far sufficient to address the problems that occurred on July 13th?

25 Witness : I think they're ongoing, and I think



they're evolving. I have seen some adjustments with regard to radio communication and support for cellphone communication that I think are important. I think it's going to continue to evolve and change, now that the campaign is, for the most part, over, and we go forward with new protective strategies.

HSGAC Majority : Is there anything else you'd like to 7 share specifically with regard to reforms or challenges in 8 9 implementing them that you think we should be aware of? 10 : I think it's clear through the number Witness 11 of conversations we've had today regarding my concern with 12 crowd control in that stage left area, and I said this to 13 another group, and I'll be brief. When you have a crowd 14 the size of 15,000 people, I would compare that day to an 15 outdoor concert. If anyone has been to an outdoor concert 16 in a large field with several thousand people, there's 17 generally some kind of asset that is there for crowd 18 control, as far as getting people into their seats, out of 19 their seats, making sure they don't go to restricted areas. 20 I think that would have been an asset that day, if we had had someone dedicated specifically to that. For example, 21 22 medical callouts, verbal altercations at the checkpoint.

And I think personally that it's incumbent on the host committee, campaign staff, or the venue to provide that, to ask Secret Service to essentially do crowd control in



addition to responding to threats, which I'm not saying we were asked to do that that day. But that's the biggest threat is the human factor. When you have 15,000 people in a venue, it's a concern. And I think going forward if we're going to be hosting rallies of that size we need some sort of assistance with that, so we can focus on the protectee.

8 HSGAC Majority : Understood. And so you just talked 9 about crowd control. You talked about the changing threat 10 landscape and also the changing technological landscape 11 that has increased the number of threats, and the roles of 12 Secret Service agents and what they're expected to do and 13 how they're expected to respond.

14 Is there anything else that we haven't asked about 15 that you think should be addressed or you want to bring to 16 our attention today?

17 Witness : Not at this time, no.

:

HSGAC Majority : Thank you. I'll turn it over to my
colleague, Mr. HSGAC Minori [sic].

HSGAC Minority : Thank you. This is HSGAC Minority , Senator Paul's office, back again, and I've just got a few questions. You talked about hindsight is 20/20, but isn't that the purpose of security and advance, to plan for the unforeseen?

25

It is. However, you can't anticipate



Witness

1 every threat. No one is omnipresent, is all-knowing.

2 You can plan for everything that you can, and some things3 are out of your control.

4 HSGAC Minority : Were you given the opportunity to
5 review the local ops plans?

6 Witness : I was not.

7 HSGAC Minority : Are you allowed to look at those?
8 Witness : I can't imagine I wouldn't be. It's
9 not something that's typically provided to the post-

10 standers.

11 HSGAC Minority : The only reason I ask that is because 12 you've repeated multiple times you wished you would've 13 known. You wished you would've known. There was an 14 opportunity to know, and it was within those operations 15 plans. And that's a question I just can't understand, 16 because we've even heard testimony from the lead counter 17 sniper that didn't review the local operations plans. Is 18 that normal?

Witness : I don't know what their protocol is.
I know they're not typically provided to the post-standers.
HSGAC Minority : Do you think that's something that
would be nice, moving forward, that we offer to them, to
look at? Because it would've helped you, I think. Am I
correct?

25

5

It would've helped me, I think. That



Witness

:

1 would be helpful going forward.

HSGAC Minority : I just want to clarify a couple of things and I'll it along to them. I think we've covered almost everything that I'm looking for today.

5 We had a little discrepancy because I think you said 6 that the counter snipers were looking for suspicious people 7 too. Am I correct, is that's what they were looking for?

8 : And again, I'm not a counter sniper. Witness I can't speak to what their directive is. I've worked in 9 10 the counter sniper response position, and I have responded 11 to things like, "There's someone along the fence line in 12 this area that's supposed to be restricted. I want you to 13 respond and find out who that is." I have done that as a 14 counter sniper response agent. It's been my experience 15 that's part of their function.

