July 16, 2020

The Honorable Ron Johnson
Chairman
Committee on Homeland Security and
   Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Charles E. Grassley
Chairman
Committee on Finance
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Johnson and Chairman Grassley:

This further responds to your letter, dated May 9, 2019, to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) requesting information and documents pertaining to Mr. Christopher Steele’s interactions with State Department employees before the 2016 presidential election.

The FBI has transmitted a production of documents, Bates-numbered Senate_HSGAC_TransitionReq FB10000001-000027, to the Office of Senate Security for review by you and your staff.

Thank you for your continued support of the FBI, its mission, and its people.

Sincerely,

Douglas A. Williams
Section Chief
Office of Congressional Affairs

cc: The Honorable Gary C. Peters, Ranking Member
   Senate Committee on Homeland Security and
   Governmental Affairs

   The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member
   Senate Committee on Finance
Just re-looking at my notes from my convo with Chris Steele, I see that Chris said Kukes has some connection to Serge Millian, an émigré who is identified by FT as head of the Russian-American Chamber of Commerce. According to what Chris said to me in early October, Millian has apparently “disappeared” i.e., left the U.S. and hasn't been seen recently. I don't know anything about Millian, but he is referenced in the FT story: https://ig.ft.com/sites/trumps-russian-connections/

Kathy —

Thank you for taking the time to meet with us. I really hope we can get something going here.

This is very interesting — I may have heard about him from Tom Firestone as well, but I can't recall for certain. We will take another look at this.

Thanks again, and I look forward to seeing everyone at the next meeting.

Bruce

Thanks so much for coming by. It was very helpful to hear about the work the OCDE TF is doing, and we look forward to staying in touch.

Regarding the person I mentioned, Simon Kukes, below are a couple of links to the story I mentioned. I met Simon Kukes around 2014. Tom Firestone brought him in. Kukes had left Russia because he said he was concerned he might be investigated -- as I recall he said had gotten a summons to a court on some issue. Per Tom Kukes was trying to decide whether to relocate to the U.S.; he was supposedly worried about his
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August 31, 2020

The Honorable Ron Johnson  
Chairman  
Committee on Homeland Security  
and Governmental Affairs  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Charles E. Grassley  
Chairman  
Committee on Finance  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Johnson and Chairman Grassley:

On behalf of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), this further responds to your letter, dated July 28, 2020, to the Department of Justice and the FBI, and subsequent informal requests from Committee staff.

In order to support the Committees' requested timeframe, the FBI declassified and produced the enclosed documents on August 27 and August 30, 2020. Due to the expedited nature of these productions, the documents did not include Bates-numbers and watermarks. For consistency and record-keeping purposes, the FBI is enclosing with this letter identical documents to those previously provided, but with Bates-numbers and watermarks added.

This production is Bates-numbered Senate_HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI002639-002658. As explained to Committee staff, the FBI is willing to engage in discussions regarding specific redactions about which there may be questions. The production and review of these materials does not waive any applicable privilege.

Thank you for your support of the FBI, its mission, and its people.

Sincerely,

Douglas A. Williams, Jr.  
Section Chief  
Office of Congressional Affairs
Enclosure

cc: The Honorable Gary Peters, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

    The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on Finance
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Electronic Communication

Title: US Department of State employees aware of FBI relationship with STEELE.

From: WASHINGTON FIELD
Contact: 

Approved By:

Drafted By:

Case ID #: CROSSFIRE HURRICANE; FOREIGN AGENTS REGISTRATION ACT - RUSSIA; SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER CROSSFIRE DRAGON FOREIGN AGENTS REGISTRATION ACT - RUSSIA; SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER

Synopsis: This communication documents two email strings regarding US Department of State employees aware of FBI relationship with STEELE.

Enclosure(s): Enclosed are the following items:
1. US Department of State employees aware of FBI relationship with STEELE
2. Victoria Nuland inquires about meeting

Details:
This communication documents two email strings regarding US Department of State (USDOS) employees aware of FBI relationship with
Title: US Department of State employees aware of FBI relationship with STEELE.
Re: , 11/16/2016

STEEL. The first string of emails documents that USGOS employees were not only aware of the FBI's relationship with STEELE, the name of the Special Agent handler , the CHS' company name, but also knew of the CROSSFIRE HURRICANE team's planned travel on October 3 to meet with STEELE. In addition, it also appears an unnamed USGOS employee may have been and met with STEELE, who is a friend or an acquaintance and took notes during the STEELE meeting. The notes were not provided to the FBI as the USGOS employee believes STEELE already passed the FBI this information.

The second string documents that following the FBI's meeting with STEELE on October 3, 2016, Kathy Kavalec, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of European Affairs, acting on behalf of Victoria "Toria" Nuland, the Assistant Secretary of State for the Bureau of European Affairs, requested an update from Section Chief Steve Laycock regarding the FBI's meeting with STEELE.
Hi [redacted],

Below is what I was able to find in my emails.

Additionally, I remember that Pete or [redacted] had an idea of who the DOS employee was that met with the Orbis employee so I ran that person's name by Kathy and she all but confirmed that it was the same person who had provided his handwritten notes to Nuland. I don't remember ever getting these notes from Nuland or Kathy.

Thanks

[redacted]

Hi,

Just spoke with Kathy Kavalec (Russia DAS, reports directly to Toria) and reiterated the urgency of getting this report. She is going to ask Toria again and relay the urgency.

Kathy provided some background on the report, which are actually notes taken by a DOS employee. It sounds like the employee may have been [redacted] and met with the Orbis Security source who is a friend or an acquaintance. The DOS employee wrote notes during their meeting and came back to State and gave a copy to Toria. The DOS employee did not feel comfortable sharing these notes with the FBI (potentially just because they didn't feel they should be used for
anything official) and said that it sounded like the Orbis source had already provided the info to the FBI. The DOS employee also knew that the FBI was flying to meet with the Orbis source next week.

Toria has the notes, I haven’t seen them and wasn’t told about the content. Toria, as of yesterday, didn’t feel comfortable passing them to us based on the request from the DOS employee that they not be shared. I’m guessing Toria will readdress with the DOS employee after my meeting with Kathy so I’ll let you know what I hear back.

Thanks

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 10:49 AM
To: [redacted] (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Follow-up ---

Copy. You know how much of a priority this is, so any information real-time is greatly appreciated by the CD FO. We have folks deploying to interview this guy. They are looking for all they can get....specifically, the original document. Thanks,

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 10:43 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Follow-up ---

I don’t really have the details on the report or where it came from because I wasn’t in the discussions between Steve/Toria/Kathy, but when I went up to Kathy’s office last night to get the report she said she did not know if they could get it cleared but that they would try to give us the POC who could get us the report or information.

The email she sent below is that follow up. I can certainly follow up with Kathy to see about the report, if needed.

Thanks,
To:  
Subject: FW: Follow-up ---

From: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)  
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 10:26 AM  
To: LAYCOCK, STEPHEN C. (CD) (FBI)  
Cc: MOFFA, JONATHAN C. (CD) (FBI);  
Subject: RE: Follow-up ---

Didn't Toria say she had gotten something tangible (a written report) via the Ambo from the source?  

From: LAYCOCK, STEPHEN C. (CD) (FBI)  
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 10:07 AM  
To: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI);  
Subject: FW: Follow-up ---

TRANSITORY RECORD  

Here is the info I mentioned yesterday. Summary below. Let me know if you need anything more. But I think that is all they had.

Steve  

From: Kavalec, Kathleen A  
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2016 6:36 PM  
To: LAYCOCK, STEPHEN C. (CD) (FBI)  
Subject: Follow-up  

Hi Steve,
Thanks for coming over today. Regarding the issue we discussed at the end of our meeting, Toria inquired and was told the source of the information, from Orbis Security, is planning to meet on Monday, October 3, with [redacted], who she was told is your [redacted]. This source also said some folks from FBI are flying out [redacted] to meet him. Apparently the source and [redacted] know each other from New York.

I hope that is helpful in putting the pieces together!

Best, Kathy

Kathy Kavalec  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Bureau of European Affairs  
Department of State  
Washington, D.C. 20520
All good, I hit her back with it ‘went well’ and you the team had everything they needed.

I agree with [redacted]: “We had a collegial meeting. Given our investigative responsibilities, we don’t feel appropriate to get into the substance of the conversation.” If she still pushes, he can just say he needs to take it back to HQ, let me know, and we’ll figure out how to address.

Thanks

Steve,

I’d be interested in [redacted]’s response to you. From Friday’s meeting with [redacted] and [redacted] we came to the conclusion that first and foremost the source’s security is most important and we should never disclose our relationship regardless of if the CHS disclosed the relationship. As you know, the CH Team and [redacted] fully admonished the CHS that we have a confidential relationship so we shouldn’t have any more loose lips. The idea from Friday’s meeting was to have [redacted]
remain engaged with Kathleen and Toria as a sounding board in a non-committal way as he would hear if the CHS wasn’t keeping up his end of the confidential relationship bargain.

I also don’t know how its State’s business or how they think it appropriate to ask about a potential FBI source meet on such a politically charged subject. That is sending flags up.

I think [redacted] will have the best approach and idea on how to give a non-committal answer. Obviously she knows we had a team travel [redacted] and the source’s admission, but I don’t think we owe her any type of read-out and a political appointee should know better than to ask about a potential investigation of a political rival. I think we leave it at “a meeting occurred, the FBI collected information. I defer to [redacted] for his sense on how to handle the situation.”

Regards,

[redacted]

---

**From:** LAYCOCK, STEPHEN C. (CD) (FBI)
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2016 8:10 AM
**To:** STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)
**Subject:** FW: Update? --- [redacted]

[redacted]

==TRANSTORY RECORD==

Any feedback we can provide, even if generic in nature?

---

**From:** Kavalec, Kathleen A [redacted]
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2016 8:07 AM
**To:** LAYCOCK, STEPHEN C. (CD) (FBI)
**Cc:** [redacted]
**Subject:** Update?

Steve,

Toria is back from Moscow and just asked me how the meeting [redacted] went earlier this week. Is there anything you can share? Happy to talk secure if that would be convenient. Best, Kathy

Kathy Kavalec
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520

[redacted]
I think that’s enough info. If we need more, we can always go and informally talk to the State employee. This was as much for source vetting purposes as anything else.

Copy. Thanks,

I’ll let DAD Strzok and SSA comment further if needed, as this may have just answered our questions.

Thanks,

Hi,
Just spoke with Kathy Kavalec (Russia DAS, reports directly to Toria) and reiterated the urgency of getting this report. She is going to ask Toria again and relay the urgency.

Kathy provided some background on the report, which are actually notes taken by a DOS employee. It sounds like the employee may have been and met with the Orbis Security source who is a friend or an acquaintance. The DOS employee wrote notes during their meeting and came back to State and gave a copy to Toria. The DOS employee did not feel comfortable sharing these notes with the FBI (potentially just because they didn’t feel they should be used for anything official) and said that it sounded like the Orbis source had already provided the info to the FBI. The DOS employee also knew that the FBI was flying to meet with the Orbis source next week.

Toria has the notes, I haven’t seen them and wasn’t told about the content. Toria, as of yesterday, didn’t feel comfortable passing them to us based on the request from the DOS employee that they not be shared. I’m guessing Toria will readdress with the DOS employee after my meeting with Kathy so I’ll let you know what I hear back.

Thanks

———

Copy. You know how much of a priority this is, so any information real-time is greatly appreciated by the CD FO. We have folks deplo to interview this guy. They are looking for any they can get...specifically, the original document. Thanks.

———

I don’t really have the details on the report or where it came from because I wasn’t in the discussions between Steve/Toria/Kathy, but when I went up to Kathy’s office last night to get the report she said she did not know if they could get it cleared but that they would try to give us the POC who could get us the report or information.

The email she sent below is that follow up. I can certainly follow up with Kathy to see about the report, if needed.
Thanks

From: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 10:26 AM
To: LAYCOCK, STEPHEN C. (CD) (FBI); MOFFA, JONATHAN C. (CD) (FBI);
Cc: 
Subject: RE: Follow-up --- 

Didn’t Toria say she had gotten something tangible (a written report) via the Ambo from the source?

From: LAYCOCK, STEPHEN C. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 10:07 AM
To: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI);
Subject: FW: Follow-up ---

TRANSITORY RECORD

Here is the info I mentioned yesterday. Summary below. Let me know if you need anything more. But I think that is all they had.

Steve
From: Kavalec, Kathleen A [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2016 6:36 PM
To: LAYOCK, STEPHEN C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Follow-up

Hi Steve,

Thanks for coming over today. Regarding the issue we discussed at the end of our meeting, Toria inquired and was told the source of the information, from Orbis Security, is planning to meet on Monday, October 3, with [redacted], who she was told is your [redacted]. This source also said some folks from FBI are flying out [redacted] to meet him. Apparently the source and [redacted] know each other from New York.

I hope that is helpful in putting the pieces together!

Best, Kathy

Kathy Kavalec
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520
The attached document is a memo from the CHS describing intelligence that CHS received from Jon WINER, US Department of State on October 18, 2016. CHS has stated that CHS has a business association with WINER. The memo describes how WINER obtained the intelligence and that it is apparently from a FSB sub-source. The information describes the FSB efforts at successfully compromising Donald TRUMP.
Company Note

We received this report from Jon Winer, US State Department on 18 October 2016. It is the second in this series we have seen. We consider it potentially significant and apologise in advance for its rough format. The sub-source, as we understand it, is a Turkish businessman with strong Russian, including FSB links. He is in touch with Cody Shearer, a contact of Sydney Blumenthal, a friend of the Clinton's, who passed it to Winer. We have no means of verifying the source/s or information but note that some of the reporting is remarkably similar to our own, albeit from a completely different sourcing chain, and therefore could be important collateral, especially on the reported Ritz Carlton incident in 2013; Trump's compromise by the FSB (and knowledge of it); and subsequent Kremlin funding of the Trump campaign (through the Agalarovs). We judge that Winer at least would be happy to discuss all this further with you.

19 October 2016

BEGINS

FSB Interview ≠ ≠

I asked my FSB® Federal Security Service © source why the
was talking to me. He responded that he was concerned
about the behavior of the "hotheads" within the FSBÆ. The
FSB successor to the KGB's domestic side said he had
been involved in foreign intelligence gathering for a long
time ≠ ≠ particularly the political unit. He said a military
wing of the GRU was overseeing hacking operation in UAE.
He noted that there is a division within the FSB, which the
is part of that thinks the existence of a "pervasive" and
"sophisticated" intelligence operation focusing on
pivotal US personalities is widely ≠
known specifically the Donald
Trump operation AE He said the Trump operation was an
"open secret" in Putin’s government circles AE The
question among FSB employees he said is how far this should go AE
He described these operations as "utter madness AE"
He said there are two elements as to why Americans
should care about what the FSB is doing
1 AE The Americans are being screwed around with
by a foreign power ≠
2 AE By helping expose and embarrass Putin in regards to
what he has done with Trump ≠ ≠ which has spiraled
out of control ≠ ≠
might eventually improve relations
between the US and Russia because what he has
done is dangerous AE
He repeated that Trump ≠ ≠
whom†he†described†as†a
“delicate†target” ≠ ≠ ≠
†was†filmed†twice†in†Moscow†in
November†2013†during†the†MsÆ†Universe†pageant†Once
in†the†presidential†suite†of†the†Ritz†Carlton†Hotel†and†a
second†time†at†the†Crocus†shopping†mall†where†the†pageant†was†heldÆ†He†said†there†is†a†special†complex†for
distinguished†guests†in†the†Crocus†complex†with†all†the†amenities†including†a†poolÆ†This†complex†is†owned†by†the†Agalarov†familyÆ
He†said†he†believes†a†copy†of†the†sex†videos†is†in†Bulgaria††Israel†and†FSB†political†unit†vaults†in†MoscowÆ
Having†seen†the†videos††he†describes†Trump’s†behavior†as†“very†darkÆ”
He†said††
†“This†guy†has†mental†issues†with†womenÆ†He†doesn’t†act†normalÆ†There†is†a†lot†of†penetration†forms
off†penetrationÆ†Gosh††He†grabs†herÆ†He†licks†her†and
makes†her†lick†himÆ†He†sticks†his†fingers†up†her†assÆ†He†is†a†psychopathÆ†He†likes†to†make†the†women†scr
eam and hurt them "
From observing Trump for years in previous visits to Moscow the FSB knew he had a weakness for women
But he said Trump’s behavior illustrated that he really did not like women
He said that he knew that Trump eventually learned that he had been flipped into a honeypot operation in Moscow
He was also filmed in St. Petersburg. He said Trump was furious when he learned what had happened
Many of the old Russian friends Trump used to collaborate with the
he is no longer on good terms with
He repeated that the first FSB generated payments to Trump took place in Baku at the presidential palace. The first one was for $10 million, which Trump took personally
The next payment of $30 million went to daughter Ivana
The FSB money was originally passed through Aras Agalarov, the billionaire real estate mogul who served as a liaison between Trump and Putin. Anar Mam
madov
son of Azerbaijan Transport Minister Ziya Mammadov
was also involved. The presidential palace was used
because Aras Agalarov's son Emin who got Trump to
perform in a music video was married to Leyla Aliyeva
the glamorous daughter of Azerbaijani President Ilham
Aliyev among other reasons. Trump promised to support
President Aliyev politically ≠ ≠ after he was delivered the
$10 million ≠ ≠
and promised to organize a visit of congressmen to Baku. My FSB source asked me
to look into the Trump congressional trip to Baku with some ll
congressmen from both political parties. 
September 14, 2020

The Honorable Ron Johnson
Chairman
Committee on Homeland Security
and Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Charles E. Grassley
Chairman
Committee on Finance
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Johnson and Chairman Grassley:

This further responds to your letter, dated July 28, 2020, to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and preceding informal requests from your staff. The FBI is enclosing with this letter a production of documents, Bates-numbered Senate_HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI003322-003328. As explained to Committee staff, the FBI is willing to engage in discussions regarding specific redactions about which there may be questions. The production and review of these materials does not waive any applicable privilege.

Thank you for your support of the FBI, its mission, and its people.

Sincerely,

Douglas A. Williams Jr.
Section Chief
Office of Congressional Affairs

Enclosure

cc: The Honorable Gary Peters, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

    The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on Finance
Declasified by FBI-C58W88B61
on 9/11/2020
This redacted version only

---

From: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 7:15 PM
To: PAGE, LISA C. (OGC) (FBI); MOFFA, JONATHAN C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: request from DD ---
Attachments: 2017 01 23 -

---

From: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 5:02 PM
To: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: 
Subject: RE: request from DD ---

---

Last on Foreign Country to close out Friday. Have a good weekend.

---

From: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 4:05 PM
To: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: 
Subject: RE: request from DD ---

---

Two more: << >> Thank you.
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 11:21 AM
To: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: request from DD --- [Redacted]

---

Three more conversations pertaining to [Redacted] for your review and routing. << [Redacted] >> << [Redacted] >>

Thank you,

From:

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 6:35 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: request from DD --- [Redacted]

---

Rgr thanks. While the request is specific to [Redacted] and [Redacted] please ensure describing contact with POTUS/senior WH officials are flagged and highlighted to me, please. Thinking targets.

From:

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 6:31 PM
To: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: request from DD --- [Redacted]
Thank you Pete. The document attached below is the first one we have to give the DD.

From: STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 6:29 PM
To: (FBI) (FBI)
Cc: (FBI) (FBI)
Subject: RE: request from DD ---

==============================================

Thanks. Pass it to me and I’ll forward to AD to give to DD. I’ll confirm with FBI DD Staff how he’d like to route, but bottom line I want Bill to have a copy before the DD does. Thank you

==============================================

From: (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 4:51 PM
To: (FBI) (FBI)
Subject: FW: request from DD ---

==============================================

<< (FBI) >>

Employees
Please see attached synopsis of our sources and methods.

The names mentioned by [redacted] in the summaries are assumed to be as follows:

Administration Member 1
Administration Member 2
Administration Member 3

Please advise if we can pass this forward to FBI DD Staff of the DD's office.

Ruta

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 10:19 AM
To: [redacted]; [redacted]
Subject: RE: request from DD ---

The DD is interested in any recent significant sources and methods in light of the combination of 1) the speculation that the new Administration may [redacted] the President's call with the [redacted] earlier this week.

Thanks,

FBI DD Staff

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 24, 2017 5:48 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: request from DD ---

Now that I have time to read this, I don’t think this is what the DD is looking for because this is old reporting.

FBI DD Staff, can you please give us some background on why the DD is asking to help us better understand?

Thanks,

From:
Sent: Tuesday, January 24, 2017 1:52 PM
To: [Redacted]; [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: request from DD ---

New York and Washington Fields have been notified.

This is the latest product.

<< File: 2017.01.09 - [Redacted] >>

From:
Sent: Tuesday, January 24, 2017 1:40 PM
To: [Redacted]; [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: request from DD ---
FBI DD Staff just sent me a message. The DD would like for us to ensure that any significant
of the
be reported up. They are particularly interested in any
regarding the

Thank you.
Lisa
October 9, 2020

The Honorable Ron Johnson
Chairman
Committee on Homeland Security and
Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Johnson:

This further responds to your letter to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), dated October 2, 2020, requesting the production of certain additional documents related to the Crossfire Hurricane investigation. This supplements our letter, dated October 6, 2020.

