

September 11, 2008

**TESTIMONY OF JOHN DEROSA,  
GLOBAL TAX DIRECTOR, LEHMAN BROTHERS INC., BEFORE THE  
U.S. SENATE PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS**

Chairman Levin, Ranking Member Coleman, and Members of the Subcommittee:

I am John DeRosa, Managing Director and Global Tax Director at Lehman Brothers Inc. I appreciate the opportunity to appear before the Subcommittee today on behalf of Lehman Brothers Inc. ("Lehman"). Lehman, an innovator of global finance, serves the financial needs of corporations, governments and municipalities, institutional clients, and high net worth individuals worldwide. Founded in 1850, Lehman maintains leadership positions in equity and fixed income sales, trading and research, investment banking, private investment management, asset management and private equity. The Firm is headquartered in New York, with regional headquarters in London and Tokyo, and operates in a network of offices around the world.

As Global Tax Director, I can state with confidence—and I want to emphasize—that Lehman takes its obligations under the U.S. tax code very seriously. Lehman has worked diligently to follow the letter and spirit of the law governing both equity swaps and stock loan agreements.

The rules governing the applicability of U.S. withholding tax for payments made to non-U.S. counterparties on swap and stock loan transactions referencing U.S. equities are clear. Under Treasury Regulation § 1.863-7(b)(1), the source of notional principal contract income (*i.e.*, swap payments) is determined by reference to the residence of the taxpayer receiving the payment, not the residence of the payor on the underlying referenced asset. Thus, when Lehman makes a payment on an equity swap referencing a U.S. asset to a non-U.S. counterparty, the

payment is sourced to the residence of the swap counterparty and does not attract U.S. withholding tax. With respect to stock loans, IRS administrative Notice 97-66 exempts from U.S. withholding tax in lieu payments made to a foreign counterparty when the criteria articulated in that notice are met. Thus, under these rules, the transactions the Subcommittee is reviewing do not attract U.S. withholding tax.

When Lehman makes payments, whether pursuant to an equity swap or a stock loan, to foreign counterparties referencing U.S. equities, Lehman complies with these rules. We understand that Treasury and the IRS may now be considering whether these rules should be changed going forward, including possibly advancing a new rule that would recharacterize some, but not all, of these transactions. I can assure you that, to the extent that Treasury or the IRS now changes these rules, Lehman will comply with those new rules.

Equity swaps and stock loan agreements are basic financial instruments that have been in existence for decades and are critical to the proper functioning of today's global capital markets. There are many reasons—totally unrelated to withholding tax—why clients use these instruments. Fundamentally, clients employ these instruments to gain economic exposure to underlying assets without beneficially owning those assets. These instruments can provide clients with leverage, operational and administrative efficiency, and other balance sheet and regulatory capital benefits. In return, Lehman receives financing spreads and commissions as appropriate. These financial instruments, like many others such as municipal bonds, offer tax efficiency in certain circumstances – a result fully recognized by Treasury and the IRS.

In fact, however, most of Lehman's equity swaps and stock loans have nothing to do with U.S. withholding tax efficiency. The overwhelming majority of Lehman's equity swaps and stock loans simply do not implicate U.S. withholding taxes at all because they have one or more

of the following characteristics: (1) the counterparty takes a short, rather than a long, position; (2) there is no distribution payment on the underlying referenced security; (3) the swap or stock loan is not held by the counterparty over a dividend record date; (4) the underlying referenced security makes a payment characterized for tax purposes as interest, which is generally not subject to U.S. withholding tax; (5) the underlying referenced equity is a foreign, rather than a U.S., equity; or (6) the counterparty is resident in the United States.

It has been well understood for years that even when these basic financial instruments *do* reference underlying U.S. dividend-paying securities and are entered into as long positions by non-U.S. counterparties over a dividend record date—a relatively small universe of transactions at Lehman—they do not attract U.S. withholding tax under U.S. tax laws. As I stated earlier, the basic rule for equity swaps, established by Treasury in 1991, is that payments made to non-U.S. counterparties pursuant to these basic financial instruments must be sourced based on the residence of the counterparty and, therefore, do not implicate U.S. withholding taxes. In addition, an IRS administrative notice specifically exempts from U.S. withholding taxes in lieu payments on stock loan transactions like the ones in which Lehman participated. These fundamental rules – and the resulting tax treatment for certain counterparties – have long been understood by market participants and, notably, the Department of Treasury and the Internal Revenue Service.