16 HSGAC Minority : The only reason I ask is because under 17 the testimony from Special Agent C/S Response he said that the 18 PI's job was to look for suspicious people, and the counter 19 sniper's job is to look for threats. And I know it sounds 20 like minutiae, but there is a difference between suspicion 21 and a threat. And I just wanted to clarify for the record, 22 is that correct in what he is assessing there, that that's 23 the roles?

24 Witness : He's not incorrect. I think it 25 depends on your personal definition of suspicious and



1 threat. Again, I've worked in both roles, and I've been 2 asked to respond to everything from a fuel truck moving on 3 a runway that was supposed to a ramp freeze and to someone 4 standing on a fence line. They overlap to a degree with 5 the distinction that counter sniper would respond б specifically to directions from the counter sniper advance 7 and counter sniper team. Protective intelligence not 8 necessarily.

9 HSGAC Minority : Okay. You mentioned a while ago that 10 you were at an event where people carried open carry. I 11 just want to make sure. Someone with a gun, does that 12 necessarily make them a threat, the possession of the 13 weapon?

14 Witness : It does not, but I believe the 15 question was have you been at an event where a weapon was 16 present.

17 HSGAC Minority : Okay.

18 : And I have. You know, and it doesn't Witness 19 necessarily make that person a threat, but when you're 20 standing next to a protectee, responsible for them, you 21 certainly want to know where that weapon is at all times. HSGAC Minority : And their hands. 22 23 Witness : Exactly.

HSGAC Minority : Last thing I have, because you talked
about actionable intelligence and timeliness of



intelligence. Would it have been beneficial -- because you said even in your text to your counterparts that if you had more time, and that's one thing we're just not able to make. But would it have helped you if you'd have seen the photo of Mr. Crooks at 5:45 p.m.?

6 Witness : I think it would've been helpful from 7 the aspect of sharing intelligence and knowing who we were 8 looking for. I certainly would've wanted to know as soon 9 as possible exactly who we were looking for.

HSGAC Minority : If you had received it at 5:45, would you have passed that along to other individuals so that they could create that actionable intelligence? Because I think that's where you're trying to get from.

14 Witness : Yeah. Yeah.

15 HSGAC Minority : Just general intel, actionable.

16 Witness : I would've passed it as soon as I

17 received it.

HSGAC Minority : The reason I asked that specifically is because under testimony from other individuals, the counter sniper lead had that photo at 5:45 p.m.



25 along.



HSGAC Minority : Thank you. And the last thing that I wanted to ask, did you ever assume the role, transition -I know you're on the JTTF FBI. Was there any time during that day that you had to assume the role to assist them as an FBI JTTF or anything like that? Was there ever a crossover in your role?

7 : No. There was not a crossover. I did Witness brief the FBI as they arrived at the site to take over on 8 what I knew. And we haven't really touched on this, and 9 10 I'll be brief. But after the shooting, after President 11 Trump was evacuated, part of my post responsibilities was 12 crime scene response. So at that point I'm then assisting 13 with removing the public, securing evidence, turning the 14 scene over to the FBI. So I briefed them to that degree, 15 but I didn't do any investigations.

16 HSGAC Minority : But you were acting under the role of 17 the U.S. Secret Service at that point.

18 Witness : Yes. Never in my role as a task force 19 officer.

HSGAC Minority : And the last thing I want to clarify is you did not hear anyone say anything about a man on the roof with a gun.

23Witness: I never heard any mention of a weapon24at any time.

25 HSGAC Minority : And the reason I mention that is



1 because in in our last interview with Mr. C/S Response he 2 specifically stated, on page 150 of his transcript, that he 3 shouted out -- well, he said he spoke out loud. I don't 4 think he said he shouted -- that there was a man on the 5 roof with a gun. He specifically said that, and he б mentioned that you were in the proximity. Not that you 7 could hear everything, but I just wanted to confirm, you 8 did not hear anything about the gun. Correct? 9 I did not hear him shout that, that I Witness : recall, no. 10 11 That's all the questions I have, and HSGAC Minority 12 thank you for your time, and I'll pass it to my colleagues. 13 I'd just like to correct the record HSGAC Maiority 14 briefly. I know a few hours ago we asked about the text 15 message that Special Agent C/S Response sent to you. So I'm 16 showing you what, I believe we're on Exhibit 9, what's been marked as Exhibit 9. 17 18 [Exhibit No. 9 was 19 marked for identification.] 20 HSGAC Majority Is this the text message communication? 21 The names are redacted, so I want to make sure it's 22 accurate, and if so, the time is stamped as not 5:52 p.m. 23 but 6:04 p.m.