The Attorney General has determined it is now appropriate to provide a set of former FBI Deputy Director Andrew McCabe’s text messages, which are enclosed with this letter and Bates-stamped Senate HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI003902-003925. These messages are a subset of the text messages previously made available in the Department of Justice (Department) reading room, appropriately narrowed to be responsive to the Committee’s expressed areas of investigation. In identifying this subset of text messages, the FBI and the Department, acting in good faith and out of an abundance of caution to avoid excluding relevant messages, opted to include certain text messages that could be related to the Crossfire Hurricane or Midyear Investigations, but we could not conclusively determine whether they were related. Accordingly, the mere inclusion of these messages should not be understood to indicate that the messages were related to one of those investigations.

Limited redactions have been made to, among other things, protect personally identifiable information, law enforcement sensitive information, and the identity of non-Senior Executive Service personnel. Production of these text messages does not preclude Committee staff from returning to review these and other text messages in the Department’s reading room. The FBI is willing to engage in discussions regarding specific redactions about which there may be questions.

We will continue the open dialogue with you and your staff in order to appropriately accommodate the Committee’s requests for documents, consistent with our law enforcement and national security obligations. Thank you for your support of the FBI, its mission, and its people.

Sincerely,

Jill C. Tyson
Assistant Director
Office of Congressional Affairs
cc: The Honorable Gary Peters, Ranking Member
Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

The Honorable Lindsey O. Graham, Chairman
Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, Ranking Member
Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Marco Rubio, Acting Chairman
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

The Honorable Mark R. Warner, Vice Chairman
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence
The Honorable Ron Johnson  
Chairman  
Committee on Homeland Security and  
  Governmental Affairs  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510  

Dear Chairman Johnson:

This provides an initial response to your letter, dated October 12, 2020, requesting the production of additional text messages related to the Crossfire Hurricane investigation. This supplements our letter and production, dated October 9, 2020, of certain text messages from former Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Deputy Director Andrew McCabe.

The Attorney General has determined it is now appropriate to provide an additional set of Mr. McCabe’s text messages, which are responsive to the Committee’s expressed investigative inquiries and enclosed with this letter, Bates-stamped: Senate HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI003963-004020. These messages were identified from a collection of Mr. McCabe’s text messages from March 1, 2015, through his termination from the FBI in March 2018. Consistent with the October 9th production, in identifying these additional text messages the FBI and the Department of Justice, acting in good faith and out of an abundance of caution to avoid excluding relevant messages, opted to include certain text messages that could be related to the Crossfire Hurricane or Midyear Investigations, but we could not conclusively determine whether they were related. Accordingly, the mere inclusion of these messages should not be understood to indicate that the messages were related to one of those investigations.

Limited redactions have been made to, among other things, protect personally identifiable information, law enforcement sensitive information, and the identity of non-Senior Executive Service personnel. The FBI is willing to engage in discussions regarding specific redactions about which there may be questions.

The FBI’s review of messages from its Lync messaging system remains ongoing, based upon the priority custodians identified by majority staff. We will continue the open dialogue with you and your staff in order to appropriately accommodate the Committee’s requests for documents, consistent with our law enforcement and national security obligations. Thank you for your support of the FBI, its mission, and its people.

Sincerely,

Jill C. Tyson  
Assistant Director  
Office of Congressional Affairs
cc: The Honorable Gary Peters, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

    The Honorable Lindsey O. Graham, Chairman
    Senate Committee on the Judiciary

    The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on the Judiciary

    The Honorable Marco Rubio, Acting Chairman
    Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

    The Honorable Mark R. Warner, Vice Chairman
    Senate Select Committee on Intelligence
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date UTC</th>
<th>Internal Phone Number</th>
<th>Type of Message</th>
<th>From (Formatted)</th>
<th>To (Formatted)</th>
<th>Body</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Attachment file type</th>
<th>RECIPIENTS_JSON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-06-08 19:25:43, Mon</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hey give me a call when you get a chance. Jim made some interesting reorganization announcements today, might effect your decision-making.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-09-12 00:55:46, Sat</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No doubt. Hope it goes well for you and doesn't get messy.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-09-12 01:00:30, Sat</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blow, if she makes it you will have one more strong voice in Richmond supporting lpr data retention and the rest of our law enforcement needs. Have a great weekend.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-11-09 18:37:57, Mon</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Just got a call from Tim Kaine. Whether you like the Dems or not, they are a pretty nice group.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-11-17 16:38:39, Tue</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Andy, good seeing you in Chicago. Let me know if you’re up for lunch sometime. Love to catch up. - Matt Apuzzo</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-12-04 00:25:48, Fri</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are you free for v quick chat? Obviously I wouldn’t call out of the blue if it wasn’t important</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-12-04 03:10:08, Fri</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sorry I missed this. Still need to chat?</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-12-04 03:15:01, Fri</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sorry I missed this. Still need to chat?</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-12-04 04:03:17, Fri</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If you are up that would be great</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-12-04 04:15:30, Fri</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Otherwise tomorrow is fine too. I am sure its been a very very long couple days</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-12-05 00:31:24, Sat</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>When (if) things ever quiet down, I’d love to get drinks. Hope the weekend goes ok for you.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-02-01 14:54:30, Mon</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Congrats on the new gig. Well deserved of course.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-02-01 17:56:54, Mon</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thanks very much Matt. It’s a great opportunity and a great challenge. I am incredibly lucky to have it.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-02-02 00:52:56, Tue</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Absolutely. I know we have been saying that since October, but I will have Mike set something up.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-02-02 00:52:58, Tue</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Absolutely. I know we have been saying that since October, but I will have Mike set something up.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
20 2016-02-02 00:53:09, Tue

Excellent thanks

21 2016-02-04 02:25:48, Thu

Hey, I have a couple of thoughts on our potential leak issue. Can we can tonight or tomorrow? Maybe before the 10 if there is time.

22 2016-02-04 02:45:30, Thu

Sure. Grab me in the morning.

23 2016-02-04 02:46:05, Thu

Sure. Grab me in the morning.

24 2016-02-04 02:47:04, Thu

Rgr

25 2016-02-18 15:12:11, Thu

Andy: it's Josh Margolin at ABC. Thanks for your help on Saturday. Sorry to disrupt You vacation; hope it was good otherwise. Are you in DC Thurs or Friday next week? I have something very interesting and delicate I'd like to discuss with you - plus I'd like to finally meet you in person. Lunch or dinner or whatever is on me... Thanks.

26 2016-02-19 00:34:14, Fri

I am around next week. As you can imagine I usually rely on Mike Kortan to set things like this up. I can have him reach out to you tomorrow if that works?

27 2016-02-19 00:34:17, Fri

I am around next week. As you can imagine I usually rely on Mike Kortan to set things like this up. I can have him reach out to you tomorrow if that works?

28 2016-02-19 03:05:21, Fri

Sure. I was just trying to keep this informal and off the record. And John Cohen said I should make it my business to get to know you.
As Presidential Campaign Unfolds, So Do Inquiries Into Clinton’s Emails

http://nyti.ms/24CsdOIsms

called to check in, and after discussing further, we both sort of think it would make sense to simply nip it in the bud with all the EADs tomorrow ("I understand there has been some discussion/concern about Lisa’s role...") and then just discuss with Randy and/or Castor in more detail later that day. Anyway, he can describe in more detail, but since these conversations have been happening with Eric anyway, it seems perfectly appropriate to bring up.

Have been thinking we probably need a pre-meet before my meeting in Monday. Just want to make sure we all know what we are asking for and why, where we might be willing to give, etc. Thoughts?
34 2016-03-24 01:48:48, Thu OUTBOX What is your meet on? sms

35 2016-03-24 01:49:58, Thu INBOX Mid-year meeting on Monday with toscas, laufman, et al. sms

36 2016-03-24 01:51:12, Thu OUTBOX We can pre meet maybe Fridau? Let's talk tomorrow. sms

37 2016-03-24 12:44:14, Thu INBOX Try to lunch or a drink next week or so? sms
I did. He said he would. He's a busy guy!

I will poke him.

Cool thanks

Bit of a kerfuffle on mid year today. Will probably need to set up a meeting on Thursday with our whole team and do

Copy. Hope you made it safe.

Thanks. Just got on the bird. Lisa can help you with details for the meeting. Should be same crew that we had in the SIOC brief room two weeks ago.
Did you know that CES is planning to talk to Kendall and Wilkinson tomorrow at 2:00? The team is just learning about it now...

I knew.

Okay.

I heard this morning at the DOJ brief. GT and I discussed the strategy. I think they have it lined out.
That's all well and good but it doesn't sound like they have discussed the strategy with anyone on the team. We can talk more tomorrow.

Do you have any free time today? Want to talk briefly about MYE.

I don't have a single minute. This day is nuts.
I don't have a single minute. This day is nuts.
60 2016-05-12 19:08:28, Thu INBOX
Np. We can talk in the morning. Just update from the team's meeting with DOJ. More frustration...

61 2016-05-12 19:08:28, Thu INBOX
Np. We can talk in the morning. Just update from the team's meeting with DOJ. More frustration...

62 2016-05-12 19:08:28, Thu INBOX
Np. We can talk in the morning. Just update from the team's meeting with DOJ. More frustration...

63 2016-05-12 21:18:05, Thu INBOX
Hey sorry, I left for the day since we didn't have wrap and I was here so late last night. I'm in the car though so you're free to call.

64 2016-05-12 21:18:05, Thu INBOX
Hey sorry, I left for the day since we didn't have wrap and I was here so late last night. I'm in the car though so you're free to call.
Hey sorry, I left for the day since we didn't have wrap and I was here so late last night. I'm in the car though so you're free to call.

Pete spoke to [redacted] and relayed your message. Told him you planned to relay to Carlin. [redacted] said he'd wait to hear from on high. Carlin and George are definitely going to have to pass the message down.

Did not reach him tonight but will call in am. Glad u got out.

Thanks.
I'm guessing you've heard the latest from Beth, that she's willing to make her clients available without a subpoena?  

Yup.  

Yup.  

14 packages tomorrow, but I think D is here so you should be fine. Also, MYE team may inquire as to how to respond, if at all, to a new Grassley letter. You should have it on the red side from exec sec. If not, I can get it to you in the morning. Have a good night.  

I hate to do this but I have to bail on tomorrow. Have to brief potus in the morning and if something happens and I don’t make it I’ll be in hugely deep sh-t.  

I hate to do this but I have to bail on tomorrow. Have to brief potus in the morning and if something happens and I don’t make it I’ll be in hugely deep sh-t.  

Let me know if you need a ride into the city if you left your car.  

No worries. POTUS should rate over a bike ride into the office. Thanks for the offer. I will go ahead and ride in on my own.
I hope potus appreciates the sacrifices that you made today. You missed a beautiful morning for a ride!

Don’t rub it in. On way to WH now. Been studying all morning. Hope you enjoyed it.

Also, your buddy caused me some SERIOUS ass-pain tonight.

Your buddy from across the street who used to call a lot. He literally has no idea what is important.

Ugh. Clueless.
You free for a quick call with me and Kortan?

Yup. What number

Can we talk for literally three minutes?

Article out. I think we're sincerely okay. I honestly don't believe we had anything to do with your at all.

Awesome
90 2016-06-09 23:15:42, Thu

It's in your email.

91 2016-06-10 19:22:33, Fri

This is what Pete just texted back.

Yes otd is ready.

D is the one who asked how early we could talk tentative scheduling. D asked if we could do that now (at date of last brief). I said I thought we should wait until we had laptops in hand. D said, I think that's right. That's what I was going off of.

92 2016-06-10 19:23:06, Fri

If you want him to hold until we are actually in, we can.

93 2016-06-10 19:24:23, Fri

Keep in mind, it will probably be before the investigative team actually sees anything.

94 2016-06-10 19:24:34, Fri

Because we need to...
95 2016-06-10 19:25:57, Fri OUTBOX

I am inclined to wait for now. Let's get a peek at it first, see how long oyd thinks it will take to make sense of anything, etc.

96 2016-06-10 19:26:04, Fri OUTBOX

I will call Pete.

97 2016-06-10 19:27:23, Fri INBOX

Okay. Will tell pete.

98 2016-06-24 08:35:14, Fri INBOX

So much for my predictions... A sad day for the UK. Thanks for your hospitality and friendship! Best.

99 2016-06-24 09:41:00, Fri OUTBOX

I am stunned. Hope it doesn't predict I similar outcome here in November.

100 2016-06-24 09:41:02, Fri OUTBOX

I am stunned. Hope it doesn't predict I similar outcome here in November.

101 2016-06-24 09:42:33, Fri OUTBOX

Great seeing you and Enjoy the rest of the summer.
102 2016-06-24 09:43:38, Fri OUTBOX Great seeing you and Enjoy the rest of the summer. sms

103 2016-06-24 09:43:38, Fri INBOX Me too - madness. sms

104 2016-06-24 09:45:11, Fri INBOX Best to really good to spend time with you both. sms

105 2016-07-01 17:46:30, Fri INBOX Please remember to let Pete know if he can contact Richard/Laufman when you contact George. Thx. sms

106 2016-07-01 17:46:30, Fri INBOX Please remember to let Pete know if he can contact Richard/Laufman when you contact George. Thx. sms

107 2016-07-01 19:19:37, Fri OUTBOX About to meet with him now. sms

108 2016-07-05 22:53:16, Tue INBOX You must be pleased that announcement is out of the way! Life in post-Brexit world feeling v strange. At least our core business remains unchanged... Best. sms
As he continues to testify about this, he probably needs to clarify that the devices, there were multiple devices, but generally used once at a time. Same with the servers.

Unless I'm mistaken in the facts?

In case it doesn't tell you that I called, have one other point that we maybe missed in our explanation.

I have heard third hand that DC USAO may have called ODAG re the perjury referral, but I don't know who. I think Toscas may know, so if you think about it tomorrow after am briefs, maybe you can get a read from him about what they are thinking. Thanks.

Kallstrom was just on the Kelly File. Wow...He needs to put some tinfoil on his head because he's getting some heavy, heavy interference.

Such a shame
Donald Trump Sets Conditions for Defending NATO Allies Against Attack

https://nyti.ms/2ai4u3g
Thanks much. I am at Eric's sad pad. Your BFF is here.

Thanks much. I am at Eric's sad pad. Your BFF is here.

Girlfriend?! Wow, I didn't care about the blow-off invite, but now I actually am insulted!

Since your counsel failed to send this to you last night. \\u0001f60a

How Paul Manafort Wielded Power in Ukraine Before Advising Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2aFy026

Thanks very much counselor.
You're welcome. Apologies for the delay.

Also, let me know if you connect with [redacted] in the morning - I'll just give Pete a heads up if so.

No apology necessary. Ever.

Hey. I understand my folks met with yours today on our strange situation. Mike be good for you and I to touch base. Shall I have my folks set up a call? No rush, just would be good to hear your thoughts.

Emails Renew Questions About Clinton Foundation and State Dept. Overlap [link]
Hey. What time does your flight leave? Baker pulling his usual "oh, I didn't realize we were talking producing a carbon copy of the redacted 302s to state, which he is now fine with." Don't need to talk, just want to know when you are wheels up.

2:44. At dulles now. Pat Kennedy was trying to reach me. I did not take the call.

Jim is inclined to give carbon copies of 302s to both state and Cia at time of production. That should alleviate their concerns. Just need to check it with the boss. Am drafting that email now. You will be on it.
135 2016-08-12 17:22:33, Fri OUTBOX

Sounds ok

136 2016-08-12 17:23:02, Fri INBOX

Thanks.

137 2016-08-28 13:23:59, Sun INBOX

A Powerful Russian Weapon: The Spread of False Stories
http://nyti.ms/2bR9n3c

138 2016-08-29 23:37:33, Mon INBOX

Well this is not good.

An article to share: FBI probes foreign hacks of state election systems
http://wapo.st/2bMtYlH

139 2016-08-30 01:00:15, Tue OUTBOX

Great.
Do you want someone from the investigative team on the call?

Probably good idea.

Okay, I'll ask Pete if he is free. Should I send an email to the group informing them, or do you just want to mention when the call starts?

No email necessary.

Unsolicited comments from someone watching right now:

Omg Gowdy is being a total dick. All investigative questions. And Jason isn't always sticking to the script on "I'm not answering that."
Wow. Reconvening the open session at 730. Then going to closed after that. sms

Oof. How painful. sms

This is causing me actual physical pain. God help us. sms

Snap on your safety belt. It’s going to be a bumpy ride. sms
Seriously. Big league.

Answer is no oped.

Roger.

I'm with Kortan. Nyt has the wrong name still, we believe. Not sure if that changes your calculus. I told CD that story was imminent.
Re statement, still not cleared thru interagency, but Kortan and I will draft something for you to say now.

Ok

Just sent something you can say via email. OGC and Kortan were good with it.

Ok

Not sure if you have your eras, but Bill asked Pete to send you an email so they wanted to make sure you had it asap. I haven’t had a chance to check it out yet. Let me know if you want them to get it to you some other way.
Okay. I'll ask Pete to send it to him on eras. Thanks.
You haven't heard from State, correct? We gave them until 10 am eastern to raise their concerns. Our plan is to proceed with the unredacted production to the Hill if we don't hear anything in the next few minutes.

I have not heard anything from them.

Can you follow up with again? I spoke to , she also had the same understanding that we would go alone. Also, it would be very very helpful to get DDCIA to clarify that they DO want the content. The DAG continues to use that as an excuse.

Finally, it looks like did not get the call from the senator's ofc, that was inaccurate has it now.
Ok. Thanks.

I told the office you would be the point person on setting it up for us. Maybe you can call his assistant and ask if he is ready to schedule. If the answer is no, then tell her I will need to talk to him again.

She is unavailable.

Got it. Will call now.

Just called. Apparently the DAG now wants to be there, and WH wants DOJ to host. So we are setting that up now. We will very much need to get a view before we meet with her. Better, have him weigh in with her before the meeting. We need to speak with one voice, if that is in fact the case.
I also have Moffa writing up everything. Will be ready by Monday.

Thanks. I will reach out to David.

Andy, free for drinks or coffee tonight/tomorrow? Goldman and I are out here. I think he pinged you on this.

I am open from 9:00 to 1030 tomorrow morning if you want to get coffee somewhere near the convention center.
Perfect. 9am I will find a breakfast spot and text you tonight?

Sounds good. Bring Adam.

Of course.

broken yolk cafe
355 6TH AVENUE
SAN DIEGO, CA 9210

Very close. See you tomorrow.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timestamp</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Body</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03:08:53</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Sounds good. See you tomorrow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:09:05</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Cool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:10:18</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>This is the address where I will meet with Apuzzo and Goldman tomorrow immediately after the first appointment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:10:20</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>This is the address where I will meet with Apuzzo and Goldman tomorrow immediately after the first appointment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:11:08</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Copy sir. Gunner will reach out to you when back from dinner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
190 2016-10-15 15:56:08, Sat OUTBOX Are you here? sms

191 2016-10-15 19:43:30, Sat INBOX In lobby. Meet you there. sms

192 2016-10-15 19:49:25, Sat OUTBOX On way sms

193 2016-10-24 19:01:19, Mon INBOX Wow. God I don't even know what to do about this. sms

194 2016-10-24 19:02:58, Mon OUTBOX Ditto. sms
Ditto.

Going to give to jon pete bill so we can run down dates at a minimum.

Ok
Ok. Do we have a date time for the hill appearance

No. Still waiting to hear back from HOGR

Ok
Ok

Andrew, left you a voice message earlier. I heard the news ... I'm sorry that the ugly head of today's politics is now after you ... I hope this tin-foil

conspiracy crap will pass soon. Hang in there and thank you for your continuous service to our beloved country. Please let me know if I can be of any him.
conspiracy crap will pass soon. Hang in there and thank you for your continuous service to our beloved country. Please let me know if I can be of any help.

Thanks very much for the thoughtful message. I got your voice mail earlier but it's been a crazy day. It is unfortunate that the political climate has become so toxic. But we will continue to do whatever is necessary to protect this great country, no matter which way the wind blows.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Box</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Message</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-25 00:54:30, Tue</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td>David</td>
<td>You're a gentlemen and a patriot. Hang in there &amp; God Bless</td>
<td>59213470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-25 23:40:13, Tue</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>You talk to the boss last night? Am I still good to set up a meeting with him and the team for today?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-27 09:06:52, Thu</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>You are good to set up. I am free any time from 0900 to 1200, then from 1400 to 1600. Did not connect last night but will send email to him and jim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-27 11:05:00, Thu</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Call will be at 10 am. Invite should follow shortly.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
218 2016-10-27 11:22:56, Thu OUTBOX

Thanks.

219 2016-10-27 11:23:37, Thu INBOX

We will probably end up calling you, but will let you know.

220 2016-10-27 11:24:38, Thu OUTBOX

Ok

221 2016-10-27 15:41:34, Thu INBOX

Letter is not going to mention your recusal. Still waiting on Jim Baker.