Indeed, most, if not all, of the major Wall Street investment banks and commercial banks engage in equity swap and stock loan transactions referencing U.S. underlying equities with non-U.S. counterparties. Over the last 15 years, numerous commentators in widely-respected taxation journals have addressed the withholding tax consequences of equity swaps similar to those offered throughout Wall Street, including articles by the current Chief of Staff for the Joint

Committee on Taxation and his former law firm. In 1998, a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the Federal Register that expressly addressed the same issue. It said (and I quote), “Treasury and the IRS are aware that in order to avoid the tax imposed on U.S. source dividends...some foreign investors use notional principal contract transactions based on U.S. equities...Accordingly, Treasury and the IRS are considering whether rules should be developed to preserve the withholding tax with respect to such transactions.” In May 2007, the Practising Law Institute hosted a panel focused specifically on the U.S. withholding tax aspects of equity swaps and stock loan transactions. The presentation expressly set forth and extensively discussed precisely the mechanics of the transactions the Subcommittee is now reviewing. That panel included well recognized practitioners in the tax field including, most notably, a representative from the IRS. Lehman has provided the Subcommittee with a copy of that panel’s presentation.

Despite the IRS’ clear recognition for at least a decade that these financial instruments, in certain circumstances, may have U.S. withholding tax implications, to date, no new rules governing equity swaps or stock loan arrangements have been promulgated. This is not surprising when one considers what a fundamental change any such new rules would present, particularly if those new rules were to articulate circumstances warranting recharacterization of certain transactions. Equity swaps and stock loans are, in fact, substantively different from beneficial ownership of the underlying securities and have been so treated – in regulation and in practice – for years. The challenge of recharacterizing an equity swap or stock loan transaction is highlighted by the fact that in many instances Lehman Brothers did not hold the underlying referenced assets in the equity swaps and stock loans at issue here. It is difficult to rationalize, for example, a new rule that would impose a dividend withholding tax on an equity swap or

stock loan payment in which neither party to the transaction actually held the underlying referenced security or ever received a dividend.

I should note, however, that even under existing law, Lehman exercised appropriate care when entering into these financial instruments. Lehman consulted extensively with tax experts both internally and at major Wall Street law firms, receiving both oral and written advice. Based on the advice of its legal counsel, Lehman put in place guidelines and parameters governing the use of these instruments. For example, Lehman instituted a minimum duration requirement and established requirements governing the size of underlying baskets. Under the prevailing rules applicable to equity swaps and stock loans, transactions meeting these guidelines should not be recharacterized for tax purposes. In other words, according to the U.S. tax laws as currently written, the payments made to non-U.S. counterparties pursuant to equity swaps must be sourced based on the residence of the counterparty and, therefore, do not trigger U.S. withholding taxes. Likewise, the type of in lieu payments made by Lehman on stock loans are specifically exempt from withholding tax pursuant to the IRS administrative notice mentioned earlier.

Lehman made every effort to ensure that its equity swaps and stock loans complied with these guidelines. Indeed, we know that in some situations clients approached Lehman in an effort to transact in these instruments in a way that did not align with our product parameters – for example, by seeking to hold a position for a very short period of time around a dividend record date – and that Lehman refused to engage in those transactions.

But Lehman did even more than that. In October 2007, when David Shapiro, Senior Counsel in the Treasury Department’s Office of Tax Policy, stated publicly that Treasury would “welcome input” from the industry on the proper tax treatment for these instruments going forward, Lehman responded. First, Lehman actively participated with the Securities Industry

and Financial Markets Association (“SIFMA”) to help develop a framework on behalf of the industry to analyze the appropriate tax treatment going forward for equity swap transactions. This analytical framework was then shared with Treasury and the IRS. Second, Lehman proactively and independently engaged the Treasury Department in constructive discussions explaining the equity swap business and a possible new framework. Those discussions culminated with Lehman’s submission earlier this year of a request to the IRS (pursuant to the Industry Issue Resolution Program) for official guidance. I have attached a copy of that submission with my written testimony.