24 Witness : Yes.

25 HSGAC Majority : And who sent this message?



 1
 Witness
 : This would've been from C/S Response

 2
 to me.

3 HSGAC Majority : That's all. I just wanted to make sure
4 the record is accurate.

PSI Minority : Agent Witness PSI Minority with
Senator Johnson's office. So 5 p.m. on July 13th the shots
fired. Discuss your communications with Agent C/S Response
Witness : With regard to the shots, or -PSI Minority : All of it. From 5 p.m. on.

: On. Okay. I don't recall

11 conversations or specific, anything specific prior to 12 getting the initial report of the person on the fence line. 13 We worked together throughout the day, so from 5 p.m. to 14 the point where I went to the fence line to initially look 15 for this person I don't recall anything specific with him.

16 After the fence line I encountered him in the stage 17 left area, as I said before. I remember speaking to him, 18 telling him the information I had, suspicious person on the 19 fence line with a rangefinder. I believe that he had the 20 same information. The photo was shared. After the photo 21 was shared there was the intelligence that someone was on 22 the roof. I recall speaking with him and that we were both 23 trying to determine where the AGR building was and how to 24 get over there.



10

Witness

Then the shots rang out. Special Agent C/S Response and



1 I were right next to the pneumatic lift that was holding 2 the speakers in the air, and it's my belief that the first 3 shot hit that lift and it punctured the tube, sending gas 4 and shrapnel onto Agent C/S Response and myself, and it 5 dropped the speakers to the bottom of the lift. And then I б remember hearing shots fired, and I believe I looked at 7 C/S Response and at that time we thought the lift had failed. 8 At that time we weren't aware that it was gunshots, that we 9 thought something had malfunctioned with the lift and 10 dropped the speakers, creating the noise. And then I 11 remember hearing additional shots after that.

We took cover behind the lift, behind the tires, and then I lost track of him after that point. I then encountered him later on, and we worked together throughout the evening. But after the shots I don't recall seeing or speaking to him until later that evening.

17 PSI Minority : Okay. When you get the report of the 18 suspicious person and you go over to the fence line, who 19 specifically was there?

Witness : With me?
PSI Minority : Yes.
Witness : Trooper PSP Counterpart and then Trooper, I
think it was PSP CPL or Corporal PSP CPL We were on the golf
cart together, and I think you can see it in the photo.
When we got over to the fence line there was a local



1 tactical team, and then there was a uniformed trooper
2 at, I think it was Post 4, outside the gate. I remember
3 that group of us in that area.



10 Witness : I don't recall, no.

11 **PSI Minority**: Okay. Did those individual you spoke to 12 along the fence line, there was no discussion of any of 13 those people leaving their posts to go out and look for the 14 suspicious person?

Witness : I don't recall, no. The tactical team that was there, their spot where they were holding in support of Secret Service tactical team, it was my understanding. And then the trooper was in a fixed post at that gate. So there was no discussion, or no direction from me for them to do anything, that I remember. **PSI Minority** : So no one in that group, at that time,

21 PSI Minority : So no one in that group, at that time,
22 thought one of us should go outside the perimeter.

- 23 Witness : No. Not that I recall.
- 24 PSI Minority : Do you remember a Pennsylvania State
- 25 Trooper named PSP Trooper



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

?

1 Not off the top of my head, no. : Witness 2 I believe he's the one who was partnered PSI Minority 3 with Agent C/S Response 4 : I wasn't aware Agent C/S Response had a Witness 5 partner. 6 **PSI Minority** : Had you ever worked at an event with 7 Agent C/S Response before? Do you know Agent C/S Response 8 : I had not. I met him that day. Witness **PSI Minority** : So after shots are fired at 6:11 p.m. on 9 10 July 13th, what did you do?