222 2016-10-27 15:43:23, Thu INBOX

But it still doesn't change that if you are recused, it will undermine from the workforce.
2016-10-27 15:53:22, Thu OUTBOX Agree sms

2016-10-27 15:54:09, Thu INBOX Still waiting. He should be out at noon. I won’t let him leave I have spoken to him. sms

2016-10-27 15:59:07, Thu OUTBOX Strongly urge him to get me on the phone. sms

2016-10-27 16:02:49, Thu INBOX I will. I’m going in with CF matter as cover for action, assume he will tell me re MYE. If not I will go back to Pete and... sms

2016-10-27 16:06:39, Thu OUTBOX Are you in with wsj now? sms
Going there now. Will call you immediately after re call with devlin. Think I have Jim back in the box. Will call you as soon as devlin is done.

Spoke to D

Will call when I am done.

Can you talk now?

We're done. He's going to look at his story again and we'll circle back with him in the morning.
I just walked in on Jim to force the issue. Me: "I'm not recused, but I'm not sitting in on this meeting."

He told me to just go chill out for a while, so that's what I'm doing.

Find that hard to believe. You don't do chill.

Ha. This is true.

I'm not welcome at that meeting, while they discuss whether or not I should be recused. Even though technically only I have the power to recuse myself.
One more thing to mention to you, when you have a chance.

Jim Baker's home number is

Please hit me up later tonight or tomorrow so I know how convo with folks went. Just want to be prepared walking into tomorrow.

I spoke to both. Both understand that no decision will be made on recusal until I return and weigh in. Jim says his meetings were mostly about the notification and statement which the boss wants to send tomorrow. I do not agree with the timing but he is insistent.

I don't think I can spare another brain cell on this tonight. Call you tomorrow?
Of course, of course. Thanks for letting me know.

Fwiw, I also wildly disagree that we need to notify before we even know what the plan is. If we can’t get in, then no investigative step has been taken. Whatever. I hope you can get some rest tonight.

You as well. 

Did you share your substantive thoughts about the statement with Jim last night? Apparently goal is 9 am.

I can’t believe this is happening without you. I’m scared about what follows. Anyway, call when you’re up.
248 2016-10-28 12:53:07, Fri
OUTBOX
Email
Just got off with barrett. Give me a call here.

249 2016-10-28 17:33:46, Fri
INBOX
sms
Out with Trisha Baker Pete. Do wish you were here. It’s going to be a looooooooong road.

250 2016-10-28 20:59:38, Fri
INBOX
sms
I am so glad you all are FINALLY doing something productive.

251 2016-10-28 21:13:51, Fri
INBOX
mms
It’s really the only solution.

252 2016-10-28 21:36:09, Fri
OUTBOX
sms
I am so glad you all are FINALLY doing something productive.
Please convey my deepest thanks to all. Cheers.

I will. Baker bailed. But the four of us cheered to forever.

Hey. Just checking up on you. I know you had a tough week. You should know that I am one of many who are steadfastly behind you. Stay tough. Let me know if you need anything or want to chat.

Christ. It's the lede on freaking MARKETPLACE.

Rybicki just called to check in. We got to talking about you. He very clearly 100% believes that you should be recused because of the "perception." Just fyi. Let's talk this weekend.
Ok. Good to know.

Thanks much. It was great to get away to see . It's been a tough week, but knowing that people I care about are still on board helps a lot. I'll call you this weekend.

Hey I know you don't want to field calls. But Goldman and I are together and really need to talk. This thing is spiraling out of control.

Hey. Just tried your cell. Would love to talk to you I'd you have a free minute. This thing is getting pretty intense.

Andy, matt and I are together. can we chat briefly. I apologize. I know it is Sunday.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>From Side</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-30 20:34:27 Sun</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Andy, matt and I are together. can we chat briefly. I apologize. I know it is Sunday.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-30 20:37:17 Sun</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Can't do it. I have no comments on anything.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-30 20:40:08 Sun</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Understand. Thanks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-30 20:40:08 Sun</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Understand. Thanks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Christ. A LOT of articles tonight. MJ published, lots about MYE, and an allegation about a P1 on Manafort.

MJ? Kelly File was really rough on me.

Mother Jones. Re western intel source reporting on trump.

I didn't watch.

It's the first you've really come up since last sunday. Thought Devlin's piece was pretty benign.
And the alphabank story is in slate.

Awesome.

Hi. Matt and I have new information that puts a lot of stuff specifically in your world into context. Can you spare 10 minutes or a coffee. We think it is important.

Baker gave me some advice to pass along to you. Let me know if you have one minute to talk.
278 2016-11-02 00:45:13, Wed

**OUTBOX**

Got your message. Really appreciate the good thoughts. It’s been pretty tough but we are still standing. I’ll try to call you tomorrow.

279 2016-11-02 02:49:33, Wed

**INBOX**

Story tonight with additional context, that decisions made this summer were for both parties.

280 2016-11-02 02:49:48, Wed

**INBOX**

Hope you are well. I know you can’t respond.

281 2016-11-06 22:20:31, Sun

**OUTBOX**

Wow, that was quick.

282 2016-11-06 22:21:27, Sun

**INBOX**

Team worked their butts off.

283 2016-11-06 22:21:59, Sun

**OUTBOX**

Guess so. Did u know this was coming today?

284 2016-11-06 22:23:33, Sun

**INBOX**

Yes.

285 2016-11-06 22:23:46, Sun

**OUTBOX**

Well done.

286 2016-11-07 23:42:03, Mon

**INBOX**

Hey I know you’ve been swamped but we’d like to write a story about how the FBI managed to move mountains to get this done before the election

287 2016-11-10 10:47:35, Thu

**INBOX**

A must read from lawfare.\n\nThe Burden on Donald Trump - Lawfare
https://www.lawfareblog.com/burden-donald-trump

288 2016-11-18 01:28:49, Fri

**INBOX**

An article to share: Trump offers retired Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn the job of national security adviser, a person close to the transition says\n\nTrump offers retired Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn the job of national security adviser, a person close to the transition says\nhttp://wapo.st/2g186WC

289 2016-11-18 01:28:49, Fri

**INBOX**

An article to share: Trump offers retired Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn the job of national security adviser, a person close to the transition says\n\nTrump offers retired Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn the job of national security adviser, a person close to the transition says\nhttp://wapo.st/2g186WC

290 2016-11-18 10:39:29, Fri

**INBOX**

I tried sending an email to Andrew but it bounced back. Are you still getting the Andrew McCabe emails? DAG inquired about our plan re that FISA target if he mobilizes, given the physical access he has. Let me know if you got the email I forwarded from[^1]

291 2016-12-13 03:16:10, Tue

**OUTBOX**

This is the piece that says U.S. intelligence officials said the CIA has identified the actors who took possession of those stolen files and delivered them to WikiLeaks. The individuals are known for their affiliations to Russian intelligence services, but removed from the Russian government.\n\nThat is from the Post. Let’s confirm tomorrow if they have any idea what this refers to.

292 2016-12-13 03:27:17, Tue

**INBOX**

Rgr.

293 2016-12-13 03:33:37, Tue

**INBOX**

Hey, Clapper told Pete that he (Clapper) was meeting with Brennan and Cohen for dinner tonight. Just FYI.
Hey, I was just thinking that OGC might have stuff off the shelf re the State Dept authorities issue because we’ve been fighting them on this for a while now. Might be worth asking Baker.

Hey there. CNN likely to go forward after 1600 today. Will refer to nationality but not specific organization. Feel free to call if you want to talk.

Sounds good.

Times has it.

NYTimes: Unsubstantiated Report Has Compromising Information on Trump, Intelligence Chiefs Say
Unsubstantiated Report Has Compromising Information on Trump, Intelligence Chiefs Say http://nyti.ms/2jsp4xR

Hi. It’s John Cohen. Hope this is still your cell. Hang in there brother. You are a good person and great GMan. You have lots of supporters.

Thanks so much John. Tough day but we will survive. I hope you are doing well and would love to see you if you pass through DC.

All good. Talked to the boss and he is good. Wants us to push the congressional side as much as possible.

10. 4. I’m thinking to ask maybe Bill and Lisa to draft the tp’s? Then circulate.

Hey just had a quick question re the TP’s, if you get a chance maybe give me a ring? Am talking to Bill and Pete at 8.

Never mind. We’re good. Will have something to you in the morning.

What is going on with the briefing? Any info on scheduling? Still have not heard from Brower.

Sorry I missed this now. Need to talk?

Sorry I missed this. was revising the TP’s. We just sent the new version to doj, rybicki’s view is that the earliest the briefing could be set for is mid-afternoon. Jay knows, he should be getting with you soon. Have not heard anything from greg.

Did you speak with Rybicki? He is on cell. I spoke to him about the CH cases, but didn’t mention InTC.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Sender</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017-03-14</td>
<td>19:53:53</td>
<td>Tue</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Not yet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-03-14</td>
<td>23:42:51</td>
<td>Tue</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>The D wants to leave briefings as normal but make sure that folks have</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>flexibility in attendance in case roads are bad in the morning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-03-14</td>
<td>23:42:51</td>
<td>Tue</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>He concurred on the issue we talked about. I relayed to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-03-15</td>
<td>00:06:08</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Got it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-04-03</td>
<td>00:30:38</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Wanted to make sure you both saw this. Grassley letter out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.washingtonexaminer.com">http://www.washingtonexaminer.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-04-03</td>
<td>00:30:38</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Wanted to make sure you both saw this. Grassley letter out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.washingtonexaminer.com">http://www.washingtonexaminer.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-04-03</td>
<td>00:49:16</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Can't open the article but I get the idea. This is disturbing if in fact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>it references the new structure we discussed. It has been discussed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>between CD and NY since you and I talked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-04-03</td>
<td>00:52:03</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Its all about building a dedicated team and bringing in an expert from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the field to run it. Sorted to an FBI agent. Then it goes to Bob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anderson for comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-04-03</td>
<td>00:52:03</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>I did. Will talk with baker et al in the am.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-04-03</td>
<td>01:01:18</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Unbelievable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-04-03</td>
<td>01:01:23</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>I can fill you in tomorrow re the structure issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-04-03 01:01:38, Mon</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td>I'm not sure I'm following re structure, but my &quot;friend&quot; this weekend asked if Pete was moving into SAC NY. I told him I had no idea what he is talking about (because I didn't). Though it is making sense now. mms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A thought from your friends across the sea. I’m shocked and dismayed. A role model and exceptional person shabbily treated. Do you have a personal route? I’m sorry.

Hi sir. It is Andy Triay from CBS. Congratulations. Tough way to get appointed but troops seem ready to be led by you.

You were fabulous today. I was in the hearing room and I thought you were very impressive. Candid and confident. Best, Pat Milton

Fyi. We are planning to rerun on 60 minutes this Sunday our 2014 interview with director Comey. All my best. Pat Milton

Also only if you get a chance can you tell him I wanted to give him a heads up on the rerun of the 2014 interview Sunday on 60 minutes. I didn’t want him to be caught off guard. We clearly state numerous times that this was an interview done three years ago but we used the quotes about his feeling that the bureau should always be independent of politics. Thank you again.

Andy, Mike K., and Rick Q., I did not respond to the text I just recvd/forwarded to you. May I respond that I forwarded it to OPA?

Sure
346 2017-05-20 19:43:50, Sat OUTBOX

```
I'm available. I'll wait to hear from you but I'll plan on going in. DAG's office at 11.
```
363 2017-05-25 21:23:24, Thu OUTBOX That is very good to hear. As always, the story is more complicated than everyone initially thought. Happy to discuss once we get our hands around it. Hang in there.

sms


sms

365 2017-06-04 22:43:13, Sun INBOX Hey boss good evening. Hope you had a good weekend and are ready for the yellow brick road tomorrow. Should be a good event. There will be showers there for us to use Post Run. said he was going to reach out to you regarding an op-ed he wants to put out on the boss. I've read it and offered a few suggestions although I know Jim Rybicki is against it. Frankly I don't see that discloses any inside baseball and is nothing but supportive and presents a side of the boss's humanity that I think would be good for people to see. My main concern reading it is whether any of the other senior leaders in a bad position if we say they were called or promoted in His image and would that somehow be predisposingly against them moving forward. We have time tomorrow to chat about it during travel and I know this is probably less objective with the rough given his time with the boss. Anyway, I would wear some older chapped out running shoes in the event we hit mud. We'll see you in the a.m. Eric.

sms

366 2017-06-04 22:49:19, Sun OUTBOX I saw the op ed as well and will put your feedback to good use. Happy to discuss more with you guys tomorrow. Good suggestion re mud shoes. Really looking forward to this. Get some rest and hydrate!

sms

367 2017-06-26 18:28:53, Mon INBOX Hi Andy. Sometime Down the road I wanted to ask you if you would please do an interview with us for 60 Minutes. I just wanted to express my interest with you between you and me If ever you are interested. Thank you. You are the best! Pat Milton

sms

368 2017-06-26 23:23:01, Mon OUTBOX Thanks very much Pat. As you know I am not doing any interviews these days. But I appreciate the invitation and would certainly consider doing it sometime down the road. \n\nI hope you are doing well.

sms

369 2017-06-26 23:25:27, Mon INBOX I totally understand. Sometime Down the road would be great. My fingers are crossed. Thank you as always. All my best. Pat

sms

370 2017-12-15 21:06:52, Fri INBOX Hi. Just touching base with you to see if there is a chance to get together to talk about possible interview with 60 Minutes. Even down the road. Would you give me the best email or cell to reach you at? Trying to have a way to contact you. Thank you. Pat Milton

sms

371 2017-12-24 02:31:01, Sun OUTBOX It's taken me a while to compose a response. I can't tell you how much it means that you have notice and appreciate my efforts. No matter what happens, our responsibility to lead those around us never ends. And they need an example, a model, of positive, engaged, focused, consistent leadership. Always. One of the greatest demands upon us is to present that model despite the crisis that swirls around us. So if I have done that, then mission accomplished. No matter what the politicians say. \n\nHave a great holiday.

sms

372 2018-01-29 17:39:29, Mon INBOX Hi. It's Evan Perez. Can you advise whether your effective date is today?

sms

373 2018-01-29 18:18:02, Mon INBOX Also why are folks telling us you were forced out

sms

374 2018-02-07 14:59:51, Wed INBOX Hey Andy. SSCI staff cannot do Tuesday because that is the world threats briefing. Would Wednesday work for you? Prep would be on Tuesday out at LX2.

sms

375 2018-02-07 15:09:19, Wed OUTBOX Sure. For prep I will need the top level ICA, all my notebooks from July 2016 through January 2017, a copy of the Cyber time line for the DMV hacks and anything else they think is relevant. I will need that stuff for a reading session before the prep. At least 2 hours.
Copy. I will have Greg schedule the SSCI time. I will work on getting everything ready for the prep and get time scheduled.

The interview is scheduled for 930 AM on Wednesday. We are getting prep scheduled for Tuesday afternoon at LX2. Let me know if there are any specific people you want at the prep or interview.

The interview is scheduled for 930 AM on Wednesday. We are getting prep scheduled for Tuesday afternoon at LX2. Let me know if there are any specific people you want at the prep or interview.

What ever time we set for the prep I would like to get there about 2-3 hours before the rest of the crew to get through the reading. That might require you sending someone over with the docs early.

What ever time we set for the prep I would like to get there about 2-3 hours before the rest of the crew to get through the reading. That might require you sending someone over with the docs early.

Well, when you finally step down and want to talk to a reporter, let me know. I would love to tell the story of your saga of the last year or so. It would be fun to publish it in the WSJ. I have had fun writing stories that are ignored by the editorial page.

Hi Mr. McCabe. It is Andy Triay with CBS News. Any comment on the OPR news today. And are you able to send me the name of your counsel. Thank you. I'm sorry to reach out under these circumstances. Andy.
The Honorable Ron Johnson  
Chairman  
Committee on Homeland Security and    
Governmental Affairs  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Johnson:

This further responds to your letter, dated October 12, 2020, requesting the production of additional text messages related to the Crossfire Hurricane investigation. This supplements our letters and productions, dated October 9 and October 14, 2020, of text messages from former Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Deputy Director Andrew McCabe.

The Attorney General has determined it is now appropriate to provide additional text and Lync messages, which are responsive to the Committee’s expressed investigative inquiries and enclosed with this letter, Bates-stamped: Senate HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI004021-FBI004033. Specifically, the enclosed messages come from eight (8) Senior Executive Service (SES) custodians identified and prioritized by Committee staff, and for whom the FBI had an existing collection of text and Lync messages. As previously explained to Committee staff, this existing collection of messages was for the period from June 1, 2016, through May 25, 2018, and was collected with the use of several dozen search terms. The FBI is expediting its ongoing review of collected messages for the 9th SES custodian identified and prioritized by Committee staff. We anticipate producing responsive messages during the week of October 19th.

In order for the Committee to more easily identify each custodian in this production, we are providing the following identifying information. Lync messages: Trisha Anderson, FBI004021-23; James Baker, FBI004023; Carl Ghattas, FBI004024; Stephen Laycock, FBI004025-26; Andrew McCabe FBI004027; and, E.W. Priestap FBI00428. Text messages: James Comey, FBI004029; Stephen Laycock, FBI004030-31; E.W. Priestap, FBI004032; and, Michael Steinbach, FBI004033.

Consistent with the October 9th and 14th productions, in identifying these additional text and Lync messages the FBI and the Department of Justice, acting in good faith and out of an abundance of caution to avoid excluding relevant messages, opted to include certain text messages that could be related to the Crossfire Hurricane or Midyear Investigations, but we could not conclusively determine whether they were related. Accordingly, the mere inclusion of these messages should not be understood to indicate that the messages were related to one of those investigations. Limited redactions have been made to, among other things, protect personally identifiable information, law enforcement sensitive information, and the identity of non-Senior Executive Service personnel. The FBI is willing to engage in discussions regarding specific redactions about which there may be questions.
The FBI believes that this completes its production of the prioritized text messages and Lync messages from the classified-Secret enclave, with the exception of the ongoing expedited review of the 9th SES custodian. As part of our continuing dialogue with the Committee, and to appropriately accommodate the Committee’s requests consistent with our law enforcement and national security obligations, the FBI will provide an update on our review of the Lync messages from the classified-Top Secret enclave during the week of October 19th.

Thank you for your support of the FBI, its mission, and its people.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jill C. Tyson
Assistant Director
Office of Congressional Affairs

cc: The Honorable Gary Peters, Ranking Member
Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

The Honorable Charles E. Grassley, Chairman
Senate Committee on Finance

The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member
Senate Committee on Finance

The Honorable Lindsey O. Graham, Chairman
Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, Ranking Member
Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Marco Rubio, Acting Chairman
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