As I said at the outset, if new rules governing the tax treatment of equity swaps and stock lending transactions are promulgated, Lehman will comply with those new rules. In the meantime, Lehman has made a concerted and good faith effort to comply with current tax law. We will continue to do so in the days and months to come.

Thank you again for the opportunity to appear here today. I would be happy to answer any questions you might have.

# LEHMAN BROTHERS

June 12, 2008

Internal Revenue Service  
Office of Prefiling and Technical Services  
Large and Mid-Size Business Division LM:PFT  
Mint Building 3 Floor M3-420  
1111 Constitution Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20224

**Re: News Release 2008-31 (Industry Issue Resolution Program)**

Dear Sir or Madam:

In News Release 2008-31 (March 3, 2008), the Internal Revenue Service solicited the submission of tax issues to be considered as part of the Industry Issue Resolution (“IIR”) Program. We are writing to request that, under the IIR Program, you consider publishing guidance with respect to the withholding tax treatment of equity swap transactions referencing U.S. equities and executed with foreign counterparties. In particular, we request that Treasury and the IRS publish guidance describing the circumstances, if any, under which the IRS would recharacterize an equity swap transaction and impose U.S. withholding tax on payments made to a foreign counterparty with respect to such equity swap.

This is a significant issue that affects a wide range of financial institutions and non-U.S. investors who regularly execute equity swap transactions over U.S. equities. We understand that the IRS is conducting audits of financial institutions’ equity swap transactions with foreign counterparties that reference U.S. equities to determine whether there might be a U.S. withholding tax liability with respect to those transactions. Published guidance would significantly reduce the burden created by these audits on both the IRS and the relevant financial institutions.

Since 1991, Treasury regulations have provided that payments received under notional principal contracts are sourced by reference to the residence of the taxpayer receiving the payments. This sourcing rule reflects the Treasury’s and the IRS’ long-held view that notional principal contracts in general and equity swaps in particular are single indivisible financial instruments rather than a collection of individual financial instruments. The IRS and Treasury accordingly have not disaggregated for U.S. tax purposes the constituent elements of payments made under equity swaps. The residence-based sourcing rule applicable to equity swaps embodies these principles, and reflects Treasury’s and the IRS’ considered decision not to impose a withholding tax on equity swap payments because, in many cases, a foreign counterparty has relatively little capital

invested in the transaction and primarily earns net income as a result of changes in the market values of the relevant equities. Although on at least two occasions Treasury and the IRS stated they were considering prospective rules that would depart from the residence-based sourcing rule for dividend equivalent amounts embedded in equity swap payments, no action has been taken to alter this rule.

We recognize that there may be fact patterns for equity swap transactions that could warrant recharacterization and the imposition of U.S. withholding tax on payments made under such equity swaps. There are no articulated standards, however, to guide taxpayers in ensuring that their equity swap transactions are subject to the default sourcing rule and not subject to recharacterization. Again, providing guidance would eliminate this uncertainty and reduce the burden on the IRS and taxpayers by focusing current and future audits on a far more limited universe of transactions. Without guidance, taxpayers can expect time-consuming, expensive and wide-ranging audits on transactions where the line between appropriate and inappropriate has never been drawn.

Given the longstanding use of equity swaps in the financial markets, we believe that guidance addressing the facts and circumstances in which it would be appropriate to impose withholding tax on equity swaps is long overdue. In light of the factual uncertainties underlying any potential recast of an equity swap, we encourage Treasury and the IRS to designate this issue as a high-priority industry issue and to develop guidance to fill the interstices between so-called "good" and "bad" equity swaps.

In light of the above, we respectfully request inclusion of this issue in the IIR Program. Consistent with our prior meeting, Lehman Brothers would be delighted to provide you with our cooperation, our assistance and any additional information that you might require to better approach this issue. In addition, we have crafted a few specific proposals that we think might assist in your efforts in this area, and we would be happy to share them with you. You can reach me at 212-320-7081 if you have any questions or would like to discuss this further.

Yours sincerely,



John DeRosa  
Global Tax Director

cc: Stephen R. Larson  
Associate Chief Counsel  
Internal Revenue Service

David H. Shapiro  
Senior Counsel, Financial Products  
Department of the Treasury