11 : After the shots were fired, and then Witness 12 President Trump was on stage, he was covered by his detail, 13 I remember shouting at people in the crowd to get down. 14 They had stood and started cheering when President Trump 15 stood. He was evacuated, and then I moved into a position 16 on the bleachers and started giving the crowd direction to 17 evacuate and leave the venue. I went around to a number of 18 the post-standers in my immediate area that I could 19 contact, and I gave them direction with regard to holding 20 their post, maintaining evidence.

I worked my way back up to the Security Room, clearing out general public and securing the crime scene. And then I went into the Security Room, and I believe I spoke with the Security Room agent, SAIC and it was SAIC I spoke with local law enforcement, the FBI, the site assist agent,



a number of people, as far as getting the FBI in and
turning the scene over to them and then accounting for
post-standers. I provided SAC of Buffalo a list of all the
post-stander names and contact information to be turned
over to the FBI, assisted in getting them off post, things
like that.

PSI Minority : Did you ever go over to the AGR property?
Witness : I drove the FBI over to that area. I
didn't enter the building or go on top of the roof at any
time. I gave them a ride in the golf cart to that area.
They didn't know how to get over there, so I drove them
around, dropped them off, and came back.

PSI Minority : You drove who specifically from the FBI?
Witness : I believe it was the special agent in
charge and one of his agents.

16 **PSI Minority** : The SAIC of Pittsburgh FBI?

17 Witness I think so, yeah. I had sent him the 18 photo earlier. They were going over there in an attempt to 19 identify the shooter.

20 PSI Minority : What time was that at?

Witness : That was, I think it was one of those text messages that didn't go through. One of those was to the special agent in charge of the Pittsburgh. So that would've been either at, I believe it was at 8:15 p.m.

25 PSI Minority : Okay. Can you describe the AGR property



1 when you get over, when you drive over the FBI SAIC at
2 8:15?

Witness : It was as it had looked earlier. It was like an industrial property, a number of buildings, nondescript.

6 PSI Minority : What was happening when you went over 7 there?

8 Witness : There were a number of uniformed 9 police in and around the area. I didn't really spend any 10 time there or interact with anybody. I dropped them off 11 and drove back over to the Security Room.

PSI Minority : So you had some interactions with theFBI. Any interactions with the ATF?

Witness : We don't want to go into any details about their investigation or conversations about ATF or FBI. We've been very careful not to divulge any of those discussions. We have no authority from the FBI or ATF to talk about --

PSI Minority : My question was did she interact with ATF
on July 13th.





1 communications regarding someone along the fence line of 2 concern with a red shirt or a neon, high visibility shirt? 3 Witness : I recall a report of an unattended 4 bicycle and a bag. 5 PSI Minority : He's asking you about a red shirt. Just 6 answer the question. 7 And I believe there was someone in Witness : that area with a red shirt, but nothing specific, no. 8 9 **PSI Minority** : So you heard about the red shirt on July 10 13th? 11 There was someone in a red shirt in Witness : 12 I remember hearing that at some point, but that area. 13 nothing specific. 14 **PSI Minority** : Where did you hear that from? 15 Witness I believe it was afterwards. A person : 16 had been detained to be interviewed. And I remember 17 someone saying this was the guy in the red shirt, by the 18 bike. I had nothing to do with it. It was information 19 that had been passed to me. I think one of the post-20 standers was bringing him in for an interview. 21 PSI Minority : Okay. Do you know who Officer Butler 22 ESU [ph] is? 23 : Not off the top of my head, no. Witness 24 **PSI Minority** : Who were the people right around you, 25 right before shots were fired? What are their names?