The Honorable Mark R. Warner, Vice Chairman
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date UTC</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Content Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-09-28 21:36:17</td>
<td>astro</td>
<td>anderson</td>
<td>I spoke with [redacted] and think explained generally how we're approaching the broad election issue, and I hope corrected any misperception. I think she (don't know to what extent Tash is) is looking for more regular info. Some of that desire I get given Andy is updating the DAG every M/W/F. I offered that we're meeting with NSD once a week to discuss the election/influence activities (we're expanding the Crossfire discussion to include Cyber as I understand [redacted] and [redacted] were caught unaware by the Yahoo publicity).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-06 19:47:08</td>
<td>anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Can you please send me the Crossfire Dragon FISA on SCION? Jim was aware of her involvement in the London trip; it's at the direct request of the DD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-12-16 21:25:03</td>
<td>anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td>I have a copy of the [redacted] reporting for you (from Moffa). I gave Jim a copy on Tuesday so he already has it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-03-22 13:41:04</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>I was going to go over with Bill and Pete for the weekly Crossfire update for the A/DAG. (Today at 10). I assume that's the right level of OGC engagement in your absence, but let me know if you want me to include someone else.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-10-20 18:14:58</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do you have a copy of the Page FISA application electronically? If so, can you please send it to me?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-10-20 18:14:58</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do you have a copy of the Page FISA application electronically? If so, can you please send it to me?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-10-20 19:52:52</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>We're reviewing all four of them over here for potential redactions; also provided payment history. I'll let you know what their proposed response is as I hear it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-10-20 19:52:52</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>We're reviewing all four of them over here for potential redactions; also provided payment history. I'll let you know what their proposed response is as I hear it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-10-23 19:46:22</td>
<td>anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Also, are you going to be ready to talk through the FISA use question with Andy and Bill at 1:30 tomorrow? We were able to get on their calendars quickly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-10-26 21:51:16</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>Also, the FISA use meeting tomorrow with the DD includes Archey. He will feel the need to bring an SCIO attorney (most likely Brandon Van Grack). I would suggest we either cancel the meeting or have it without Archey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-12-05 23:08:36</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>Is there anything I need to know about the Steele discussion before tomorrow's briefing with SSC?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-12-06 13:37:47</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>I'm more nervous about how the Russian briefing is going to go based upon the other day. I'm glad that you sent [redacted] to chaperone that.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-12-06 13:37:47</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>I'm more nervous about how the Russian briefing is going to go based upon the other day. I'm glad that you sent [redacted] to chaperone that.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-12-06 13:38:22</td>
<td>anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Of course. Russia plus tech companies is a recipe for conflict.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-01-02 19:54:29</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hi Trisha - Welcome back! I wanted to brief you quickly on the &quot;FACTrix&quot; which is a Steele dossier document that DOE would like to share with HPSCI (in response to their subpoena). Scott S has been pingng Bill on this so they're trying to get it out the door today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-01-02 19:54:29</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hi Trisha - Welcome back! I wanted to brief you quickly on the &quot;FACTrix&quot; which is a Steele dossier document that DOE would like to share with HPSCI (in response to their subpoena). Scott S has been pingng Bill on this so they're trying to get it out the door today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-01-31 14:10:31</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>Also, NSA is asking to see the Page FISAs. There is no NSA information in the FISAs (at least the first 3 - I'm still re-reading the final one). I tend to think we do not share them, but wanted to confirm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-01-31 14:10:31</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td></td>
<td>Also, NSA is asking to see the Page FISAs. There is no NSA information in the FISAs (at least the first 3 - I'm still re-reading the final one). I tend to think we do not share them, but wanted to confirm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Sender</td>
<td>Message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-01-31</td>
<td>14:10:31</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td>Also, NSA is asking to see the Page FISAs. There is no NSA information in the FISAs (at least the first 3 - I'm still re-reading the final one). Tend to think we do not share them, but wanted to confirm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-02-09</td>
<td>15:35:00</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td>Got it. I'll review today. I think should also review it and coordinate with the agents who worked on the FISA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-02-09</td>
<td>15:35:00</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td>Got it. I'll review today. I think should also review it and coordinate with the agents who worked on the FISA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-02-09</td>
<td>15:35:00</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td>Got it. I'll review today. I think should also review it and coordinate with the agents who worked on the FISA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-02-09</td>
<td>15:35:00</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td>Got it. I'll review today. I think should also review it and coordinate with the agents who worked on the FISA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-02-12</td>
<td>17:09:10</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td>Hi Trisha - Just wanted to check in to see who you wanted to participate in a few of the OCA requests coming in on UNET. Specifically: (1) Today - 2 pm call and 4:30 meeting with HPSCI minority; (2) Tues - McCabe Prep at LX2 and (3) Weds - McCabe Interview with SSCI. Finally, FYI - Bill is currently scheduled to meet with SSCI on Thursday afternoon re the 01/18/2018 letter (I believe this is the Steele subsoure). I will forward you that invite (it's on FBI.net).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-02-12</td>
<td>17:09:10</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td>Hi Trisha - Just wanted to check in to see who you wanted to participate in a few of the OCA requests coming in on UNET. Specifically: (1) Today - 2 pm call and 4:30 meeting with HPSCI minority; (2) Tues - McCabe Prep at LX2 and (3) Weds - McCabe Interview with SSCI. Finally, FYI - Bill is currently scheduled to meet with SSCI on Thursday afternoon re the 01/18/2018 letter (I believe this is the Steele subsoure). I will forward you that invite (it's on FBI.net).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-02-12</td>
<td>17:09:10</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td>Hi Trisha - Just wanted to check in to see who you wanted to participate in a few of the OCA requests coming in on UNET. Specifically: (1) Today - 2 pm call and 4:30 meeting with HPSCI minority; (2) Tues - McCabe Prep at LX2 and (3) Weds - McCabe Interview with SSCI. Finally, FYI - Bill is currently scheduled to meet with SSCI on Thursday afternoon re the 01/18/2018 letter (I believe this is the Steele subsoure). I will forward you that invite (it's on FBI.net).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-02-12</td>
<td>17:09:10</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td>Hi Trisha - Just wanted to check in to see who you wanted to participate in a few of the OCA requests coming in on UNET. Specifically: (1) Today - 2 pm call and 4:30 meeting with HPSCI minority; (2) Tues - McCabe Prep at LX2 and (3) Weds - McCabe Interview with SSCI. Finally, FYI - Bill is currently scheduled to meet with SSCI on Thursday afternoon re the 01/18/2018 letter (I believe this is the Steele subsoure). I will forward you that invite (it's on FBI.net).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-05-08</td>
<td>16:25:37</td>
<td>anderson@</td>
<td>[5/8/2018 11:09 AM] OGC (FBI): Hi guys. left a note on white board. I'm following up. At the morning meeting, Dana brought up a staff interview of Priestap and his DAD that's happening with SSCI tomorrow re: a request related to Russia. Trisha didn't seem to know anything about it and I somehow have gotten roped into following up. I understand from that is aware of this. We wanted to make sure that Bill was taking rep with him and also figure out whether CLU rep should come as well. (If so, I've talked to about them making someone available.) [5/8/2018 11:10 AM] OGC (FBI): So, can we chat?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UTC</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Content Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-12-29 21:24:35</td>
<td></td>
<td>bake</td>
<td>Jim - I have the latest draft of the Russian influence paper. Lisa P said you may want to review it again - esp the appx related to reporting. Do you want to see it?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-08-14 21:17:50</td>
<td></td>
<td>bake</td>
<td>FYI, Dina Corsi just informed me that Priestap is briefing the Director tomorrow on the election investigation, Russian interference. They want to raise I said to make sure Bill speaks with you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-08-14 21:17:50</td>
<td></td>
<td>bake</td>
<td>FYI, Dina Corsi just informed me that Priestap is briefing the Director tomorrow on the election investigation, Russian interference. They want to raise I said to make sure Bill speaks with you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-08-14 21:17:50</td>
<td></td>
<td>bake</td>
<td>FYI, Dina Corsi just informed me that Priestap is briefing the Director tomorrow on the election investigation, Russian interference. They want to raise I said to make sure Bill speaks with you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-08-14 21:17:50</td>
<td></td>
<td>bake</td>
<td>FYI, Dina Corsi just informed me that Priestap is briefing the Director tomorrow on the election investigation, Russian interference. They want to raise I said to make sure Bill speaks with you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UTC</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Content Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-05-29 14:40:22</td>
<td>boetig</td>
<td>ghattas</td>
<td>Completely separate from my email earlier today, I had a Congressman in the Embassy asking about Priestap and Strzok meetings/timetables in London 2016. Just wanted to give you a heads up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UTC</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Content Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-08-01 21:27:29</td>
<td>moff</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Hi Steve. Are you talking about in terms of what the Russians or others are doing to target it or who is coordinating with the campaigns about briefings, etc?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-08-01 21:32:55</td>
<td>moff</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Special project is in but I have the analytic part of it so I committed 4-5 IAs and an SIA. Peter is running point on the ops side (he is on his way to London right now in fact). I’m happy to take whatever you have and can help direct traffic since I seem to sit in the middle of a lot of it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-08-23 20:12:44</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Have a minute regarding the option of steering the trip to London.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-08-23 20:16:11</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Call ASAP when you get back regarding this London Op. Thanks!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-08-24 11:27:54</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>LA should be on the ground in London for approx. one hour now. We have not heard anything, so I think we’re good.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-08-24 16:31:29</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>anything from London?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-09-22 20:45:50</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>FYI In the 3x weekly strop that Moff is putting together, one of the bullets indicated 15 Russian nationals filed for Visa to come to US to cover the elections. wanted to make sure you guys were aware of that but not sure where that info came from. I reported yesterday that one person had filed but did not know of the 15 others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-09-28 13:51:25</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>I got a lot done last night...was out by 8:40 or so. Spoke to Jon this am...his account was they our folks jumped on them immediately stating....the are not sharing with us........and &quot;this is not new, Russians have been doing this for as long as we can remember&quot;......&quot;this project is the abominable snowman&quot;.......and &quot;we thought this was going to go away on Sept. 30th.&quot; I’m bringing them in again at 9:15am to discuss. And, essentially tell them we need to put the negative feelings aside, and just work together on this op plan. All needs input, and discuss the pros and cons of what goes in there. Without flareups. I know the negativity was there, just don’t know if they brought up old stuff to Jon. According to Jon, it was still there yesterday. I think a visit by you at some point would be warranted. maybe not the 9:15am today, but any other time of your choosing...or is you want the 9:15 am today, that’s fine too.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-18 11:38:19</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>gotcha. hell they should be happy b/c of Trump. they were trying to push that all along</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-11-01 13:36:50</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>I emailed you a chart on yellow last, it details how the Russians run influence campaigns, along with some current active measures they’re undertaking here and in Europe. The chart is probably 3x10 feet, and may be of interest for your newfound efforts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-11-10 14:30:50</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Please do a full scrub of all emails to ensure we have all calls between Flynn and Kislyak over the last 12 months. Advised last night that there is coverage on Kislyak’s. I asked how long the coverage has been up and whether it was simply a matter of WFO not reviewing. Please check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-12-19 21:47:01</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>good morning-I stopped by but could here you were w Jen. We are up on Kislyak’s. We have from 12/30 and will continue to monitor. I’m not sure if we were just started on 12/30 or we just started on 12/30. If we have prior info, we will review specifically for 12/22. I had working w WFO to get the info as this is part of the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-04 12:31:31</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Hey Steve - there was some discussion on Mon at the 8:30 about a T/S/GCI version of Russian report. Is that something you could send to my yellow side? I’m not distributing it just reading it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 19:32:57</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Steve, Jen said you might have located some $ for our CHS payment of $100k. Do you need anything from me in order to send the enhancement to NY?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-27 18:16:19</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>at the next DAD $ Board in which we decide the fiscal fate of the universe, I will raise the backfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-27 18:28:37</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Get this they tried to cancel the call because they wanted to meet in person. Russians said they wish to meet because topic not appropriate for the phone. But Flynn still wanted a call. The call has now been scheduled for 7pm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-27 19:33:37</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>FYI the call is now at 7; apparently another call was made to try and cancel for in person to meet to discuss something Russians said was not appropriate for phone but camp said had to be done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-27 20:13:30</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>BTW the call is now scheduled for 7pm Russians tried to change call to in person but Flynn was not able</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-02-19 17:04:05</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>I am sure that would go over well at Trump...we can wear our raid jackets or FBI pins out...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-02-22 19:26:39</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>varacalli</td>
<td>I am sure that would go over well at Trump...we can wear our raid jackets or FBI pins out...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-02-24 14:32:10</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>I have the HSR for Nothing out of the ordinary - if you want a pre-brief, I am happy to provide. Otherwise, I’ll see you at 11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-02-24 18:37:04</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>I know. Back room gave him the dossier this morning, facts are stark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Username</td>
<td>Message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-03-08</td>
<td>22:45</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Crossfire, apparently Laufman is her Newman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-03-29</td>
<td>13:54</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Crossfire, apparently Laufman is her Newman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-04-03</td>
<td>19:07</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Crossfire, apparently Laufman is her Newman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-05-19</td>
<td>20:34</td>
<td>laycock</td>
<td>Crossfire, apparently Laufman is her Newman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-06-06</td>
<td>18:33</td>
<td>orlandog</td>
<td>Post is reporting a high level current senior WH official under investigation of Russia Trump campaign ties buckets are: Russian Collusion (past and present); Manafort; Flynn; and Obstruction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-08-10</td>
<td>19:48</td>
<td>orlandog</td>
<td>hey bud. if you had to look across the country who would stand out as good Russia knowledgeable Agents and supervisors ( and leave out any WFO personnel--haha), no rush i have to head to quantico but thought i would throw that out to you. trying to help in staffing the spec counsel, great seeing you yesterday.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hi Andy, DNI is looking for an update this evening on Russian activity into US election cycle source docs. I’m at . Thank You, Royce

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date UTC</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Content Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-12-28 22:55:36</td>
<td>curtin</td>
<td>mccabe</td>
<td>Hi Andy, DNI is looking for an update this evening on Russian activity into US election cycle source docs. I’m at . Thank You, Royce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UTC</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Content Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-04-05 21:44:13</td>
<td>priestap</td>
<td>priestsap</td>
<td>Bill - SCO has a SFDRA pending your approval in Sentinel. It's entitled &quot;FDR for Qatar.&quot; Although it contains FISA 702, there is no USPER information in the tearline. Dave Archey and (legal review) have already approved it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-04-05 21:44:13</td>
<td>priestap</td>
<td>priestsap</td>
<td>Bill - SCO has a SFDRA pending your approval in Sentinel. It's entitled &quot;FDR for Qatar.&quot; Although it contains FISA 702, there is no USPER information in the tearline. Dave Archey and (legal review) have already approved it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-04-05 21:44:13</td>
<td>priestap</td>
<td>priestsap</td>
<td>Bill - SCO has a SFDRA pending your approval in Sentinel. It's entitled &quot;FDR for Qatar.&quot; Although it contains FISA 702, there is no USPER information in the tearline. Dave Archey and (legal review) have already approved it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date (UTC)</td>
<td>Internal Phone Number</td>
<td>Type of Message</td>
<td>From (Formatted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-13 13:00-10, Thu</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachment File Type: [redacted] | Recipients: JSON | [redacted] |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date UTC</th>
<th>Internal Phone Number</th>
<th>Type of Message</th>
<th>From (Formatted)</th>
<th>To (Formatted)</th>
<th>Body</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-08-02</td>
<td>02:04:53</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td>MSNBC is talking about the long article you sent me and the Russian involvement in the election.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-07-21</td>
<td>11:21:07</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td>Russia, Russia, Russia!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UTC</td>
<td>Internal Phone Number</td>
<td>Type of Message</td>
<td>From (Formatted)</td>
<td>To (Formatted)</td>
<td>Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-09-01 01:20:26, Thu</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
<td></td>
<td>How Russia Often Benefits When Julian Assange Reveals the West's 2019s Secrets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UTC</td>
<td>Internal Phone Number</td>
<td>Type of Message</td>
<td>From (Formatted)</td>
<td>To (Formatted)</td>
<td>Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-11-15 14:40:37, Tue</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I'm staying at the Trump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-11-15 14:40:37, Tue</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I'm staying at the Trump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-11-09 17:11:41, Wed</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katie are you a Trump supporter?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-11-09 16:50:54, Wed</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do you support Donald trump as our next president?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-11-09 16:11:48, Wed</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Joey are you a trump supporter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
October 16, 2020

The Honorable Ron Johnson
Chairman
Committee on Homeland Security
and Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Charles E. Grassley
Chairman
Committee on Finance
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Johnson and Chairman Grassley:

This further responds to your letter, dated July 28, 2020, to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) as well as informal requests from your staff. Enclosed with this letter, please find the production Bates-numbered Senate_HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI004034-004035. As explained to Committee staff, the FBI is willing to engage in discussions regarding specific redactions about which there may be questions. The production and review of these materials does not waive any applicable privilege.

Thank you for your support of the FBI, its mission, and its people.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Douglas A. Williams Jr.
Section Chief
Office of Congressional Affairs

Enclosure

cc: The Honorable Gary Peters, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

    The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on Finance
From: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2016 3:46 PM
To: James B. Comey
Subject: RE: A weird development

Very odd.

I have a few updates for you. DO you have time for a quick chat?

Andrew G. McCabe
Deputy Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

From: James B. Comey
Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2016 1:13 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) <James.Rybicki@ic.fbi.gov>; McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI) <****@ic.fbi.gov>; Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) <James.Baker@ic.fbi.gov>
Subject: Fwd: A weird development

-------- Original message --------
From: Reinhold Niebuhr
Date: 12/28/16 1:11 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "James B. Comey" <****@ic.fbi.gov>
Subject: Fwd: A weird development

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Benjamin Wittes
Date: Wed, Dec 28, 2016 at 11:48 AM
Subject: A weird development
To: Reinhold Niebuhr

Hey there--
I'm writing because a very strange document has crossed my desk that may--or may not--have implications for investigations you guys are conducting. Under normal circumstances, I would simply assume that the document is either a fraud or that you guys already know all about it. (Both may well be true, as it is.) The wrinkle here is that the document--which purports to be a series of reports/dispatches by a private British intel company on

1 Senate HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI004034
Trump and Russia and the hacking and contains a number of highly-detailed accounts stuff that would be explosive if alleged publicly--is being furiously investigated by, at a minimum, the Wall Street Journal (the document was given to me by Shane Harris). What's more, it seems to already be in the hands of the SSCI. I wouldn't be surprised if it's also in the hands of other congressional committees.

I want to stress that I have NO idea if it is authentic, much less accurate. But I thought I would alert you to it in case, for some reason, it hasn't made its way to your people long ago. I'm happy to put you in touch with Shane, if you're interested in hearing his account of what it is, or I can just forward a copy to you.

Hope you're doing well. It was great seeing you a couple of weeks ago.

Happy New Year.
/b

Benjamin Wittes

Senior Fellow and Research Director in Public Law

The Brookings Institution

1775 Massachusetts Avenue, NW

Washington DC 20036

If you want to send me encrypted communications, here is my PGP Public Key
October 19, 2020

The Honorable Ron Johnson
Chairman
Committee on Homeland Security and
Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Johnson:

This further responds to your letter, dated October 2, 2020, requesting the production of additional text and Lync messages related to the Crossfire Hurricane investigation. This supplements our letters and productions to the Committee, dated October 9th, 14th, and 15th.

The Attorney General has determined it is now appropriate to provide additional text and Lync messages, which are responsive to the Committee’s expressed investigative inquiries and enclosed with this letter, Bates-stamped: Senate HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI004036-FBI004037. The enclosed messages come from two Senior Executive Service (SES) custodians identified and prioritized by Committee staff, and for whom the FBI had an existing collection of messages. Specifically, the enclosed documents are for Lync messages: James Baker, FBI004036; Text messages: James Corney, FBI004037.

Consistent with the October 9th, 14th, and 15th productions, in identifying these additional text and Lync messages the FBI and the Department of Justice, acting in good faith and out of an abundance of caution to avoid excluding relevant messages, opted to include certain text messages that could be related to the Crossfire Hurricane or Midyear Investigations, but we could not conclusively determine whether they were related. Accordingly, the mere inclusion of these messages should not be understood to indicate that the messages were related to one of those investigations. Limited redactions have been made to, among other things, protect personally identifiable information, law enforcement sensitive information, and the identity of non-SES personnel. The FBI is willing to engage in discussions regarding specific redactions about which there may be questions.

The FBI has surged additional resources and is expediting its ongoing review of the remaining message collections for the custodians prioritized by Committee staff. Thank you for your support of the FBI, its mission, and its people.

Sincerely,

Jill C. Tyson
Assistant Director
Office of Congressional Affairs
The Honorable Ron Johnson
Page Two

Enclosure

cc:   The Honorable Gary Peters, Ranking Member
      Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

          The Honorable Charles E. Grassley, Chairman
          Senate Committee on Finance

          The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member
          Senate Committee on Finance

          The Honorable Lindsey O. Graham, Chairman
          Senate Committee on the Judiciary

          The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, Ranking Member
          Senate Committee on the Judiciary

          The Honorable Marco Rubio, Acting Chairman
          Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

          The Honorable Mark R. Warner, Vice Chairman
          Senate Select Committee on Intelligence
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date UTC</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Content Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-12-29 21:24:35</td>
<td></td>
<td>baker</td>
<td>Jim - I have the latest draft of the Russian influence paper. Lisa P said you may want to review it again - esp the appx related to _____ reporting. Do you want to see it?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-05-10 17:33:25</td>
<td></td>
<td>baker</td>
<td>We didn't hear anything on the Director/McCabe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-08-14 21:17:50</td>
<td></td>
<td>baker</td>
<td>FYI, Dina Corsi just informed me that Priestap is briefing the Director tomorrow on the election investigation, Russian interference. They want to raise the _____ issue, mention the index searches, I said to make sure Bill speaks with you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-08-14 21:18:01</td>
<td></td>
<td>baker</td>
<td>Not sure if you will be at that briefing or not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-08-14 21:19:45</td>
<td></td>
<td>baker</td>
<td>I sent you the _____ memo and our brief analysis on SCION, FYI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UTC</td>
<td>Internal Phone Num</td>
<td>Type of Message</td>
<td>From (Formatter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-09-07 01:17:47</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-09-07 19:43:19</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-09-26 22:16:30</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-13 15:05:10</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-14 22:59:08</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-20 21:11:51</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10-30 21:11:51</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-11-02 23:54:21</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-11-02 23:18:31</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 21:18:18</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 21:18:18</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 21:51:24</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 21:51:24</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 21:53:39</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 21:53:39</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 21:53:33</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 21:53:33</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 21:53:52</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 21:53:52</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 22:01:36</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-01-11 22:01:36</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Happy to discuss more, but in summary, I just think we are in that period where lies are making multiple revolutions and we may not have until some congressional hearing later this month to re-frame the latest building narrative. Again, just my two cents.

Didn't get to chat much as your day was slammed, but in summary, my two cents would definitely bring it up and head off the Friday release thing. It just looked so bad (with all due respect of course), I think it warrants briefly explaining the interagency review process and the timing of release. It will launch us back into the news cycle, but in this case I don't think that's a bad thing because this negative narrative is currently being baked. I could be completely wrong on this.

That's a good one. Especially liked the line about the President not wanting to have acerr with you. Although most people are terrible, introverts are awesome. "I really wish this person speaking to me had more bluster and bombast," said no one ever.

Also just got word we have a FISA that needs to be signed/returned by 12/31 ET. Deputy is apparently out for a run, so if you are able to review that, we will need to print the report.

Director, Jason Chaffetz here. Thank you for the latest batch of documents. I would like to personally come with Trey Gowdy and John Ratcliffe to talk with you. We continue to be very concerned. Perhaps a private conversation would be most productive.

FBI in Internal Fed Over Clinton Probe http://www.wsj.com/articles/laptop-may-include-thousands-of-emails-linked-to-hillary-clinton-private-server-1477854957

FBI in Internal Fed Over Clinton Probe http://www.wsj.com/articles/laptop-may-include-thousands-of-emails-linked-to-hillary-clinton-private-server-1477854957

Thanks for being a beacon of integrity inside the USG! Stand tall - wait you are tall! JnpJS. Tired of seeing your picture on tv and in the newspaper. Seriously, I appreciate your efforts! Yeah.

Yup. I'm totally screwed but tall. All is well. Thanks for the good word. Andy Is In good place too.

Can you talk to DJ/Je afterton 7

Can you talk to DJ then afternoon 2

Of course. Just need to office. Let me know best time and number

Of course. Just got out of office. Let me know best time and number

Now ok?

Nowok?

Now?.

Yup

Yup

Ok in mins

Ok in mins

Calling

Calling

Calling
The Honorable Ron Johnson  
Chairman  
Committee on Homeland Security and  
    Governmental Affairs  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510  

Dear Chairman Johnson:

This further responds to your letter, dated October 2, 2020, requesting the production of additional text and Lync messages related to the Crossfire Hurricane investigation. This supplements our letters and productions to the Committee, dated October 9th, 14th, 15th, 19th, 21st, and 22nd.

The Attorney General has determined it is now appropriate to produce additional text messages, which are responsive to the Committee’s expressed investigative inquiries and enclosed with this letter, Bates-stamped: Senate HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI004262-FBI004295. The enclosed messages come from Peter Strzok, a Senior Executive Service (SES) custodian identified and prioritized by Committee staff, for whom the FBI had an existing collection of messages.

Consistent with the October 9th, 14th, 15th, 19th, 21st, and 22nd productions, in identifying these additional text messages the FBI and the Department of Justice, acting in good faith and out of an abundance of caution to avoid excluding relevant messages, opted to include certain text messages that could be related to the Crossfire Hurricane or Midyear Investigations, but we could not conclusively determine whether they were related. Accordingly, the mere inclusion of these messages should not be understood to indicate that the messages were related to one of those investigations. Limited redactions have been made to, among other things, protect personally identifiable information, law enforcement sensitive information, and the identity of non-SES personnel. The FBI is willing to engage in discussions regarding specific redactions about which there may be questions.

The FBI has surged additional resources and is expediting its ongoing review of the remaining message collections for the custodians prioritized by Committee staff. Thank you for your support of the FBI, its mission, and its people.

Sincerely,

Jill C. Tyson  
Assistant Director  
Office of Congressional Affairs
Enclosure

cc: The Honorable Gary Peters, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

    The Honorable Charles E. Grassley, Chairman
    Senate Committee on Finance

    The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on Finance

    The Honorable Lindsey O. Graham, Chairman
    Senate Committee on the Judiciary

    The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, Ranking Member
    Senate Committee on the Judiciary

    The Honorable Marco Rubio, Acting Chairman
    Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

    The Honorable Mark R. Warner, Vice Chairman
    Senate Select Committee on Intelligence
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date UTC</th>
<th>Internal Phone Number</th>
<th>Type of Message</th>
<th>From (Formatted)</th>
<th>To (Formatted)</th>
<th>Body</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Attach-RECIPIENTS_JSON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-02-13 03:27:04.5 a</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Lisa.Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>I’m no prude, but I’m really appalled by this. So you don’t have to go looking (in case you hadn’t heard), Trump called him the p-word. The man has no dignity or class. He simply can not be president. \n\nWith A Slur for Ted Cruz, Donald Trump Further Splits Voters <a href="http://nyti.ms/1YsCkO">http://nyti.ms/1YsCkO</a></td>
<td>sms</td>
<td>“first”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-02-26 11:26:00, Fri</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa.Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>There won’t be. Bill has MYE wrap at 2:30, just like he did for DD’s function. And if like the one for DD, for which I was late, I won’t have time. \n\nHopefully I can drive you to lunch because I think that may be the only time I can see or talk to you today.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td>“first”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-02-26 11:26:04, Fri</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa.Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>There won’t be. Bill has MYE wrap at 2:30, just like he did for DD’s function. And if like the one for DD, for which I was late, I won’t have time. \n\nHopefully I can drive you to lunch because I think that may be the only time I can see or talk to you today.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td>“first”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-03-04 02:10:51, Fri</td>
<td></td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Lisa.Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>God trump is a loathsome human.</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td>“first”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-03-04 02:12:44, Fri</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa.Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>Would he be a worse president than cruz?</td>
<td>sms</td>
<td>“first”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-03-16</td>
<td>04:11:54</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>I can not believe Donald Trump is likely to be an actual, serious candidate for president.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-03-29</td>
<td>02:41:15</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>As expected, couldn't hear Andy or Jim and wasn't clear that we felt we must interview on sort and get on laptops.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-03-29</td>
<td>02:41:36</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>As expected, couldn't hear Andy or Jim and wasn't clear that we felt we must interview on sort and get on laptops.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-03-29</td>
<td>02:44:16</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>Doesn't mean they're not somewhere else, but if true, and done properly, makes this much harder.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-03-29</td>
<td>02:44:21</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>Doesn't mean they're not somewhere else, but if true, and done properly, makes this much harder.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-04-20</td>
<td>12:53:08</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hey check your vm before you talk to your MYE team. Jim spoke to both this am, nfi.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Folder</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Message</td>
<td>Attachment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-04-27</td>
<td>22:56:19</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa.Page</td>
<td>Nothing related to me (mye or cd)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-04-29</td>
<td>20:30:36</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa.Page</td>
<td>Hey also, mye brief to Bowdich on 5/10. Rainer's on the invite (just the four of us).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-04</td>
<td>00:41:56</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa.Page</td>
<td>Now the pressure really starts to finish MYE...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-04</td>
<td>00:42:56</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Moffis</td>
<td>Cruz dropped out. Now the pressure to finish MYE really starts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29 2016-05-05 20:27:28, Thu OUTBOX Lisa Page: Do you have 5 secs for a call on MYE? sms

26 2016-05-05 20:52:12, Thu OUTBOX Lisa Page: Ok. Bill now going to wrap at request of [deleted]. He has the info - CNN breaking. FBI has interviewed aides quietly at FBI building, specifically Huma. sms

27 2016-05-05 20:52:27, Thu OUTBOX Lisa Page: Ok. Bill now going to wrap at request of [deleted]. He has the info - CNN breaking. FBI has interviewed aides quietly at FBI building, specifically Huma. sms

28 2016-05-06 00:37:48, Fri OUTBOX Lisa Page: Oh, called [deleted]'s atty called just afterwards, he's talking to her and calling back. sms

28 2016-05-06 00:37:48, Fri OUTBOX Lisa Page: Oh, called [deleted]'s atty called just afterwards, he's talking to her and calling back. sms

30 2016-05-06 00:38:09, Fri OUTBOX Lisa Page: Oh, called [deleted]'s atty called just afterwards, he's talking to her and calling back. sms
31 2016-05-06 00:38:09, Fri | OUTBOX | Lisa.Page | Oh. Called, Huma’s atty called just afterwards, he’s talking to her and calling back. | sms | Name.:"Lisa" ,"lastName":"Page" |

32 2016-05-06 00:41:00, Fri | OUTBOX | Lisa.Page | Yeah. Wonder if they’re raising hell about the media stuff. All seemed very pro-Clinton camp | sms | Name.:"Lisa" ,"lastName":"Page" |

33 2016-05-06 00:41:00, Fri | OUTBOX | Lisa.Page | Yeah. Wonder if they’re raising hell about the media stuff. All seemed very pro-Clinton camp | sms | Name.:"Lisa" ,"lastName":"Page" |

34 2016-05-06 00:42:14, Fri | OUTBOX | Lisa.Page | Yeah. Wonder if they’re raising hell about the media stuff. All seemed very pro-Clinton camp | sms | Name.:"Lisa" ,"lastName":"Page" |

35 2016-05-06 00:42:14, Fri | OUTBOX | Lisa.Page | Yeah. Wonder if they’re raising hell about the media stuff. All seemed very pro-Clinton camp | sms | Name.:"Lisa" ,"lastName":"Page" |

36 2016-05-06 00:42:36, Fri | INBOX | Lisa.Page | Not gonna be charged isn’t anyone at the fbi, that’s for sure | sms | Name.:"Lisa" ,"lastName":"Page" |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Body</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-06</td>
<td>00:42:36</td>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Lisa <a href="mailto:Page@ic.fbi">Page@ic.fbi</a></td>
<td>Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the FBI, that's for sure</td>
<td>Sorry, called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language. Going to go look for your email now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-06</td>
<td>01:15:10</td>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td>Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the FBI, that's for sure</td>
<td>Sorry, called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language. Going to go look for your email now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-06</td>
<td>01:15:10</td>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td>Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the FBI, that's for sure</td>
<td>Sorry, called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language. Going to go look for your email now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-06</td>
<td>01:17:07</td>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td>Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the FBI, that's for sure</td>
<td>Sorry, called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language. Going to go look for your email now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-06</td>
<td>01:17:07</td>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td>Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the FBI, that's for sure</td>
<td>Sorry, called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language. Going to go look for your email now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-06</td>
<td>01:18:29</td>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td>Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the FBI, that's for sure</td>
<td>Sorry, called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language. Going to go look for your email now.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Yes. And I was appalled by thought that we would be showing up in the attorney’s office with warrant to seize the laptop. I told him he could call her to let her know right before we went in the door.

Bottom line: I told him there was a very specific and definite sense of urgency, from the DD calling agencies about the class review to not wanting to get engaged in some protracted tit for tat incremental negotiation with Beth.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Username</th>
<th>Message</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-06</td>
<td>01:24:19</td>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>Bottom line I told him there was a very specific and definite sense of urgency, from the DD calling agencies about a class review to not wanting to get engaged in some protracted fit for tit incremental negotiation with Beth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-09</td>
<td>10:41:42</td>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>Hey, work related, with Bill out, does Andy want to bring any dails bullets? Or email from us to you, and I don't see how we don't include him. And I think I def boot you in case he fs up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-13</td>
<td>10:43:59</td>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>Talked to Beth last night, btw. He spoke to Beth. Shockingly, she did not sound surprised about the subpoenas, said she would accept service. UTF001612.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-13</td>
<td>10:45:43</td>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>Talked to Beth last night, btw. He spoke to Beth. Shockingly, she did not sound surprised about the subpoenas, said she would accept service. UTF001612.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-15</td>
<td>23:00:35</td>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>I need some clarifying data - just retention, right? While it might be helpful to put in Petraeus, that's only to show they are different, ie, involve transmittal to another person. He just wants fact patterns where it was only retention, not disclosure, right? And taking gravimain literally, list will not include more serious cases/disclosures which are more than retention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-15</td>
<td>23:40:39</td>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>I need some clarifying data - just retention, right? While it might be helpful to put in Petraeus, that's only to show they are different, ie, involve transmittal to another person. He just wants fact patterns where it was only retention, not disclosure, right? And taking gravimain literally, list will not include more serious cases/disclosures which are more than retention.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sigh. I know. I wish I could gift you a week somehow. \n\nTalked to Bill for about an hour. He mentioned email, said he would send (though he hasn't yet). He had a slightly different take than I did but we'll get there. His primary concern is asking DoJ in such a way that they respond straight to the D (which I can see happening) without us getting a chance to review for accuracy/spin.

If there are so few that someone like you would literally be able to recall them then you have much bigger problems.

We had a list of those cases in which we authorized RICO. I'm not sure why they wouldn't, but if they dont, they're about to.

Description might be hard. But I guess we'll see.

The fbi can come up with description.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Body</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-05-16</td>
<td>01:13:21</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Lisa Page</td>
<td>I'd like to make a suggestion though. I DO NOT think you should ask George just going to bitch and moan. George sees the three times a week. He is not going to say no.</td>
<td>Pete, I'm trying to save you some crap from them. Don't get your back up about it. When they ask you, you talk about it. What's the big deal? All they are going to do when you tell them is wait to hear from on high. So all I'm saying is start there.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2016-05-16   | 01:16:12  | INBOX | Lisa Page | Nah nah nah can't hear you talking out the trash and the moons up there, hiding behind a soft haze. I\n\nlook, I HATE it. I own that. 
\n\nGotta talk to Shortly. Haven't heard from Mike. Curious to see what Andy and George's convo is about same topic. | Nah nah nah can't hear you talking out the trash and the moons up there, hiding behind a soft haze. I\n\nlook, I HATE it. I own that. 
\n\nGotta talk to Shortly. Haven't heard from Mike. Curious to see what Andy and George's convo is about same topic. |
| 2016-05-17   | 00:50:44  | OUTBOX| Lisa Page | I'm sorry. Don't read email then. It's response citing USAM 9-19 2:20 | Sorry. Truly. I'm trying to figure this out. I know it's not your job - I need DOJ and NSLB to get me an answer, because I'm briefing everyone there are different options available. And the 28 CFR guidance seems problematic from a warrant perspective. |
67 2016-05-17 10:17:30, Tue  OUTBOX

Lisa.Page

Sorry. Truly, I'm trying to figure this out. I know it's not your job. I need DOJ and NSLB to get me an answer, because I'm briefing everyone there are different options available. And the 28 CFR guidance seems problematic from a warrant perspective.

Name: "Lisa"  LastName: "Page"  First:

68 2016-05-17 10:27:20, Tue  OUTBOX

Lisa.Page

28 CFR is not just policy, but I think there's a path through it as you noted. Sorry about your alarm. I set mine an hour early to draft the comments to and punched the damn thing seventeen times. And happy anniversary.

Name: "Lisa"  LastName: "Page"  First:

69 2016-05-17 10:36:02, Tue  OUTBOX

Lisa.Page

28 CFR is not just policy, but I think there's a path through it as you noted. Sorry about your alarm. I set mine an hour early to draft the comments to and punched the damn thing seventeen times. And happy anniversary.

Name: "Lisa"  LastName: "Page"  First:

70 2016-05-17 10:36:07, Tue  INBOX

Lisa.Page

Yes, this is true. But let's be honest, it's not like they've pointed to the CFP as the reason, so let them come up with something.

Name: "Lisa"  LastName: "Page"  First:

71 2016-05-17 10:41:21, Tue  OUTBOX

Lisa.Page

They haven't pointed to it because they haven't done the research!!! But when they finally do, presumably before a meeting, they will, and we need to have a response lined up. And hi. I hate this case.

Name: "Lisa"  LastName: "Page"  First:

72 2016-05-17 10:41:29, Tue  OUTBOX

Lisa.Page

They haven't pointed to it because they haven't done the research!!! But when they finally do, presumably before a meeting, they will, and we need to have a response lined up. And hi. I hate this case.

Name: "Lisa"  LastName: "Page"  First:
2016-06-08 11:03:40, Wed  OUTBOX  Lisa.Page: Did I send you the draft consent letters last night? Thought I did but don’t see it in sent folder. Have an idea why we let counsel take first crack with pen.

2016-06-08 11:06:39, Wed  INBOX  Lisa.Page: No, you didn’t. And I have no idea. Probably because we aren’t real prosecutors.

2016-06-08 12:16:00, Wed  OUTBOX  Lisa.Page: I’m SUPER angry about the consent letter right now. Much worse than last night.都会有 the little things, like writing no agents will participate in the old review. That sense of entitlement about how we staff is the result of Andy not simply saying “no” to DOJ about the obnoxious filter staffing demand.

2016-06-08 12:16:05, Wed  OUTBOX  Lisa.Page: I’m REALLY angry about the consent letter right now. Much worse than last night.都会有 the little things, like writing no agents will participate in the old review. That sense of entitlement about how we staff is the result of Andy not simply saying “no” to DOJ about the obnoxious filter staffing demand.

2016-06-08 20:25:55, Wed  OUTBOX  Lisa.Page: Just called, he’s just leaving main justice. They all want to talk to me privately before group call at 4:40.

2016-06-08 20:25:55, Wed  OUTBOX  Lisa.Page: Just called, he’s just leaving main justice. They all want to talk to me privately before group call at 4:40.
Also, what I discussed with [redacted] was don’t discuss specific dates, but throw the willingness out there, tell Kendall if we find a lot of material on laptops we might need more time.

Funny, I’m sure it’s perfectly fine but got that funny feeling telling the DD that the D said something different from him. Andy noted he told Kendall that we wouldn’t schedule an interview until laptops were reviewed and D didn’t have that info, then said he’d take care of D’s info just don’t want him thinking that I felt he needed to explain himself to me.

I’m torn between their achievement and the reality of the limitations it places on others. All of that separate and distinct from the bigoted hatred of half (it seems) of our population.

***Also, remind me to tell you to flag for Andy three emails we (actually ICIG) found that have portion marks (C) on a couple of paras. DoJ was Very Concerned about this, willing to bet they will tell George.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Folder</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Body</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-06-15</td>
<td>13:29:13, Wed</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>c.fbi.gov</td>
<td>Want to ask do) to ask h to come to dc. Do interview at hq. Or nra.</td>
<td>sms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-06-15</td>
<td>13:30:03, Wed</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Lisa. Page</td>
<td>Sure why not. Is especially important if the goal is to prevent leaks.</td>
<td>sms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-06-17</td>
<td>21:56:13, Fri</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Lisa. Page</td>
<td>Now we're talking about Clinton, and how a lot of people are holding their breath, hoping.</td>
<td>sms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Sender</td>
<td>Recipient</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-12-05</td>
<td>02:29:49</td>
<td>Tune</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>When you talk to Mueller tomorrow, keep in mind he is fiercely loyal to Mueller. One of his concerns was you want to challenge Mueller's 2019 decision to remove you from the investigation. I told him that's not the case at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-12-05</td>
<td>03:06:52</td>
<td>Tune</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Got it, thanks. Mueller and I are good - no disagreement or anger about decision by either of us, just sadness (all the press speculation otherwise is complete BS, shocker). Pretty sure he would say good things about me if asked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-12-06</td>
<td>01:17:45</td>
<td>Wl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>You were spot on re Mueller. We're good.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-12-06</td>
<td>01:58:28</td>
<td>Wl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are you satisfied with the outcome of the conversation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Direction</td>
<td>TimeStamp</td>
<td>Converted Date/Time</td>
<td>DATE UTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>146653247582</td>
<td>6/21/16 2:07 PM</td>
<td>6/21/16 6:07 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>146738672352</td>
<td>7/1/16 11:25 AM</td>
<td>7/1/16 3:25 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1467386748058</td>
<td>7/1/16 11:25 AM</td>
<td>7/1/16 3:25 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1467395371000</td>
<td>7/1/16 1:49 PM</td>
<td>7/1/16 5:49 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1467504405000</td>
<td>7/2/16 8:06 PM</td>
<td>7/3/16 12:06 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1467504692983</td>
<td>7/2/16 8:11 AM</td>
<td>7/3/16 12:11 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1467504786222</td>
<td>7/2/16 8:13 AM</td>
<td>7/3/16 12:13 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1467504807000</td>
<td>7/2/16 8:13 PM</td>
<td>7/3/16 12:13 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1467935493000</td>
<td>7/7/16 7:51 PM</td>
<td>7/7/16 11:51 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>146821814661</td>
<td>7/11/16 7:56 PM</td>
<td>7/11/16 11:56 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1468494282691</td>
<td>7/14/16 7:04 AM</td>
<td>7/14/16 11:04 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1468882441579</td>
<td>7/18/16 6:54 PM</td>
<td>7/18/16 10:54 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1468895008000</td>
<td>7/18/16 10:23 PM</td>
<td>7/18/16 2:23 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1468923509000</td>
<td>7/19/16 6:18 AM</td>
<td>7/19/16 10:18 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1468927122271</td>
<td>7/19/16 7:18 AM</td>
<td>7/19/16 11:18 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1468927164000</td>
<td>7/19/16 7:19 AM</td>
<td>7/19/16 11:19 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1468927698000</td>
<td>7/19/16 7:28 AM</td>
<td>7/19/16 11:28 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1468961119086</td>
<td>7/19/16 6:45 PM</td>
<td>7/19/16 8:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1468966891081</td>
<td>7/19/16 6:21 PM</td>
<td>7/19/16 10:21 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1468966963000</td>
<td>7/19/16 6:22 PM</td>
<td>7/19/16 10:22 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>146903999932</td>
<td>7/21/16 5:39 AM</td>
<td>7/21/16 9:39 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1469406689799</td>
<td>7/24/16 8:31 PM</td>
<td>7/25/16 12:31 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1469406781000</td>
<td>7/24/16 8:32 PM</td>
<td>7/25/16 12:32 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1469406969000</td>
<td>7/24/16 8:33 PM</td>
<td>7/25/16 12:33 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1469406978000</td>
<td>7/24/16 8:34 PM</td>
<td>7/25/16 12:34 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1469406950500</td>
<td>7/24/16 8:35 PM</td>
<td>7/25/16 12:35 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1469406930599</td>
<td>7/24/16 8:35 PM</td>
<td>7/25/16 12:35 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1469406943000</td>
<td>7/24/16 8:35 PM</td>
<td>7/25/16 12:35 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1469407487000</td>
<td>7/24/16 8:44 PM</td>
<td>7/25/16 12:44 AM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I can't imagine either one of you could talk about anything in any detail meaningful enough to warrant recusal.

Really? Rudy, I'm in charge of espionage for the FBI. Any espionage FISA comes before him, what should he do, given his friend owes us?