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376)

1 The only names that I'm aware of : Witness 2 were PSP Counterpart and then Special Agent C/S Response Ι 3 didn't know everyone's individual names of the uniformed 4 troopers or the EOD technicians that were in the area. 5 PSI Minoritv But there were more people around you at : б that moment. 7 There were more people in that area, Witness : 8 yes. 9 Did you at any time receive information PSI Minority 10 that a suspicious person was inside the security perimeter? 11 Witness : No. 12 : Do you have any idea why potentially PSI Minority 13 someone on the Secret Service believed the suspicious 14 person was inside the security perimeter? 15 That was not communicated to me. Witness : No. 16 PSI Minority : Did you use your Secret Service device to 17 communicate that day? 18 Witness : The cellphone? 19 PSI Minority Yes. : 20 Witness : Yes. 21 : Do you use your personal cellphone on PSI Minority 22 July 13th? 23 No. I didn't know anyone personally. Witness : 24 I didn't have their personal cellphones. 25 Did you use your personal cellphone PSI Minority : No.



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) 1 that day.

Witness : Not to talk to anybody in the venue,
no. I used it to call my family to let them know I was
safe.

5 PSI Minority : Right. Since July 13th, do you know 6 anything about how Crooks arrived in Butler that day? 7 : Only what's been reported in the news. Witness 8 **PSI Minority** : And what's that? 9 That he drove a vehicle, his own Witness : 10 vehicle, or a parent's vehicle. I'm not sure which. 11 **PSI Minority** : Do you know where he ended up leaving the 12 vehicle? 13 I don't. Witness : 14 Witness just a few follow-up PSI Minority : 15 Were you aware of other federal law enforcement questions. 16 agents at the rally prior to shots fired? 17 Witness : I was not. 18 PSI Minority : You were not at the time. Have you 19 been made aware since, or you're not aware altogether? 20 : The FBI was there after the fact, but Witness prior to the shooting, no, I wasn't aware of any other 21 22 federal presence. 23 PSI Minority : Understood. Were you ever made aware

24 of a suspicious backpack or bike being identified at the

25 rally, or not at the rally but near the rally?



1 No. Along that fence line I Witness : 2 remember a report that there was an unattended, like there 3 was a bike and a backpack that were unattended. Nothing 4 suspicious. There's a difference between unattended and 5 Suspicious would indicate that smoke or wires suspicious. б or fluid. Unattended means no one is around their 7 property.

8 PSI Minority : When were you made aware of that? 9 Witness : In and around the time that we were 10 made aware of the person on the fence line with the 11 rangefinder.

12 PSI Minority : Who made you -- sorry, go ahead. 13 : When I was over there on the fence Witness 14 line, looking at the fence line, there was a number of 15 people. I believe there was the bike. There was the woman 16 on the horse. I think it was passed to me at that time. 17 **PSI Minority** : Who shared that information with you? 18 It would've been one of the officers Witness : 19 that was there, posted on that fence line area. I don't 20 know exactly who.

PSI Minority : And the purpose of you finding out about that information, was the intention for you to go and investigate that, as well?

24 Witness : I think at that time they were 25 reporting anything that they could to help locate or pass



intelligence regarding this person. I'm not sure if
 they thought it was relevant or not. I do recall someone
 telling me that.

4 PSI Minority
5 about a suspicious individual?

Witness : In that same time frame, when we were
looking along the fence line at everyone and everything.
PSI Minority : Did you see the backpack and bike
yourself?

Witness I don't recall specifically, no. I remember there were several people on bicycles and on foot, and a horse, and there were a number of things over there. I don't recall the specific bicycle or backpack being mentioned.

15 PSI Minority : Did you and Trooper PSP Counterpart discuss the 16 bike and backpack? 17 Witness : I don't recall.

18 PSI Minority : You mentioned the individual on the 19 horse a few times. When did you see that person?

20 Witness : At the same time that we were looking 21 for Crooks. There were people with cellphones and cameras. 22 There were a number of people on that fence line.

PSI Minority : And when you saw the individual on the horse, where were you located?

25 Witness : In that area along the chain-link



1 fence, was Posts 4 and 5.

Witness

PSI Minority : Were you ever made aware of any individuals associated with that property, which you later found out was AGR, dealing with people who had congregated in and around that property?

6

: Not specifically, no.

PSI Minority : When you post the individuals at Posts 4 and 5, did they mention to you about dealing with people 9 in that area outside of the fence line?