Ok, I believe you that I didn't. Thought I had Happy to (indeed, wanted to and thought I did) talk about it with you.

Standards for recusal are quite high. I just don't think this poses an actual conflict. And doesn't he know what you do?

Oh noes. He needs to hear PBS talking about Bernie!

They are not, so far, part of the media's conspiracy for Clinton.

Well that's a relief! 🎉 Just watched your video (think I had seen

Did you watch the D's happy birthday FBI message?

I didn't yet. I worked my tail off today. Heard he made a Clinton reference though, no?

And hey guess what. big surprise. 🎉 is a big R Arkansas Clinton-hater.


ment-know-about-russia-and-dnc-hack

Not from but yesterday someone came by to get the emails and very briefly said Beth yelled at them and accused them of negotiating in bad faith.

Have we opened on him yet? 🤔 Trump & Putin. Yes, It's Really a Th

ing http://talkingpointsmemo.com/edblog/trump-putin-yes-it-s-really

Open on Trump? If Hillary did, you know 5 field offices would...

Yep but people would suspect. nobody would even think about. will be noticed

Got it. I just think impact on WRO is much less with and will be noticed less. Taking out right now given what he does there would have big impact.

One. I think Ny?

No plan. No strategy. That's all Bill and I have been talking about all week. Clean slate at least.

I mean I have had a plan for my side but not on ops side.

I've got an outline of a plan. Fine, cd tells ny close and consolidate down here. I don't think we can wait 2 weeks for

Yep. I will go over my plan when we get together in the morning. I think they will meet in the middle. Agreed we can wait two weeks. It's all moving to

Ooh just saw on CNN first campaign add showing Gowdy and Comey's back and forth with a "should HRC face criminal charges" survey by 800 number.

Yeah Kortan told us DCCC and Hillary Campaign hacked.

Totally right there with you. Was thinking before bed last night that it is going to be hard to ramp up for testimony on MYE in the fall bc none of us ar
e going to care at all anymore.

Awesome. Sharing that queen with is dicey.
<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>146997052400</td>
<td>7/31/16 9:08 AM</td>
<td>7/31/16 1:08 PM</td>
<td>What happens in stays in...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1469975687672</td>
<td>7/31/16 10:34 AM</td>
<td>7/31/16 2:34 PM</td>
<td>Not sure if it matters, I'd go with whatever logically makes sense. Top priority is the and his people. Not sure if we want to share that with.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1469976183352</td>
<td>7/31/16 10:43 AM</td>
<td>7/31/16 2:43 PM</td>
<td>I think we're missing each other. I mean maybe not telling all the details about the contact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470015503000</td>
<td>7/31/16 9:38 PM</td>
<td>8/1/16 1:38 AM</td>
<td>I mean seriously. What in the hell is this guy talking about? Donald Trump Gives Questionable Explanation of Events in Ukraine <a href="http://nyti.ms/2arMGyV">http://nyti.ms/2arMGyV</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470083956394</td>
<td>8/1/16 4:39 PM</td>
<td>8/1/16 8:39 PM</td>
<td>I may need to have a CH party soon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470085227000</td>
<td>8/1/16 5:01 PM</td>
<td>8/1/16 9:01 PM</td>
<td>Ho boy. Don't tell Moffa, but Andy is cancelling their brief. And he wants it first.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470116147502</td>
<td>8/2/16 1:35 AM</td>
<td>8/2/16 5:35 AM</td>
<td>Landed safely. Rainy and 63. I hope your stinkies let you sleep well. Just landed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470103465000</td>
<td>8/1/16 10:04 PM</td>
<td>8/2/16 2:04 AM</td>
<td>Ok cool. Express to Station, taxi stand, to US Emb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470103465000</td>
<td>8/1/16 10:04 PM</td>
<td>8/2/16 2:04 AM</td>
<td>Ok cool. Express to Station, taxi stand, to US Emb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470139464166</td>
<td>8/2/16 8:04 AM</td>
<td>8/2/16 12:04 PM</td>
<td>It's been interesting...our request apparently percolated up to their... and they want me and Joe to sign something</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470139478958</td>
<td>8/2/16 8:04 AM</td>
<td>8/2/16 12:04 PM</td>
<td>With the...yes, good meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470125169000</td>
<td>8/2/16 4:06 AM</td>
<td>8/2/16 8:06 AM</td>
<td>Make sure you can lawfully protect what you sign. Just thinking about congress, foia, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470125225000</td>
<td>8/2/16 4:07 AM</td>
<td>8/2/16 8:07 AM</td>
<td>I'm sure it's fine, I just don't know how protection of int type stuff works in that context.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470174199000</td>
<td>8/2/16 5:43 PM</td>
<td>8/2/16 9:43 PM</td>
<td>Just you two? Was DCM present for the interview?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470188618891</td>
<td>8/2/16 9:43 PM</td>
<td>8/3/16 1:43 AM</td>
<td>No. Two of them, two of us.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470355172014</td>
<td>8/4/16 7:59 PM</td>
<td>8/4/16 11:59 PM</td>
<td>Yep. Trying to be grown up and not ask to come tomorrow based on mye/CH overlap. He's got it. I just love, and am good, at thinking thru it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470406340059</td>
<td>8/5/16 10:09 AM</td>
<td>8/5/16 2:09 PM</td>
<td>Have M w f meetings with Ch team at 9 like we did with mye. Need to tell you about Bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470406521000</td>
<td>8/5/16 10:15 AM</td>
<td>8/5/16 2:15 PM</td>
<td>Coming now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470406844000</td>
<td>8/5/16 10:50 AM</td>
<td>8/5/16 2:50 PM</td>
<td>Yes, too, right?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470415038866</td>
<td>8/5/16 12:37 PM</td>
<td>8/5/16 4:37 PM</td>
<td>And hi. Went well, best we could have expected. Other than quote, &quot;the White House is running this.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470415054978</td>
<td>8/5/16 12:37 PM</td>
<td>8/5/16 4:37 PM</td>
<td>My answer, &quot;well, maybe for you they are.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470416126000</td>
<td>8/5/16 12:55 PM</td>
<td>8/5/16 4:55 PM</td>
<td>Yeah, whatever (re the WH comment). We've got emails that say otherwise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470492900000</td>
<td>8/6/16 1:03 AM</td>
<td>8/6/16 3:08 AM</td>
<td>Jesus. You should read this. And Trump should go himself. Moment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470492900000</td>
<td>8/6/16 1:03 AM</td>
<td>8/6/16 3:08 AM</td>
<td>In Convention Glare Shakes Up Khans' American Life <a href="http://nyti.ms">http://nyti.ms</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470495300000</td>
<td>8/6/16 10:55 AM</td>
<td>8/6/16 2:55 PM</td>
<td>And maybe you're meant to stay where you are because you're meant to protect the country from that menace. To that end, read this:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470495310000</td>
<td>8/6/16 10:55 AM</td>
<td>8/6/16 2:55 PM</td>
<td>Trump's Enablers Will Finally Have to Take a Stand <a href="http://nyti.ms/2">http://nyti.ms/2</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470495320000</td>
<td>8/6/16 10:55 AM</td>
<td>8/6/16 2:55 PM</td>
<td>afakry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470713184000</td>
<td>8/8/16 11:26 PM</td>
<td>8/9/16 3:26 AM</td>
<td>He's not ever going to become president, right? Right?!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470711305303</td>
<td>8/8/16 11:40 PM</td>
<td>8/9/16 3:40 AM</td>
<td>No. No he's not. We'll stop it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470737324804</td>
<td>8/9/16 6:08 AM</td>
<td>8/9/16 10:08 AM</td>
<td>What prompted the Trump comment last night?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1470876493707</td>
<td>8/10/16 8:48 PM</td>
<td>8/11/16 12:48 AM</td>
<td>So. You come up with a codename? Crossfire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470876686000</td>
<td>8/10/16 8:51 PM</td>
<td>8/11/16 12:51 AM</td>
<td>Crossfire Latitude?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1470876684000</td>
<td>8/10/16 8:54 PM</td>
<td>8/11/16 12:54 AM</td>
<td>Trying to think of something loosely military, without being obvious.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of Day</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Call ID</td>
<td>Call Duration</td>
<td>Call Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/10/16</td>
<td>8:56 PM</td>
<td>1470877015149</td>
<td>8/11/16 12:56 AM</td>
<td>Crossfire YUUUGE. Though we may save that for the man, if we ever open on him :)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/10/16</td>
<td>8:57 PM</td>
<td>1470877046865</td>
<td>8/11/16 12:57 AM</td>
<td>OMG I CANNOT BELIEVE WE ARE SERIOUSLY LOOKING AT THESE ALLEGATIONS AND THE PERVASIVE CONNECTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/10/16</td>
<td>8:57 PM</td>
<td>1470877059333</td>
<td>8/11/16 12:57 AM</td>
<td>What the hell has happened to our country? !?!?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/12/16</td>
<td>8:04 AM</td>
<td>1471003489380</td>
<td>8/12/16 12:04 PM</td>
<td>Bill sent an email asking to see me at 9 or 11..... have a CH team mtg at 9, so 11 it is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/14/16</td>
<td>7:01 AM</td>
<td>1471172513957</td>
<td>8/14/16 11:01 AM</td>
<td>I'm worried about what happens if HRC is elected. And perfect, another excessive heat warning day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/14/16</td>
<td>5:13 PM</td>
<td>1471209211713</td>
<td>8/14/16 9:13 PM</td>
<td>Rybicki just emailed me about availability/readiness to do D brief tomorrow at 3:30...I told him we could, same person, lineup from us as with MYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/18/16</td>
<td>8:53 AM</td>
<td>1471568009000</td>
<td>8/19/16 12:53 AM</td>
<td>Ukraine Releases More Details on Payments for Trump Aide <a href="http://nyti.ms/2breAV">http://nyti.ms/2breAV</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/22/16</td>
<td>9:03 PM</td>
<td>1471914236000</td>
<td>8/23/16 1:03 AM</td>
<td>Yeah. Sorry, I'm in a bit of a buzz kill place as D is still up and reading the IG report. It's really dry...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/22/16</td>
<td>9:03 PM</td>
<td>1471914236000</td>
<td>8/23/16 1:03 AM</td>
<td>Yeah. Sorry, I'm in a bit of a buzz kill place as D is still up and reading the IG report. It's really dry...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/26/16</td>
<td>12:42 PM</td>
<td>1472229758368</td>
<td>8/26/16 4:42 PM</td>
<td>Just went to a southern Virginia Walmart. I could SMELL the Trump support...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/28/16</td>
<td>10:32 AM</td>
<td>1472394726951</td>
<td>8/28/16 2:32 PM</td>
<td>I AM DONE WITH MYE!!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/30/16</td>
<td>5:45 AM</td>
<td>1472550343000</td>
<td>8/30/16 9:45 AM</td>
<td>D said at am brief that Reid called him and told him he would be sending a let ter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/30/16</td>
<td>5:46 AM</td>
<td>1472550384349</td>
<td>8/30/16 9:46 AM</td>
<td>Bill didn't mention it 😕</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/30/16</td>
<td>5:51 AM</td>
<td>1472550713999</td>
<td>8/30/16 9:51 AM</td>
<td>And holy cow, let me send you the Reid letter!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/16</td>
<td>2:07 PM</td>
<td>1472753269000</td>
<td>9/1/16 6:07 PM</td>
<td>Just got another call from quinn re MYE. Should I direct his call to Steinbach?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/16</td>
<td>7:16 PM</td>
<td>1472771810000</td>
<td>9/1/16 11:16 PM</td>
<td>I can't. Now the Midyear production has to happen tomorrow. And you and [redacted] and [redacted] are all out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/16</td>
<td>7:22 PM</td>
<td>1472772176058</td>
<td>9/1/16 11:22 PM</td>
<td>What is production at this point? Certainly, that you can't do from home?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/16</td>
<td>7:26 PM</td>
<td>1472772360000</td>
<td>9/1/16 11:26 PM</td>
<td>Yeah, and get everyone the copies they need, and tell baker and doj and wait for all the hill notifications and tell the agency. I'm not giving State an</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/16</td>
<td>7:26 PM</td>
<td>1472772360000</td>
<td>9/1/16 11:26 PM</td>
<td>advance warning. F them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/16</td>
<td>7:51 PM</td>
<td>1472773899225</td>
<td>9/1/16 11:51 PM</td>
<td>And yes, totally. F State. No heads up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/16</td>
<td>9:38 AM</td>
<td>1472780310923</td>
<td>9/1/16 1:38 AM</td>
<td>And I'm not going to stop telling you that's a bad decision, that the bureau - and MYE - will be just fine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/2/16</td>
<td>1:50 PM</td>
<td>1472824229000</td>
<td>9/2/16 1:50 PM</td>
<td>Yes, bc potus wants to know everything we are doing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/2/16</td>
<td>8:52 AM</td>
<td>1473511971700</td>
<td>9/10/16 12:52 PM</td>
<td>Re 302s, didn't search the laptops given to us voluntarily by various attorneys.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10/16</td>
<td>8:53 AM</td>
<td>1473512028000</td>
<td>9/10/16 12:53 PM</td>
<td>Why not? Decision that it was unlikely to contain info relevant to our case in like of time constraints?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10/16</td>
<td>8:55 AM</td>
<td>1473512154597</td>
<td>9/10/16 12:55 PM</td>
<td>They would not consent and we did not have probable cause to get on them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10/16</td>
<td>8:56 AM</td>
<td>1473512203817</td>
<td>9/10/16 12:56 PM</td>
<td>I will go review the 302s we didn't turn over and send thoughts to everyone except the O corridor recipients. You or Trisha or Jason can mention to them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10/16</td>
<td>8:57 PM</td>
<td>1473512200000</td>
<td>9/10/16 12:57 PM</td>
<td>Oh well that's totally defensible. Why did they give them to us? Yeah, but I think that was the context in which it was meant. I.e., like who sucks more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10/16</td>
<td>9:00 AM</td>
<td>1473512410000</td>
<td>9/10/16 1:00 PM</td>
<td>Call for one minute? Question about the laptops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10/16</td>
<td>2:15 PM</td>
<td>1473516903000</td>
<td>9/10/16 2:15 PM</td>
<td>IG. I know is just an oversight. Just not great given timing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10/16</td>
<td>9:10 AM</td>
<td>1473516951424</td>
<td>9/10/16 2:15 PM</td>
<td>As long as we get it to them before it comes out in FOIA I think we're ok. I will call him on Mon. Heck, I can leave a vm this weekend.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/11/16</td>
<td>1:52 PM</td>
<td>1473616365471</td>
<td>9/11/16 5:52 PM</td>
<td>Good idea. Yeah, it's fine. Almost all about production to Congress, putting on everything else.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1473616568000 9/11/16 1:56 PM 9/11/16 5:56 PM
147361656800C 9/11/16 1:56 PM 9/11/16 5:56 PM
1473616637298 9/11/16 1:57 PM 9/11/16 5:57 PM
1473616672095 9/11/16 1:57 PM 9/11/16 5:57 PM
1473697628326 9/12/16 12:27 PM 9/12/16 4:27 PM
1473714556645 9/12/16 5:09 PM 9/12/16 9:09 PM
1473714568607 9/12/16 5:09 PM 9/12/16 9:09 PM
147371483300C 9/12/16 5:13 PM 9/12/16 9:13 PM
1473716865662 9/12/16 5:47 PM 9/12/16 9:47 PM
1473716869884 9/12/16 5:47 PM 9/12/16 9:47 PM
147371709700C 9/12/16 5:51 PM 9/12/16 9:51 PM
1473717295055 9/12/16 5:54 PM 9/12/16 9:54 PM
147371758800C 9/12/16 5:59 PM 9/12/16 9:59 PM
1473717622779 9/12/16 6:00 PM 9/12/16 10:00 PM
1473717669030 9/12/16 6:01 PM 9/12/16 10:01 PM
1473977966170 9/15/16 6:49 PM 9/15/16 10:49 PM
147450358900C 9/21/16 8:19 PM 9/22/16 12:19 AM
147450358900C 9/21/16 8:19 PM 9/22/16 12:19 AM
1474936405000 9/26/16 8:33 PM 9/27/16 12:33 AM
1474936405000 9/26/16 8:33 PM 9/27/16 12:33 AM
1475804855804 10/6/16 9:47 PM 10/7/16 1:47 AM
147583447500C 10/7/16 6:01 AM 10/7/16 10:01 AM
147593013300C 10/8/16 8:35 AM 10/8/16 12:35 PM
147602016500C 10/9/16 9:16 AM 10/9/16 1:16 PM
147602024900C 10/9/16 9:37 AM 10/9/16 1:37 PM
1476047032686 10/9/16 9:03 PM 10/9/16 9:03 PM
1476062593185 10/9/16 9:23 AM 10/10/16 1:23 AM
1476062632032 10/9/16 9:23 PM 10/10/16 1:23 AM
1476241496000 10/11/16 11:04 PM 10/12/16 3:04 AM
1476241496000 10/11/16 11:04 PM 10/12/16 3:04 AM
1476925370000 10/19/16 6:91 PM 10/20/16 1:11 AM
1476926108407 10/19/16 9:15 PM 10/20/16 1:15 AM
1476926141257 10/19/16 9:15 PM 10/20/16 1:15 AM
147692620000C 10/19/16 9:16 PM 10/20/16 1:16 AM
1476926441000 10/19/16 9:20 PM 10/20/16 1:20 AM
1476926552475 10/19/16 9:22 PM 10/20/16 1:22 AM
1476926585395 10/19/16 9:23 PM 10/20/16 1:23 AM

Roger. I’m dreading the week ahead. A lot on the docket. I guess don’t know how to prep for the Director Tuesday either other than to just re-read the LHM and my old notes...

That’s all I’m doing.

We should focus on the criticism from Gowdy and others, you let this guy off, you didn’t pursue this, etc

Also going to call and tell him I want to specifically use him as source of tasking.

Chaffetz is horrible ...

Expected blustering ...

God, glad I’m not watching ...

Omg Gowdy is being a total dick. All investigative questions. And Jason isn’t always sticking to the script on “I’m not answering that.”

This was a mistake

Omg it’s bad

poisoning. He haven’t done anything wrong. But we look like sh*t

Eva, via legat, Ambo Moscow wants to meet D week of Oct 17 to discuss hacking and reciprocity. Iod filling out visit request.

Two Ex-Spies and Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2cyYnG6

Two Ex-Spies and Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2cyYnG6

She fix the mye one?

Did you read this? It’s scathing. And I’m scared. Why Donald Trump Should Not Be President http://nyti.ms/2dQfQUr

Did you read this? It’s scathing. And I’m scared. Why Donald Trump Should Not Be President http://nyti.ms/2dQfQUr

You have a path toward progress?

Well Andy AND D are out all week anyway.

God, now I want to know what it is.

Sigh. And yet, once he gets in there, hopefully just fine.

Question about how I’m feeling about our discussion?

Trump saying agents at FBI are furious at the MYE outcome and he’s getting a special prosecutor.

Hot damn. Big news day. Buffett Calls Trump’s Bluff and Releases H

is Tax Data http://nyti.ms/2dsI0MS

I’m sending you a neat article on parenting, but just read it later when you’re not riled up with the debate.

WE WILL GET YOU A STUNNING SUNDAY. I cannot believe what I am hearing.

I am riled up. Trump is a fucking idiot, is unable to provide a coherent answer.

It looks and sounds like a Saturday Night Live skit.

It’s not worth your stress either. Come to be

And Jesus.  #Anonymous is the ONE thing that could pull me away from this nightmare.
Bad hombres? That's some jive turkey talk, honkey!
I don't know. But we'll get it back. We're America. We rock.
Donald just said "bad hombres" 😊
Chris Wallace is a turd
Hillary: Russia and WikiLeaks and highest levels of Russian Government and Putin!!! Drink!!!!
Do bald eagles cry? The moderator is quoting stolen material from WikiLeaks in questions. Trump says "thank you."
Oh hot damn. HRC is throwing down saying Trump in bed with russia
And yet again it's 10 and I'm not in bed like I wanted to be.
Baby, what is watching going to accomplish? Go to bed now, get some rest. You can read about it in the morning. Even watch clips if you must. Plus, you n
I'll run. Will be too angry and need to get it out.
Yes, yes I am still up with her. God, I am DONE. 

When and where? Bastard. Retiree with no responsibility, I bear the weight of the free world on my shoulders.
And I'm up. Up up. I should just take a shower and drive in. 😊
And read it again and I'm sobbing again. Sigh.
You're silly.
K. Door is closed, just walk in
Christ. It's there led on freaking MARKETPLACE.
I'm sorry.
Almost here. Will try to put the phone away until 1.
Oh god babe. I'm sorry. Talked to Bill he's leaning not telling Bill, he was going to call
Baker. We need that Kortan info to go to weigh in the decision.
As long as you'll hire me in 3 years, I'm fine....
Great. Can't wait to hear his thoughts.
Get one for me and Bill later
I'm sure if [redacted] sees it the Q will be why not them, too, stupid politics.
Or get it for my original plan and do the kiss ass chain of command plan later.
Sorry. Rybicki called. Time line article in the post is super specific and not good.
Doesn't make sense because I didn't have specific information to give.
Okay I can talk again.
Ok I should be able to when you are free.
So just fly out anyway tomorrow night. You don't need her permission. We might have this stmnt out and be substantially done. Leave with moffa.
No Pete. It's your JOB. And plus actually knows what you're doing this time. And that the American presidential election, and thus, the state of the
world, actually hangs in the balance.
Do you think gets jealous envious because your job is more important and sexy than
You either start with a new blank slate on Nov. 9 or you never make it Pete. You will never live down that unmeasureable subjective debt.
I'm on fox. Trump is talking about her.
He's talking about cartwright and Petraeus and how they're not protected. She's protected by a rigged system.

Impressive in its accuracy. How the F.B.I. Reviewed Thousands of Emails in One Week http://nyti.ms/2егА2sg

Is he going to the Crossfire room, or just slew?

He will go see the analysts, crossfire room, and slew. Maybe I'll take him by as well.

Ok I'm with Crossfire but going to be at 11 with...

Yeah, and then the Deputy Director told you to go to [redacted] on 24 hours notice.

Re your email, [redacted] know briefed Pence, right just so there are no surprises?

Re above re email it might be more important for Evannina to know that briefed Pence, no?

Why are we briefing D next week on mye?

Great. This sentence aggravated the s out of me. Trump has threaten to fire some people and he's about to be in control of the most permissive and accountable surveillance infrastructure in the world.

Id,” Mr. Marlingspike said. “A lot of people are justifiably concerned about that.” NYT: Worried About the Privacy of Your Message

s? Download Signal Worried About the Privacy of Your Messages? Down

load Signal http://nyti.ms/2hijYVo

Yeah, me too. Don't know who or where to support...

Ok, I need to go back in with Bill, Jen and Dina still there. Call me later…particularly interested if D gave a fuller description of his convo with Brennan

NYTimes: Democratic House Candidates Were Also Targets of Russian Hacking

acking Democratic House Candidates Were Also Targets of Russian Hack

king http://nyti.ms/2hCGEY

Whatever fine. Let's see where Bill stands. We can move that and Crossfire and whatever.

Let's talk later, but I'll just say re CH, I didn't realize there was continued rawness there because we were all obviously pissed about it, and expect t

o convince bill that he's wrong. So I didn't realize there was real rawness there to be sensitive to.

You should tell him that. He is making a mistake, and we all think so. Do you think it makes sense to bring [redacted] into the CH convo? You guys do well with t

The re-write headline is still wrong - "FBI in agreement with CIA that Russia aimed to help Trump win White House"

And OPA wasn't able to get the stupid WP headline changed. "FBI agrees with CIA that Russia aimed to help Trump win."

Andy and [redacted] are going to [redacted] in January. Hasn't told me why or for what reason yet, though I sort of asked. Makes me pretty damn resentful.

Especially because [redacted] has been here for one week, and he's already got a trip planned? And if it's [redacted] feel like it has to be CH related to some degree, so sure, take
I can't imagine it's CH and he wouldn't take you.

There is no way he goes to and it doesn't come up.

True, I guess. He did ask if he wanted a copy of the influence paper I brought up Friday.

I'm kinda resentful about this Jan trip. Why would he answer?

Was he not thinking just trying to be funny?

I have no idea what you're talking about. He has?

Ogc would usually participate in the osc/other stuff, like answer my question re turning over a full page of notes vs redacted but other than three people

e, ogc has been recused. Is that what you mean?

Yes. And the osc. Don't know if the has reached out.

Yes. Who hasn't been recused in OGC, ie, who can answer those questions? And I'm reading my notes...

Not following re Bowdich?

All of ogc has been recused except for the three people who will come interview you.

I guess spoken to him. Mostly just updates.

Af. Didn't know they were ogc. And boy, that's suboptimal. Wonder if I will talk to them. You, probably

You will. Guarantee.

You getting outside counsel for it?

For osc? No way. Also no for IG. We're not the subject.

Brennan on npr talking about response to Russia

Case stuff. Big picture not at ease with outcome of mye and need for all of us to step back and be strategic in action/engagement

He, like us, is concerned with over sharing. Doesn't want Clapper giving CR to WH. All political, just shows our hand and potentially makes enemies.

Yeah, but keep in mind we were going to put that in the doc on friday, with potentially larger distribution than just the dni.

The question is should we, particularly to the entirety of the lame duck us with partisan axes to grind.

Phil Mudd just did a nice job on CNN. I'm going to head out - last chance for anything, including a ShakeShack burger....

Okay. Is it about the CF meeting today?

Did you write up the difference for the D re what you testified to? Might be useful if it comes up before the house tomorrow.

Haven't thought about it yet. Hey, can you call re the MYE brown bag? I should have him let him know too.

Yes

Hey, Lisa confirmed a brown bag lunch with Baker on Monday to talk about mye stuff. All the things going. Don't have a time or location yet

Get inspired and depressing reading that article about how Obama approached the mail room. Needless to say, it was very different when I interned there u

Sorry, bickered some, then got over it and watched the second sherlock. I'm really angry about the times article. This just has got to stop.
<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1484913859835</td>
<td>1/20/17 7:04 AM</td>
<td>1/20/17 12:04 PM</td>
<td>Yeah and it’s not even news! No substance, and largely wrong. The press is going to undermine it’s credibility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1485094108000</td>
<td>1/22/17 9:08 AM</td>
<td>1/22/17 2:08 PM</td>
<td>I think we need to set up a reset meeting re the CH cases for when andy is back. I’m concerned that Bill has such a misunderstanding.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1485221615000</td>
<td>1/23/17 8:33 PM</td>
<td>1/24/17 1:33 AM</td>
<td>See the photo caption NYTtimes: Foreign Payments to Trump Firms Violate Constitution; Suit Will Claim Foreign Payments to Trump Firms Violate Constitution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1485269191644</td>
<td>1/24/17 9:46 AM</td>
<td>1/24/17 2:46 PM</td>
<td>NYTtimes: Trump Is Said to Keep James Comey as F.B.I. Director Trump Is Said to Keep James Comey as F.B.I. Director <a href="https://nyti.ms/2knzpzO">https://nyti.ms/2knzpzO</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1485386925242</td>
<td>1/25/17 6:28 PM</td>
<td>1/25/17 11:28 PM</td>
<td>Sigh. Assume there’s Razor Discussion I would like to be a part of. Glad you’re there.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1485576792000</td>
<td>1/27/17 11:13 PM</td>
<td>1/28/17 4:13 AM</td>
<td>God this is so depressing. NYTtimes: Fears That Trump’s Visa Ban Be</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1485576792000</td>
<td>1/27/17 11:13 PM</td>
<td>1/28/17 4:13 AM</td>
<td>Trays: Friends and Bidders Enemies Fears That Trump’s Visa Ban Betr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1485910332000</td>
<td>1/31/17 7:52 PM</td>
<td>2/1/17 12:52 AM</td>
<td>Do you see the mockery Trump I’m making of his nominee? The spectacle is appalling.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1485910448776</td>
<td>1/31/17 7:54 PM</td>
<td>2/1/17 12:54 AM</td>
<td>Yeah somebody jacked it was like a college recruit announcing what college he’s going to. Remind me... story...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1486354826000</td>
<td>2/5/17 11:20 PM</td>
<td>2/6/17 4:20 AM</td>
<td>Sorry to change the topic but this was really good. NYTtimes: Donal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1486354826000</td>
<td>2/5/17 11:20 PM</td>
<td>2/6/17 4:20 AM</td>
<td>d Trump’s Phony Compassion for Christians Donald Trump’s Phony Comp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1486354827000</td>
<td>2/5/17 11:20 PM</td>
<td>2/6/17 4:20 AM</td>
<td>Passion for Christians <a href="https://nytims/2kDc5y6">https://nytims/2kDc5y6</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1486596187000</td>
<td>2/8/16 6:23 PM</td>
<td>2/8/17 11:23 PM</td>
<td>Please let andy know when you know more re flynn.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1486598555352</td>
<td>2/8/17 7:02 PM</td>
<td>2/9/17 12:02 AM</td>
<td>Just heard from... he said he went “up to Flynn’s office” and was unable to get validation of either the brief or the EO.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1487037670000</td>
<td>2/13/17 9:01 PM</td>
<td>2/14/17 2:01 PM</td>
<td>Man, the news is all over the post article.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1487038458155</td>
<td>2/13/17 9:14 PM</td>
<td>2/16/17 2:14 AM</td>
<td>How do you mean?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1487039114913</td>
<td>2/13/17 9:25 PM</td>
<td>2/14/17 2:25 AM</td>
<td>Need to i msg pls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1487039204000</td>
<td>2/13/17 9:26 PM</td>
<td>2/14/17 2:26 AM</td>
<td>Yes go ahead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1487068802455</td>
<td>2/14/17 5:40 AM</td>
<td>2/14/17 10:40 AM</td>
<td>Hi. Flynn’s out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1487069865005</td>
<td>2/14/17 5:42 AM</td>
<td>2/14/17 10:42 AM</td>
<td>Yup, I saw.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1487210542048</td>
<td>2/15/17 9:02 PM</td>
<td>2/16/17 2:02 AM</td>
<td>You read the WP article today? Bad, in an opposite from NYT sense b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1487211490040</td>
<td>2/15/17 9:18 PM</td>
<td>2/16/17 2:18 AM</td>
<td>Has typ h 302 been finalized?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1487211718744</td>
<td>2/15/17 9:22 PM</td>
<td>2/16/17 2:22 AM</td>
<td>And sent, elsewhere</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1487211802000</td>
<td>2/15/17 9:23 PM</td>
<td>2/16/17 2:23 AM</td>
<td>Ah. No, meant what I asked.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1487211885000</td>
<td>2/15/17 9:24 PM</td>
<td>2/16/17 2:24 AM</td>
<td>Note the bcc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1487211898368</td>
<td>2/15/17 9:24 PM</td>
<td>2/16/17 2:24 AM</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1487212795000</td>
<td>2/15/17 9:39 PM</td>
<td>2/16/17 2:39 AM</td>
<td>Whatever. Whole place is on my nerves. Time to move on I think. Been a tough 2 years. That WaPo article is detailed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1487213122038</td>
<td>2/15/17 9:45 PM</td>
<td>2/16/17 2:45 AM</td>
<td>I’m really angry with them. They lost huge credibility and f*cked us as well</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1487242352000</td>
<td>2/16/17 5:55 AM</td>
<td>2/16/17 10:55 AM</td>
<td>Did you see this? NYTtimes: White House Plans to Have Trump Ally Review Intelligence Agencies White House Plans to Have Trump Ally Review Intelligence Ag</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1487242552000</td>
<td>2/16/17 5:55 AM</td>
<td>2/16/17 10:55 AM</td>
<td>encies <a href="https://nyti.ms/2kM1Kw7">https://nyti.ms/2kM1Kw7</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1487242824533</td>
<td>2/16/17 6:00 AM</td>
<td>2/16/17 11:00 AM</td>
<td>Yeah, just read it. I msg?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1487282253253</td>
<td>2/16/17 4:57 PM</td>
<td>2/16/17 9:57 PM</td>
<td>Check WP feed. Article 20 minutes ago that F denied discussing sanctions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1487941990845</td>
<td>2/24/17 8:13 AM</td>
<td>2/24/17 1:13 PM</td>
<td>CNN running hard about the story. Just had a positive piece about us buttressed by senator/congressman (didn’t get his name)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BUT WE DON'T GET THE URGENCY, US!!!! WE MAY NEVER GET AN OPPORTUNITY LIKE THIS AGAIN!🙏🙏🙏🙏

How far we've gone... NYT Times: Former President George W. Bush Levels Tacit Criticism at Trump Former President George W. Bush Levels Tacit Criticism at Trump https://nyti.ms/2myd4x6

Right. 😊

Oh, was that the thing where he essentially says we need a free press to "keep guys like me in check"?

Yeah we're pretty much a catastrophe chuck right now

See times story. Should be the guys correcting their story.

NTY now. Wtf are they doing?!?

Correcting the story, preserving their reputa?!

It's not... in some ways it's doubling down on the inaccuracies. Was the word that they were fixing it?

That's what korton said.

To andy

Yep

It's long, I can't read it now. Let's discuss later. Seems okay so far.

I haven't either. Got to the first and accuracy, got angry, and decided to focus on driving. Sounds like a plan.

Inaccuracy

T1.....

And then this happened... BBC News: Trump Russia: House intelligence committee agrees inquiry I saw this on the BBC and thought you should see it: Trum


I'm about to explode rep. But I'll have to tell you later. I'm furious. 000000000000

Something related to the cases/TPs?

Yup. Exceptionally bad judgment.

F*ck. Bad? Anything we need to mitigate?

They're still obsessed with the Russian bank stuff.

Hey leave early enough for time to tell me what JR said so we can cook up our story. I'm outside of kortans

I haven't connected with jr.

Ok left a yummy in your office.

And hi. Finally two pages away from finishing atm. Did you know the president resigns in the end?!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Sender</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/14/17 10:07 PM</td>
<td>1489543637487</td>
<td>What?! God, that we should be so lucky. You can give it to me. No promises that I read it through. All I want for the trip is no expectations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/14/17 10:11 PM</td>
<td>1489543894000</td>
<td>Why no response to my first email?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16/17 9:34 PM</td>
<td>1489714660000</td>
<td>What has you fired up? Her presumption with about being left out? Wasn't aware anyway? Was out, Trisha had the lead and gave to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/17 1:34 AM</td>
<td>1489714634985</td>
<td>Yes. Totally wait for her comment - it's going to be he's mine, it should have come to me, and then you launch into. while time, nsb had already done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/17 9:45 AM</td>
<td>1489714954295</td>
<td>Who had the lead in NIB on this? I know did the work, but did the task go to Baker? Trisha?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/17 7:43 PM</td>
<td>1489794238637</td>
<td>Hey did I hear right that the product we wrote two nights ago was sent to Graham and Whitehouse (or anyone else)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/17 8:16 PM</td>
<td>1489796174000</td>
<td>It was not sent. Still working out how to communicate the message to them with doj.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/17 8:26 PM</td>
<td>1489796810000</td>
<td>Is it not the letter that doj sent up responding to the videotape question? Yes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/17 8:28 PM</td>
<td>1489796908035</td>
<td>Your name isn't in the two or in the 4 para summary. So must be a leak of docs supporting the report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/17 8:30 PM</td>
<td>14897970532168</td>
<td>No, sorry. I wasn't clear. The subject whose name starts with my middle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/17 8:30 PM</td>
<td>1489797031575</td>
<td>And makes sense, she has great sources on hpsc, especially minority side. Think about her prior reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/17 9:04 PM</td>
<td>1489799041946</td>
<td>Do you know what was in the set of documents DoJ sent to hpsc?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1490317581000</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:06 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1490317581000</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:06 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1490318554926</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:22 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>149031868424</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:24 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1490318779838</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:26 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1490318822000</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:37 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1490318987000</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:29 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1490319001000</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:30 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1490319013000</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:30 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1490319140419</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:32 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1490319176619</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:32 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1490319278000</td>
<td>3/23/17 9:34 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1490627084587</td>
<td>3/27/17 11:04 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1490627122000</td>
<td>3/27/17 11:05 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1490627150914</td>
<td>3/27/17 11:05 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1490712351000</td>
<td>3/28/17 10:45 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1490712359361</td>
<td>3/28/17 10:45 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1490712397000</td>
<td>3/28/17 10:46 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1490890062314</td>
<td>3/29/17 7:27 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1491181282000</td>
<td>4/2/17 9:01 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1491181283000</td>
<td>4/2/17 9:01 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1491408022695</td>
<td>4/5/17 12:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1491408233000</td>
<td>4/5/17 12:03 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I guess. It sounded like Lisa gave it up the [redacted] chain starting with [redacted] but I don’t think it made it to you. And by the way, [redacted] did not even appear to think about the fact your branch needed to see it (which shouldn’t be surprising). You definitely need to talk with [redacted] about her plan for it.

Did you read this outrage? NYTimes: Trump Tells G.O.P. to Fall In Line, Demanding House Vote on Health Overhaul Trump Tells G.O.P. to Fall In Line, Demo

God this is depressing too. NYTimes: Calling On A Few Good Men Kind Of Blew it against Oregon

A no, going to read it now if [redacted]. Stopped watching after UM kind of blew it against Oregon

I saw the last but didn’t read it... Writters and Hennessey have a column arguing for a select committee.

Also, [redacted] called, wanting to talk with Bill with ig (I think) about razor....

Will try and attend if I can.

Re the leaks? I’d ask him to hold, it’s still very much in Flux I think.

They do.

I’ll talk to baker first.

Where’s she getting it from, [redacted]?

No idea

You really think IG understands who the likely are? Their line people don’t know a lot about leak work.

No, probably not.

Whoa, did you see the nyt push?

I have no idea how ssc does that without compromising sources and methods.

Ha. You see CNN reporting Nunes on WH grounds the day before his announcement?

Yup. Pulling up miller article now.

? Same topic? Also, thanks for the invite :)

Hey you get a ch brief for doj today? I got hit up by rybuck!

Just coming back from entertaining mtg at doj where [redacted] got testy at each other.

Re ch?

The team needs to get with doj today for an update. I think they still have to meet with A/DAG tomorrow.

We are

Hey heads up [redacted] called, D wants a brief on the WII/NFPO/coverage matter. Likely to be after the unmasking briefing.

You don’t get FT, do you? Looks like a leak out of NY. Maybe the plans re [redacted] are real... will explain tomorrow. https://www.ft.com/content/404988

d44-155b-11e7-8044-3e067d5072c

CNN reporting Bannon removed from nsc.

Okay. I just told jim trisha [redacted] and [redacted] about [redacted] They all agree.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Timestamp</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>4/10/17 5:53 PM</td>
<td>1491861185149</td>
<td>Per New Yorker (you need to subscribe again!) McCauley was inMgr with Flynn, Woolsey, Turkish officials...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403</td>
<td>4/10/17 11:17 AM</td>
<td>1491953827000</td>
<td>Baker to call tomorrow about something provocative. Don’t mention knowing the call to the d this am please.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>4/11/17 7:37 PM</td>
<td>1491953875463</td>
<td>Ok. Calling me?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>4/11/17 11:41 PM</td>
<td>149195406260C</td>
<td>Can you give me any more detail?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>4/11/17 11:42 PM</td>
<td>1491954144000</td>
<td>Not here. No, he’ll ask to meet with you. I asked that he talk to you first, not bill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407</td>
<td>4/11/17 7:42 PM</td>
<td>1491954177000</td>
<td>Aggressive effort to break the story that is and will inevitably be getting to the bottom of Ch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408</td>
<td>4/11/17 7:44 PM</td>
<td>1491954286007</td>
<td>Ok. Thanks. You see the WP article? Gas on the “cloud” and leak narrative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409</td>
<td>4/11/17 7:45 PM</td>
<td>1491954358369</td>
<td>An idea of his, or something external threatening us?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>4/11/17 7:50 PM</td>
<td>1491954634000</td>
<td>But that is the narrative you can’t know about, other than sitting in on morning meeting or known from bill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>4/11/17 7:51 PM</td>
<td>1491954715831</td>
<td>He was there when I sat in with D the first time. Then specifically called me into his office to talk about it, remember? I won’t mention today...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413</td>
<td>4/11/17 7:53 PM</td>
<td>149195480700C</td>
<td>Yes, and I said both to him. Just can’t reference today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414</td>
<td>4/12/17 11:08 AM</td>
<td>1492009696711</td>
<td>Also, two new articles coming, one nyt re your name sake and a guardian one which is worse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415</td>
<td>4/12/17 11:08 AM</td>
<td>149200973472C</td>
<td>Hope did you a solid...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416</td>
<td>4/14/17 9:40 AM</td>
<td>149217720000C</td>
<td>Which WP scoop? I’m not following.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417</td>
<td>4/14/17 9:40 AM</td>
<td>149217725658C</td>
<td>The fisa one, coupled with the guardian piece from yesterday.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>418</td>
<td>4/22/17 10:53 AM</td>
<td>1492872810000</td>
<td>Article is out!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>419</td>
<td>4/22/17 11:26 AM</td>
<td>1492874770128</td>
<td>What??!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>4/22/17 11:26 AM</td>
<td>1492874775765</td>
<td>Got no push!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421</td>
<td>4/22/17 11:26 AM</td>
<td>1492874813287</td>
<td>Got no email all damn morning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492878121113</td>
<td>Got it, it's Pete. Midyear article is out in NYT online. Thanks for the kind words about investigative team to Goldman and Apuzzo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492913677000</td>
<td>My smart, informed friend [REDACTED] and I have been texting about the article. It's kind of validating to get his take, because he has so much more context now (though he was never a &quot;it's all Comey's fault guy&quot;) it's very gratifying to get an outsiders perspective. Remind me to show you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492913677000</td>
<td>More please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492913724371</td>
<td>Please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492913734659</td>
<td>I made the mistake of reading the comments on the website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>427</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492913768576</td>
<td>There are a lot of vocal Clinton supporters who are never going to feel that it was anything other than our fault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492913792475</td>
<td>Did you see Goldman’s tweet?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>429</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492913824153</td>
<td>What did you think of the article, by the way? We didn’t get a chance to talk about it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>14929138396000</td>
<td>I won’t read the comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492913967863</td>
<td>Good call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>432</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492913971000</td>
<td>Yes, you sent it to me. Kaboom. Though I’m not really sure I understood it. I guess because it’s like a bomb going off because the &quot;liberal&quot; NYT isn’t jus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492913971000</td>
<td>Yes, you sent it to me. Kaboom. Though I’m not really sure I understood it. I guess because it’s like a bomb going off because the &quot;liberal&quot; NYT isn’t jus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>434</td>
<td>4/22/17 12:22 PM</td>
<td>1492914029000</td>
<td>I wasn’t crazy about the paragraphs before the federal bureau of matters. Felt they were too conclusory, too superficial. But I guess the rest of it was fine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 435 | 4/22/17 12:22 PM  | 1492914080000                    | You were mentioned a lot. ☺ Wonder what is going to say. ☺
I'm not sure I understand the Tweet either. I think his notion that will cause a stir in the overall liberal New York Times readership.