10 Witness I don't recall. I recall they were 11 just reporting to me what they were observing.

12 PSI Minority : And what was that?

Witness : The gentleman with the rangefinder, that we had the physical description of. I remember them pointing out a bike that was unattended, and the fact that a crowd, a small crowd of approximately 10, 12 people, had gathered in that area. Nothing more.

PSI Minority : Just to be clear, did they tell you
that they had observed the individual with the rangefinder?
Witness : Yeah, I believe it was the tactical
team in that area that I was speaking to that was reporting
it.

PSI Minority : So again, for clarity, you first received the information about a suspicious individual outside the fence line with a rangefinder, and then you



1 went to talk to those individuals, Post 4 and Post 5.
2 And they confirmed to you that they saw this individual
3 using the rangefinder. Is that right?

4 Witness : It was my understanding they were the 5 reporting party. At that time I didn't know anyone else б had seen them. At this time I believed this was the first 7 anyone is reporting any of this. I don't know anything 8 that happened prior to this one call that I responded to. 9 So to me, this is the first time anyone has seen this 10 This is the first I'm learning of it. person.

PSI Minority : But you heard from these people about the suspicious person? I thought you said earlier that you heard from someone else about the suspicious person.

14 Witness : Trooper PSP Counterpart who was with me, with 15 the State Police, told me, "Hey, someone's reporting a 16 suspicious person on the fence line with a rangefinder." 17 We responded. It was my understanding at that time that 18 was the reporting party --

19

: Oh, okay.

Witness : -- that had informed him. So we were responding to people reporting it and getting the information from them directly.

23 PSI Minority : Okay. So did you confirm with them 24 that they were indeed the people that had reported it 25 prior?



PSI Minority

1 Witness I asked them what they had seen, : 2 and they told me. I didn't ask if they had heard this from 3 anybody else. 4 **PSI Minority** : So you still do not know today if they 5 were the reporting party. 6 Witness : I don't know if they were the first 7 people to see him, no. I don't know that. I don't know 8 who the first people were to see him. I didn't speak to 9 them. I didn't hear from them. 10 PSI Minority : And did they identify where this 11 individual was when they saw him? 12 They said he was on the fence line. : Witness 13 That was the only information I had. 14 : They didn't describe what he looked PSI Minority 15 like to you? 16 : They said he was a white male, he was Witness 17 younger, and had long hair. 18 PSI Minority Did they say where he went? 19 Witness : No. They didn't know where he was. 20 Well, they saw him, right. So at some PSI Minority 21 point they did see him. And then where did they --22 They told me what he looked like and : Witness 23 where he was, and he was no longer there. That was all 24 they told me. At that point I was trying to find him. 25 And these were local law enforcement? PSI Minority :



1 It was a tactical team posted in Witness : that 4, 5 area. 2 3 **PSI** Minority : How many individuals? 4 Witness Approximately four, maybe five. : 5 Did you ask them if they had **PSI** Minority : 6 communicated to anyone what they had seen on either radio 7 or text? 8 It was my understanding that they had Witness : communicated it to Trooper PSP Counterpart, and that's why we were 9 10 there. 11 : Did Trooper PSP Counterpart ever tell you that PSI Minority 12 they were indeed the reporting party? 13 Not specifically, no. Witness : 14 PSI Minority : Okay. I'll turn it back over to my 15 colleagues in the majority. 16 HSGAC Majority : Well, thank you very much for your time 17 today, Senior Special Agent Witness . We have tried to 18 cover every topic area. However, we are still in the 19 process of receiving productions from DHS and the 20 Department of Homeland Security and Secret Service. This 21 has limited our ability to be efficient and thorough, But 22 we hope that we have been here today. So we may have 23 further questions, and we'll follow up if that is the case. 24 But as we mentioned at the beginning, we ask that you 25 not speak about what we discussed in this interview here



1	today with anyone outside of who was in the room, to
2	preserve the integrity of our investigation.
3	With that, and with no other questions, we will go off
4	the record. Thank you again.
5	Witness : Thank you.
б	[Whereas, at 5:44 p.m., the interview was concluded.]
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