Yup. Same same.

And funny, I had exactly the same thought about that part of the article, it seems like somebody had to put that in there. I'm not sure the reason why.

Of course you did. 😊

Is the source available for recontact?

Np. Was just calling to say that we’re going to hold on opening that one case for a couple of days while Baker talks to doj. Will explain more later, go

That’s crazy. Sorry to not respond until now. My phone was all jacked up while in

Got back. 😊

Hey turn on CNN re Yates

CNN had coverage/advance notice of what they claimed was the Trump security guy dropping off firing letter at fbi earlier this afternoon.

Have to talk to Kanon on mother f*cking Peter Huckabee said D had “lost confidence of rank and file of fbi”

Hey assume you’re getting dump. Meeting with DAG was about coordinating investigation.

WH press conference is interesting. Trump told Lester Holt about dinner, all kinds of

Os about those discussions.

NYTimes: Andrew McCabe Is Known at F.B.I. for His Precision and Intellect Andrew McCabe Is Known at F.B.I. for His Precision and Intellect https://nyti.m

I feel that same loss. I want to see what the FBI could become under him! His vision of

greatness for our strong but flawed organization. I’m angry. Angr

y and mourning.

Yeah I kept telling myself the organization is much bigger and stronger than anyone one

person, that we’ll endure.

And WP push reporting T disclosed highly classified foreign govt info to Russians last week re CT threat. …

Call me about please

Nyt push

Oi atty is making some minor changes to package, will have to 7 floor as soon as it gets

here, paper copy only. On docket for Monday. All greased and rea

dy once signed.

Rgr thanks

My interview partner. Who I need to tell nothing is set and we’re not going first thing.

Because doesn’t relay sh*t.

Yeah, I get it. And Andy could certainly write it but I took notes.

So call tonight and ask. It didn’t come up directly. It was very frenetic.

I’d rather you write it. We also need class review. Chaffetz has asked for all writeups

Actually you need to write it. Andy’s a witness, you’re not.

He’s either a dead man walking and/or a hero. Doing so only helps him, doesn’t hurt

him.

History is watching. He needs to. To redeem his soul, first and foremost.
| 0 | 1495018869686 | 5/17/17 7:01 AM | 5/17/17 11:01 AM | Ooh. Lots on npg |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 1495104765000 | 5/18/17 6:52 AM | 5/18/17 10:52 AM | I agree. Can you get them out today? And god, EVERYTHING just got so much better on that case... |
| 1 | 1495106587000 | 5/18/17 7:23 AM | 5/18/17 11:23 AM | I get it, but they're not being unreasonable. And yes, we will bring him here. It's easy to Monday morning quarterback Pete. You tell me that in the face |
| 1 | 1495106587000 | 5/18/17 7:23 AM | 5/18/17 11:23 AM | of two seemingly earnest senators, you'd adamantly hold your absolutely no, no way. |
| 0 | 1495150875122 | 5/18/17 7:54 PM | 5/18/17 11:54 PM | Oh god Susan Collins comments on. ... |
| 1 | 1495154172161 | 5/18/17 8:36 PM | 5/19/17 12:36 AM | For me, and this case, I personally have a sense of unfinished business. I unleashed it with MYE. Now I need to fix it and finish it |
| 1 | 1495154242000 | 5/18/17 8:37 PM | 5/19/17 12:37 AM | What does that even mean, scaling up? |
| 1 | 1495154270000 | 5/18/17 8:37 PM | 5/19/17 12:37 AM | You shouldn't take this on. I promise you, I would tell you if you should. |
| 0 | 1495154384213 | 5/18/17 8:39 PM | 5/19/17 12:39 AM | Why not, reme? |
| 0 | 1495154444794 | 5/18/17 8:40 PM | 5/19/17 12:40 AM | Who gives a f*ck one more AD like Scott or Steve or Carlos or Dave or Tim or whoever. An investigation leading to impeachment? |
| 1 | 1495237300000 | 5/19/17 7:41 PM | 5/19/17 11:41 PM | Will explain why later. He thinks this isn't really a CI case, should be approached from a JIC perspective. |
| 0 | 1495392187272 | 5/21/17 2:45 PM | 5/21/17 6:45 PM | Yep let's see. I can envision the end state following the meeting, but let things run their course. One thing I (we) know is Bill will not buck Carl. |
| 1 | 1495584574000 | 5/23/17 8:09 PM | 5/24/17 12:09 AM | They are working very very long hours already. And every weekend. Not, of course, but everyone else. |
| 0 | 1495584639360 | 5/23/17 8:10 PM | 5/24/17 12:10 AM | Sigh. That's what I was afraid of. Are you locked in with Baker if something happens to Andy? |
| 1 | 1495585111000 | 5/23/17 8:18 PM | 5/24/17 12:18 AM | So long as baker stays, yes. |
| 0 | 1496362301355 | 6/1/17 8:11 PM | 6/2/17 12:11 AM | Ha. Just called (but had to answer another call), said he had talked to you on one of the issues... not sure what but will call back. |
| 1 | 1496362580000 | 6/1/17 8:16 PM | 6/2/17 12:16 AM | Re the mtg tomorrow. Not clear now which sub (which is his Question I am guessing) but either way we want to attend which is an issue. |
| 1 | 1496362606000 | 6/1/17 8:16 PM | 6/2/17 12:16 AM | 46. vs. 47. |
| 0 | 1496362655045 | 6/1/17 8:17 PM | 6/2/17 12:17 AM | Hmm. I heard. What did you hear? |
| 0 | 1496362669166 | 6/1/17 8:17 PM | 6/2/17 12:17 AM | And from who? Mine came from |
| 1 | 1496362692000 | 6/1/17 8:18 PM | 6/2/17 12:18 AM | Wait I forget the codename. |
| 1 | 1496362707000 | 6/1/17 8:18 PM | 6/2/17 12:18 AM | Oh yes. |
| 1 | 1496362719000 | 6/1/17 8:18 PM | 6/2/17 12:18 AM | He thought maybe someone else |
| 0 | 1496362844482 | 6/1/17 8:20 PM | 6/2/17 12:20 AM | Who's saying USAO wants to attend? |
| 1 | 1496362887000 | 6/1/17 8:21 PM | 6/2/17 12:21 AM | said called her said FBI crim invited them. |
| 1 | 1496449424000 | 6/2/17 8:23 PM | 6/3/17 12:23 AM | It's just hard to be unthethered to the Bureau and Andy right now. It's been bad enough without Comey, this just feels like another loss. |
| 0 | 1496531222219 | 6/3/17 7:07 PM | 6/3/17 11:07 PM | Subj in custody, btw. First ML arrest of the Trump era |
| 1 | 1496539527000 | 6/3/17 9:25 PM | 6/4/17 1:25 AM | Just based on Mueller's convo with him - he just didn't understand what the problem was. AND I did a lot of work to help them understand that was al |
| 0 | 1496540995457 | 6/3/17 9:49 PM | 6/4/17 1:49 AM | And sigh. Ok. Don't worry too much about Mueller and You're gonna be ok. ;)}
<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1496689239000</td>
<td>6/5/17 3:00 PM</td>
<td>6/5/17 7:00 PM</td>
<td>Let me talk to SC about the memos. I just spoke to Rybicki about them and where things stand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1496689297578</td>
<td>6/5/17 3:01 PM</td>
<td>6/5/17 7:01 PM</td>
<td>I just talked to He said memos are still not decided. In other words, they’re not going to block testimony, but the memos are still up in the air.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1496789251090</td>
<td>6/6/17 6:47 PM</td>
<td>6/6/17 10:47 PM</td>
<td>I think Papa and __ and Stone and Wikileaks influence is smack square in your wheelhouse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>149683264000</td>
<td>6/7/17 6:44 AM</td>
<td>6/7/17 10:44 AM</td>
<td>And Jesus, did you see that the networks are carrying Comey’s testimony? Interrupting regular tv to broadcast…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>149683292434C</td>
<td>6/7/17 6:55 AM</td>
<td>6/7/17 10:55 AM</td>
<td>And I’ve gotta say I’m a little bummed about Dir C testimony. Is what it is.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>149683298300C</td>
<td>6/7/17 7:36 AM</td>
<td>6/7/17 10:56 AM</td>
<td>Yup, it’s fine. I would like you all to explore supplementing Andy’s testimony along the lines we discussed on that one answer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1496856670939</td>
<td>6/7/17 7:17 PM</td>
<td>6/7/17 5:31 PM</td>
<td>And we do need to discuss your role in obstruction aspect in light of being a witness. Not sure if I’m overthinking that.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>149693795000C</td>
<td>6/8/17 12:05 PM</td>
<td>6/8/17 4:05 PM</td>
<td>Good for Comey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>149697341100C</td>
<td>6/8/17 9:56 PM</td>
<td>6/9/17 1:56 AM</td>
<td>Great… just called me to say that Rachel Maddow just listed by name each of the people the Director listed as having discussed the matter with, but she that I was the only one they hadn’t identify.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>149697341200C</td>
<td>6/8/17 9:56 PM</td>
<td>6/9/17 1:56 AM</td>
<td>Did yet but that they were working on it. 😊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1496973731850</td>
<td>6/8/17 10:02 PM</td>
<td>6/9/17 2:02 AM</td>
<td>And we do need to discuss your role in obstruction aspect in light of being a witness. Not sure if I’m overthinking that.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>149697388700C</td>
<td>6/8/17 10:04 PM</td>
<td>6/9/17 2:04 AM</td>
<td>I don’t think I know a lot of enemies/crazies. Well, there is one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>149710999800C</td>
<td>6/10/17 11:53 AM</td>
<td>6/10/17 3:53 PM</td>
<td>Finally, I don’t like not including that one person. He is NOT recused, Bill should not be making that call for him. He can make that decision himself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>149710999900C</td>
<td>6/10/17 11:53 AM</td>
<td>6/10/17 3:53 PM</td>
<td>I always take a conservative approach and can be trusted to do the right thing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1497353083055</td>
<td>6/13/17 7:23 AM</td>
<td>6/13/17 11:23 AM</td>
<td>Damn. I had a funny relationship with me. There’s a big CNN graphic on the SC teams political donations…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1497487439000</td>
<td>6/14/17 8:43 PM</td>
<td>6/15/17 12:43 AM</td>
<td>Thanks. Saw your politico article too. God help me I don’t think I can take much more of this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1497526529000</td>
<td>6/15/17 1:35 AM</td>
<td>6/15/17 11:35 AM</td>
<td>Or because of the nyt story saying that SC seems to be pursuing potus in light of these interviews.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1497556064836</td>
<td>6/15/17 3:46 PM</td>
<td>6/15/17 7:46 PM</td>
<td>WP picked your name up in an online blog, citing Wired. &quot;Right Turn,&quot; 9:15 today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1497556092815</td>
<td>6/15/17 3:48 PM</td>
<td>6/15/17 7:48 PM</td>
<td>And you’re on Wiki <a href="https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/2017_Special_Counsel_for_the_United_States_Department_of_Justice">https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/2017_Special_Counsel_for_the_United_States_Department_of_Justice</a> team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1497610054354</td>
<td>6/16/17 6:47 AM</td>
<td>6/16/17 10:47 AM</td>
<td>I don’t know how you correct it - realistically you probably dont. And it would probably be a good thing to get some long time Russian OC folks on board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1497610143816</td>
<td>6/16/17 6:49 AM</td>
<td>6/16/17 10:49 AM</td>
<td>You saw you’re in the print nyt, right?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1497610168004</td>
<td>6/16/17 6:49 AM</td>
<td>6/16/17 10:49 AM</td>
<td>I was talking prosecutors, but maybe. He’s ny. Can he be trusted?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1497805918000</td>
<td>6/18/17 1:11 PM</td>
<td>6/18/17 5:11 PM</td>
<td>I must have really freaked him out on Friday when I told him I wanted to join the Russian influence team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1497807577691</td>
<td>6/18/17 1:36 PM</td>
<td>6/18/17 5:36 PM</td>
<td>Wonder what changed. I worry it’s the FOIA yuck, with a realization there will be a hundred things like that he doesn’t want.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1497927847000</td>
<td>6/19/17 11:04 PM</td>
<td>6/20/17 3:04 AM</td>
<td>Make sure you don’t tell her anything about whether the press accounts are accurate. Nothing. Just say you don’t know what I did at doj.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1497970125000</td>
<td>6/20/17 10:48 AM</td>
<td>6/20/17 2:48 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528</td>
<td>If I am going to call, I think it makes sense to do while you are still there. Thoughts? Also, I will do it on a SC phone, just so no number comes up.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1497983692441</td>
<td>6/20/17 2:34 PM</td>
<td>6/20/17 6:34 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>529</td>
<td>That, Lisa, is entirely 100% your business. You don’t need me to tell you that - I’m saying that so you know I understand.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1497987778546</td>
<td>6/20/17 3:42 PM</td>
<td>6/20/17 7:42 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530</td>
<td>Shockingly, is pushing me to go to OSC. How do you feel about that? How would</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1497987985000</td>
<td>6/20/17 3:46 PM</td>
<td>6/20/17 7:46 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>I don’t prefer that, but I really really just want this all to be over so I don’t care.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1497988012000</td>
<td>6/20/17 3:46 PM</td>
<td>6/20/17 7:46 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>I’m sure wouldn’t be thrilled, but I presume we’ll manage.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1498005328000</td>
<td>6/20/17 8:35 PM</td>
<td>6/21/17 12:35 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533</td>
<td>Just say, I’m not really needed on SC, I am needed at HQ.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1498135693000</td>
<td>6/22/17 8:48 AM</td>
<td>6/22/17 12:48 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>I’m thinking I might leave SC. Maybe hold to say something.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Honorable Ron Johnson  
Chairman  
Committee on Homeland Security and  
Governmental Affairs  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Johnson:

This further responds to your letter, dated October 2, 2020, requesting the production of additional text and Lync messages related to the Crossfire Hurricane investigation. This supplements our letters and productions to the Committee, dated October 9th, 14th, 15th, 19th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd, and 27th.

The Attorney General has determined it is now appropriate to provide additional text messages and Lync messages from the FBI’s classified-Secret enclave, which are responsive to the Committee’s expressed investigative inquiries. The Lync messages, Bates-numbered Senate_HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI004564-FBI004570, are classified documents. Pursuant to the FBI’s agreement with Committee staff, these classified documents will be provided to the Office of Senate Security when it re-opens on November 3, 2020, for review by cleared staff until November 20, 2020. In the interim, these messages will be available in the Department of Justice reading room beginning on October 30, 2020. The text messages are enclosed with this letter and are Bates-numbered Senate_HSGAC_TransitionReq FBI004571-FBI004577.

The text and Lync messages come from Senior Executive Service (SES) custodians identified and prioritized by Committee staff, and for whom the FBI had an existing collection of messages. Specifically, the provided documents are for Lync messages: David Archeay, FBI004564; Eric Barnhart, FBI004565; Dina Corsi, FBI004566-FBI004567; Charles McGonical, FBI004568-FBI004569; and Charles Spencer, FBI004570. Text messages: Randall Coleman, FBI004571-FBI004572; Carl Ghattas, FBI004573; Charles McGonical, FBI004574-FBI004576; and Charles Spencer, FBI004577.

Consistent with the October 9th, 14th, 15th, 19th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd and 27th productions, in identifying these additional text and Lync messages the FBI and the Department of Justice, acting in good faith and out of an abundance of caution to avoid excluding relevant messages, opted to include certain messages that could be related to the Crossfire Hurricane or Midyear Investigations, but we could not conclusively determine whether they were related. Accordingly, the mere inclusion of these messages should not be understood to indicate that the messages were related to one of those investigations. Limited redactions have been made to, among other things, protect personally identifiable information, law enforcement sensitive information, and the identity of non-SES personnel. The FBI is willing to engage in discussions regarding specific redactions about which there may be questions.
The FBI has surged additional resources and is expediting its ongoing review and production of the remaining message collections for the custodians prioritized by Committee staff. Thank you for your support of the FBI, its mission, and its people.

Sincerely,

Jill C. Tyson  
Assistant Director  
Office of Congressional Affairs

Enclosure

cc: The Honorable Gary Peters, Ranking Member  
Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

The Honorable Charles E. Grassley, Chairman  
Senate Committee on Finance

The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member  
Senate Committee on Finance

The Honorable Lindsey O. Graham, Chairman  
Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, Ranking Member  
Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Marco Rubio, Acting Chairman  
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

The Honorable Mark R. Warner, Vice Chairman  
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date UTC</th>
<th>Internal Phone Number</th>
<th>Type of Message</th>
<th>From (Formatted)</th>
<th>To (Formatted)</th>
<th>Body</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Attachment / RECIPIENTS_JSON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 8/3/2015 22:36 | OUTBOX | Two separate issues here:
1. We are not going negotiate the acquisition of the thumb drives. We were attempting professional courtesy. Kendall has declined. We need a warrant now. Professional courtesy over.
2. How does Pat Kennedy authorize the storage of classified info to a non-governmental entity? |
| 8/3/2015 22:59 | INBOX | Copy. 1. I would prefer a SW vs. A subpoena or we will be screwing around for weeks. 2. We'll see what DS says but Kennedy has him in his chain. Notice that Kennedy seems to be the problem across all facets of this case. Just talked to Steinbach, the P5+1 note is good to go. Will launch early am. |
| 8/5/2015 12:39 | INBOX | FBI looking into the security of Hillary Clinton's private e-mail setup - The Washington Post
| 11/9/2016 2:22 | OUTBOX | Trump is hanging. |
| 11/9/2016 2:22 | INBOX | Trying not to. Figure this is all we'll hear about for weeks |
| 11/10/2016 1:50 | INBOX | Ex-Rep. Mike Rogers Spotted Touring Trump Transition HQ
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Body</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/10/2016</td>
<td>1:52</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Rogers would make great DNI or National Security Advisor, NSC...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/10/2016</td>
<td>1:53</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Yup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/5/2016</td>
<td>2:38</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>N.C. man told police he went to D.C. pizzeria with assault rifle to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>self-investigate election-related conspiracy theory: <a href="http://wapo.st/2fX8fWa">http://wapo.st/2fX8fWa</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UTC</td>
<td>Internal Phone Number</td>
<td>Type of Message</td>
<td>From (Formatted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UTC</td>
<td>Internal Phone Num</td>
<td>Type of Message</td>
<td>From (formatted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/25/2016 2:45</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>I agree with that. This may give Trump a serious boost, will it be enough. I'll be in NYC on Wed, my plan is to spend the night. Looking forward to our event. There should be a ton of business contacts. Good networking opportunity for you. Go Cubs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/11/2016 15:46</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Our PAO gave us a heads up that the intercept is running an article this weekend on some Snowden material related to Trump. I'll send you the link when it posts. No specific timeframe given. Don't think you guys will be affected but you might want to get ready to react as you see fit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/11/2016 15:58</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Thanks. I appreciate the heads up. Also, just walked by the GBJ Xmas tree... We need to get together for a cold one soon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/11/2016 16:03</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Just heard informally that the intercept may be running a piece on Snowden related activity. Will advise if I receive anything. Thanks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/11/2016 16:23</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Copy. Thanks for the heads up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017 0:32</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Just learned from my HQ you may have an issue up here that is being briefed down there tomorrow? Does this sound familiar?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017 0:33</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Hm?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017 0:54</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Could be a gaffe. Something to the effect of Trump blag line of site from an adversary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017 0:54</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Do you have Wikir?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017 0:54</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017 0:55</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>Oh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>From</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>03:35</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>03:35</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>03:36</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>03:38</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>03:38</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>03:38</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>03:38</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>03:39</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>03:39</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>04:00</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2017</td>
<td>04:00</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2017</td>
<td>22:30</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2017</td>
<td>22:55</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Folder</td>
<td>Message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2017</td>
<td>22:59</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>The problem is sourcing and the information is speculative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2017</td>
<td>23:00</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>On it. HQ aware...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/23/2017</td>
<td>14:15</td>
<td>OUTBOX</td>
<td>Have not slept since arrival in NY. The... incident is bad. Sorry i did not...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/2017</td>
<td>13:52</td>
<td>INBOX</td>
<td>I'm glad you enjoyed yourself. Don't you keep a clean shirt in your office for...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateTime</td>
<td>Internal Phone Number</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>From (Formatted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/24/2016 15:55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/9/2016 12:56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/9/2016 12:56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/9/2016 13:09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/9/2016 14:29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>